

AGENDA
INVESTMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING
Monday, February 13, 2017
12:00 P.M.
State Board of Investment
Retirement Systems Building
Room 117 – Main Floor
60 Empire Drive, St. Paul, MN

- | | |
|---|------------|
| | TAB |
| 1. Approval of Minutes of November 15, 2016 | |
| 2. Report from the Executive Director | |
| A. Quarterly Investment Review (October 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016) | A |
| B. Administrative Report | B |
| 1. Reports on Budget and Travel | |
| 2. FY16 Audit Report | |
| 3. FY16 Annual Report | |
| 4. Legislative Update | |
| 5. Sudan Update | |
| 6. Iran Update | |
| 7. Litigation Update | |
| C. Asset Allocation Transition Update | C |
| 3. Private Markets Report | D |
| A. Review of current strategy | |
| B. Consideration of investment commitments with four existing managers | |
| 4. Public Markets Report | |
| A. Investment Manager Review, Assigned Risk Plan | E |
| B. Fourth Quarter Performance | F |
| 5. Other items | |

This page intentionally left blank.

INVESTMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL

MINUTES

February 13, 2017

This page intentionally left blank.

**Minutes
Investment Advisory Council
November 15, 2016**

The Investment Advisory Council (IAC) met at 12:00 P.M. on Tuesday, November 15, 2016 in the Board Room – First Floor, 60 Empire Drive, St. Paul, Minnesota.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Denise Anderson, Doug Anderson, Jeff Bailey, Kerry Brick, Dave Bergstrom, Dennis Duerst, Kim Faust, Susanna Gibbons, Morris Goodwin Jr., Laurie Hacking, Jennifer Hassemer (for Myron Frans), Peggy Ingison, Erin Leonard, Gary Martin, Malcolm McDonald, Carol Peterfeso, Elaine Voss and Shawn Wischmeier.

SBI STAFF: Mansco Perry, LeaAnn Stagg, Patricia Ammann, Paul Anderson, Nate Blumenshine, Cassie Boll, Tammy Brusehaver, Stephanie Gleeson, Inma Conde-Goldman, Aaron Griga, Andrew Krech, Steve Kuettel, Mike Menssen, John Mulé, Jonathan Stacy, Charlene Olson and Melissa Mader.

OTHERS ATTENDING: Ann O’Bradovich and Rod Bare, Callan Associates Inc.; Ramona Advani, State Auditor’s Office; Bert Black, Secretary of State’s Office; Christie Eller and Jeff Weber, Attorney General’s Office; Kim Holmes and Yassin Omar, Office of the Governor; Rick Baert, Pensions & Investments; and Gordon Voss, private citizen.

The minutes of the August 22, 2016 meeting were approved.

Executive Director’s Report

Mr. Perry referred members to Tab A of the meeting materials, and he reported that the Combined Funds had outperformed its Composite Index over the ten-year period ending June 30, 2016 (Combined Funds 6.5% vs. Composite 6.3%) and had provided a real rate of return of 5.5% above inflation over the latest 20 year period (Combined Funds 7.6% vs. CPI 2.1%).

Mr. Perry stated that assets increased over the quarter (Combined Funds ending value of \$59.5 versus a beginning value of \$57.8 billion). The Combined Funds return was 30 basis points (bps) above the benchmark for the quarter (Combined Funds 4.1% vs. Composite 3.8%) and 80 bps below for the year (Combined Funds 9.1% vs. Composite 9.9%). The underperformance extended into the three-year period but the Combined Funds outperformed its benchmark in all other time periods reported.

Mr. Perry reported that the domestic stock manager group outperformed its benchmark for the quarter (Domestic Stocks 4.8% vs. Domestic Equity Asset Class Target 4.4%) and underperformed for the year (Domestic Stocks 13.3% vs. Domestic Equity Asset Class Target 15.0%). He stated that the international stock manager group underperformed its target for the quarter (International Stocks 6.7% vs. International Equity Asset Class Target 6.9%) and for the year (International Stocks 8.4% vs. International Equity Asset Class Target 9.3%), while outperforming over longer

time periods. Mr. Perry then stated that the bond segment outperformed its benchmark for the quarter (Bonds 0.9% vs. Fixed Income Asset Class Target 0.5%) and the year (Bonds 6.0% vs. Fixed Income Asset Class Target 5.2%), and in all other time periods reported. He stated that the alternative investments contributed to performance for the quarter and the year (total return of 5.2% and 2.5%, respectively). Mr. Perry concluded his report noting that, as of September 30, 2016, the SBI was responsible for managing over \$81.4 billion of assets.

Mr. Perry referred members to Tab B of the meeting materials for the administrative report. He reported that for the fiscal year-to-date the SBI finished ahead of the prorated budget. Mr. Perry stated that the Legislative Audit found no issues in the FY16 audit and that a copy of the audit letter would be distributed to IAC members as soon as it is available. He also noted that the SBI's Annual Report would be published early in the first quarter. He informed members that Tab B contained calendar year 2017 meeting dates for the IAC and SBI as well as the updated information for Sudan and Iran. Mr. Perry noted that there is currently no litigation involving the SBI.

Mr. Perry provided members with an update on the transition of the fund to the new asset allocation policy in Tab C. He indicated that an asset allocation review had been done a couple of quarters ago and that he had previously announced his intention to increase the proportion of the Public Equity program that is passively managed. Mr. Perry reminded members that the new asset allocation policy required the un-invested portion of alternatives to be invested in public equities instead of bonds, resulting in the need to lower the bond allocation to 20% of the Combined Funds. He referred members to the first chart in Tab C which showed the progress toward the new policy weights. As of November 1, 2016, Mr. Perry indicated that the fund was approximately half way through the transition. He informed members that the completion date for the transition is the end of the fiscal year and that he will provide updates as needed. Responding to members' questions, Mr. Perry indicated that the mechanism for the transition has been a combination of raising cash for distributions, market movements, money movements between asset classes, and the hiring and termination of managers. He also stated that the long-term plan is to move in the direction of reaching the 20% policy weight in Private Markets, at which point he would probably start talking about the need to raise it. He then stated that over the last five years, the Private Markets managers have been returning more money to the fund than they have been drawing down and that the fund has been investing, on average, two and a half billion dollars in this asset class which is approximately five percent of the fund. There was discussion about the issues involved in commitment levels to Private Market investments relative to reaching the policy target. Mr. Perry then drew the members' attention to another chart in Tab C which showed the composition of the Public Market Equity program, both to U.S. equity and to international equity. He indicated that the asset allocation policy weights to U.S. equity and to international equity were transitioning from 75%/25% respectively to 67%/33% respectively, that this transition may take longer than the Combined Funds' transition, but that the goal was to reach the new asset allocation weights by the end of the fiscal year. Finally, Mr. Perry presented the last chart in Tab C which provided an update on the transition from active to passive investment management in both the U.S. equity and international equity programs between July 1, 2016 and November 1, 2016. Mr. Perry responded to various questions from members regarding the transition from active to passive management.

Mr. Perry turned the members' attention to Tab D, which included a recommendation to terminate Aberdeen Asset Management, a fixed income manager. He reminded members that the Board authorizes terminations, however he was requesting members' support of Staff's recommendation to bring to the Board. Mr. Perry noted that, while performance had been acceptable, the manager has had a few unfavorable organizational events. The most recent event was the lift-out to another organization of the group managing the SBI portfolio. After a brief discussion about the movement of assets, Mr. Duerst moved the staff recommendation to terminate Aberdeen Asset Management. Ms. Gibbons seconded the motion. The motion passed.

Mr. Perry referred members to Tab E of the meeting materials, which included a recommendation to terminate Capital International, Inc., an emerging markets equity manager. He highlighted that the manager has had persistent underperformance, asset loss, and investment team turnover. After a brief discussion Mr. Goodwin moved the staff recommendation to terminate Capital International, Inc. Ms. Gibbons seconded the motion. The motion passed.

Mr. Perry introduced staff's proposal, in Tab F, to change the statutes with respect to private markets investments. Currently, the law limits the SBI to invest no more than 20% in a limited partnership; additionally, there must be at least four other investors in a limited partnership investment. Mr. Perry pointed out that the current law does not permit co-investments, separate accounts, or partnerships with less than five investors which, he feels, is leading to missed investment opportunities with significant cost savings. His proposed changes to the statute would allow co-investments and separate accounts and exempt these investments from the "no more than 20% and at least four other investors" legislation. Mr. Perry noted that the advantages would be more investment opportunities with lower fees and attractive risk-adjusted returns, the ability to impose investment restrictions and/or guidelines, and the presentation of the requirement that the SBI participate in investment vehicles that have limited liability.

After a lengthy discussion of the type of investment opportunities this could allow and the potential issues this legislative change could pose, Mr. McDonald moved the staff recommendation to amend the private markets legislation. Ms. Hacking seconded the motion. The motion passed, with Mr. Bailey voting no.

Mr. Blumenshine provided a brief overview of the current alternative investment program. Staff identified and reviewed six funds for the committee to consider for new investment. Ms. Boll described Merced Capital Fund V (Private Equity); Mr. Stacy described West Street Capital Partners Fund VII (Private Equity) and Oak Hill Capital Partners Fund IV (Private Equity); Mr. Blumenshine described Goldman Sachs Vintage Fund VII (Private Equity) and Lexington Partners LMMI IV (Private Equity); and Mr. Krech reviewed Dyal Capital Partners (Private Equity). After discussion, Mr. McDonald moved approval of the six recommendations and Ms. Gibbons seconded the motion. The motion passed.

Ms. Conde-Goldman, Ms. Stagg and Mr. Menssen presented the emerging markets equity search completed by Staff and Callan. This search resulted in the recommendation to hire six emerging markets equity investment managers: Delaware Investments, Earnest Partners; Martin Currie Investment Management, Ltd.; Neuberger Berman; Pzena Investment Management, LLC;

and The Rock Creek Emerging Markets Group. After a discussion on each of the firms, Mr. McDonald moved the staff recommendation to retain up to six emerging markets equity managers. Mr. Goodwin seconded the motion. The motion passed.

The meeting adjourned at 2:44 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mansco Perry III". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned to the right of the typed name.

Mansco Perry III
Executive Director and
Chief Investment Officer

TAB

A

This page intentionally left blank.

LONG TERM OBJECTIVES

Period Ending 12/31/16

| COMBINED FUNDS: \$59.9 Billion | Result | Compared to Objective (2) |
|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| Match or Exceed Composite Index (10 Yr.) Outperform a composite market index weighted in a manner that reflects the long-term asset allocation of the Combined Funds over the latest 10 year period. | 6.1% (1) | 0.2 percentage point above the target |
| Provide Real Return (20 Yr.) Provide returns that are 3-5 percentage points greater than inflation over the latest 20 year period. | 7.4% | 5.3 percentage points above CPI |

(1) Performance is calculated net of fees.
(2) Differential from composite may occur due to rounding.

This page intentionally left blank.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Combined Funds (Net of Fees)


Asset Growth

The market value of the Combined Funds increased 0.7% during the fourth quarter of 2016. The negative net contributions were outweighed by positive investment returns during the quarter.

Asset Growth During Fourth Quarter 2016 (Millions)

| | |
|-------------------|----------|
| Beginning Value | \$59,547 |
| Net Contributions | -498 |
| Investment Return | 890 |
| Ending Value | \$59,940 |


Combined Funds Market Value



Asset Mix

On July 1, 2016 the Combined Funds policy target changed as reflected below. Through December 31, 2016, assets were gradually transitioned from Bonds to Public Equity to reflect the new policy of holding uninvested Private Markets assets in the Public Equity portfolio.

| | Policy Targets | Actual Mix 12/31/16 | Actual Market Value (Millions) |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| Public Equity | 58.0% | 63.8% | \$38,214 |
| Bonds | 20.0% | 20.2% | 12,105 |
| Private Markets* | 20.0% | 13.2% | 7,932 |
| Cash | 2.0% | 2.8% | 1,688 |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% | \$59,940 |




* Any uninvested allocation is held in bonds and public equity.

Fund Performance (Net of Fees)

The Combined Funds matched its benchmark for the quarter and underperformed its benchmark for the year.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years | Last 20 Years | Last 30 Years |
| Combined Funds | 1.5% | 7.6% | 5.3% | 9.8% | 6.1% | 7.4% | 8.9% |
| Composite | 1.5% | 8.3% | 5.6% | 9.5% | 5.9% | 7.2% | 8.7% |



Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

Column sums throughout this report may not add due to rounding.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Stock and Bond Manager Performance (Net of Fees)

Public Equity

The public equity manager group (active, semi-passive and passive combined) underperformed its target for the quarter.

Public Equity Target: Effective 7/1/2016 the Public Equity Target is 75% Russell 3000/ 25% MSCI ACWI Ex-US. This target will transition over time to 67% Russell 3000/ 33% MSCI ACWI Ex-US.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Public Equity | 2.7% | 9.2% | 5.5% | 12.4% | 5.7% |
| Public Equity Target | 2.8% | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Domestic Stocks

The domestic stock manager group (active, semi-passive and passive combined) outperformed its target for the quarter and underperformed for the year.

Russell 3000: The Russell 3000 measures the performance of the 3000 largest U.S. companies based on total market capitalization.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Dom. Stocks | 4.3% | 11.5% | 7.9% | 14.6% | 6.9% |
| Asset Class Target* | 4.2% | 12.7% | 8.4% | 14.7% | 7.1% |

* The Domestic Equity Asset Class Target is the Russell 3000 effective 10/1/03. From 7/1/99 to 9/30/03, it was the Wilshire 5000 Investable Index.

International Stocks

The international stock manager group (active, semi-passive and passive combined) underperformed its target for the quarter and the year.

MSCI ACWI Free ex USA (net): The Morgan Stanley Capital International All Country World Index is a free float-adjusted market capitalization index that is designed to measure equity market performance in the global developed and emerging markets. There are 45 countries included in this index. It does not include the United States.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Int'l Stocks | -1.7% | 2.6% | -1.5% | 5.8% | 1.4% |
| Asset Class Target* | -1.3% | 4.5% | -1.8% | 5.0% | 1.0% |

* Since 6/1/08 the International Equity Asset Class Target is the Standard MSCI ACWI ex U.S. (net). From 10/1/07 to 5/31/08 the benchmark was the Provisional Standard MSCI ACWI ex USA (net). From 10/1/03 to 9/30/07 the target was MSCI ACWI ex USA (net).

Bonds

The bond manager group (active and semi-passive combined) outperformed its target for the quarter and the year.

BB Barclays U.S. Agg.: The Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate reflects the performance of the broad bond market for investment grade (Baa or higher) bonds, U.S. treasury and agency securities, and mortgage obligations with maturities greater than one year.


| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Bonds | -2.6% | 3.6% | 3.4% | 3.1% | 4.7% |
| Asset Class Target | -3.0% | 2.6% | 3.0% | 2.2% | 4.3% |

Private Markets

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Private Markets | 3.0% | 7.6% | 8.8% | 11.0% | 9.8% |

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
Funds Under Management



12/31/16
Market Value
(Billions)

Retirement Funds

| | |
|---|--------|
| Combined Funds | \$59.9 |
| Supplemental Investment Fund (Excluding Deferred Compensation Plan Assets) | 2.0 |
| State Deferred Compensation Plan | 6.0 |

Non-Retirement Funds

| | |
|---|------------|
| Assigned Risk Plan | 0.3 |
| Permanent School Fund | 1.3 |
| Environmental Trust Fund | 1.0 |
| Closed Landfill Investment Fund | 0.1 |
| Miscellaneous Trust Accounts | 0.2 |
| Other Post Employment Benefits Accounts | 0.6 |
| State Cash Accounts | 10.1 |
| <u>Minnesota College Savings Plan</u> | <u>1.2</u> |

Total **\$82.7**

Note: Amounts and percentages may not add due to rounding.

This page intentionally left blank.

MINNESOTA STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

QUARTERLY INVESTMENT REPORT

Fourth Quarter 2016

(October 1, 2016 - December 31, 2016)

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Capital Market Indices | 2 |
| Financial Markets Review | 3 |
| Combined Funds | 5 |
| Stock and Bond Manager Pools | 9 |
| Private Markets | 10 |
| Supplemental Investment Fund | 11 |
| Fund Description | |
| Income Share Account | |
| Growth Share Account | |
| Common Stock Index Account | |
| International Share Account | |
| Bond Market Account | |
| Money Market Account | |
| Fixed Interest Account | |
| Volunteer Firefighter Account | |
| Deferred Compensation Plan | 15 |
| Minnesota College Savings Plan Accounts | 18 |
| Assigned Risk Plan | 21 |
| Permanent School Fund | 22 |
| Environmental Trust Fund | 23 |
| Closed Landfill Investment Fund | 24 |
| State Cash Accounts | 25 |
| Composition of State Investment Portfolios | 26 |

VARIOUS CAPITAL MARKET INDICES

Periods Ended December 31, 2016

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years | Last 20 Years |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Domestic Equity | | | | | | |
| Russell 3000 (broad market) | 4.2% | 12.7% | 8.4% | 14.7% | 7.1% | 7.9% |
| Russell 1000 (large cap) | 3.8 | 12.1 | 8.6 | 14.7 | 7.1 | 7.9 |
| Russell 2000 (small cap) | 8.8 | 21.3 | 6.7 | 14.5 | 7.1 | 8.2 |
| Dow Jones Wilshire Composite | 4.1 | 12.6 | 8.4 | 14.6 | 7.2 | 7.9 |
| Dow Jones Industrials | 8.7 | 16.5 | 8.7 | 12.9 | 7.5 | 8.2 |
| S&P 500 | 3.8 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 14.7 | 6.9 | 7.7 |
| Domestic Fixed Income | | | | | | |
| BB Barclays U.S. Agg. (1) | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 5.3 |
| BB Barclays Gov't/Credit | -3.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 5.3 |
| 3 month U.S. Treasury Bills | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 2.3 |
| International | | | | | | |
| MSCI Emerging Markets Free Index (2) | -4.2 | 11.2 | -2.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 5.5 |
| MSCI ACWI ex USA (3) | -1.3 | 4.5 | -1.8 | 5.0 | 1.0 | -- |
| MSCI EAFE (4) | -0.7 | 1.0 | -1.6 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 4.2 |
| MSCI World ex USA (5) | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | 0.9 | 4.4 |
| Salomon Non U.S. Gov't Bond | -10.8 | 1.8 | -2.2 | -1.9 | 2.5 | 3.6 |
| Inflation Measure | | | | | | |
| Consumer Price Index CPI-U (6) | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| Consumer Price Index CPI-W (7) | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.1 |

(1) Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate. Includes governments, corporates and mortgages.

(2) Morgan Stanley Capital International Emerging Markets Free Index (Net index).

(3) Morgan Stanley Capital International All Country World Index ex USA (Net index).

(4) Morgan Stanley Capital International Index of Europe, Australasia and the Far East (EAFE) (Net index).

(5) Morgan Stanley Capital International World ex USA Index (Developed Markets) (Net index).

(6) Consumer Price Index (CPI) for all urban consumers, also known as CPI-U.

(7) Consumer Price Index (CPI) for all wage earners, also known as CPI-W.

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

FINANCIAL MARKETS REVIEW

DOMESTIC STOCKS

The U.S. stock market, as measured by the Russell 3000 index, posted a 4.2% return for the fourth quarter of 2016. This quarter was dominated by the run-up to, and outcome of, the U.S. Presidential election. While the initial reaction to Donald Trump's somewhat unexpected victory was negative, the market quickly recovered and extended its gains. Within the Russell 3000, Financial Services was the best performing sector with a 13.0% return while Health Care was the weakest sector with -4.2% return for the quarter. Value stocks outperformed growth stocks during the quarter.

Performance for the Russell Style Indices for the quarter is shown below:

| | | |
|--------------|---------------------|-------|
| Large Growth | Russell 1000 Growth | 1.0% |
| Large Value | Russell 1000 Value | 6.7% |
| Small Growth | Russell 2000 Growth | 3.6% |
| Small Value | Russell 2000 Value | 14.1% |

The Russell 3000 index returned 12.7% for the year ending December 31, 2016.

DOMESTIC BONDS


The U.S. bond market, as measured by the Bloomberg Barclays Capital U.S. Aggregate Bond Index, produced a total return of -2.98% for the quarter. The 2-year U.S. Treasury yield ended the quarter higher by 43 basis points at 1.19%, the 5-year increased by 78 basis points to 1.93%, the 10-year increased by 85 basis points to 2.45%, and the 30-year increased by 75 basis points to 3.07%. Relative to other sectors, asset backed securities delivered the strongest performance, followed by agency debentures and agency mortgage backed securities..

The major sector returns for the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index for the quarter were:

| | |
|----------------------|-------|
| U.S. Treasury | -3.8% |
| Agency | -2.1% |
| Corporates | -2.8% |
| Agency MBS | -2.0% |
| Commercial Mortgages | -3.0% |
| Asset-Backed | -0.7% |

PERFORMANCE OF CAPITAL MARKETS

Cumulative Returns



FINANCIAL MARKETS REVIEW

DEVELOPED INTERNATIONAL MARKETS

In aggregate, developed international stock markets (as measured by MSCI World ex USA index net) provided a return of -0.4% for the quarter. Developed markets had a positive quarter, in local currency terms boosted, in part, by investor optimism for an improving global economy. But, the sharp appreciation of the dollar erased nearly all of that return for U.S. dollar-based investors. The top performing sectors were Financials, Energy and Materials. The worst performing sectors were Consumer Staples, Health Care and Real Estate.

The quarterly performance of the six largest stock markets, which comprise about 73% of the MSCI World ex USA index, is shown below:

| | |
|----------------|-------|
| Japan | -0.2% |
| United Kingdom | -0.9% |
| France | 2.9% |
| Canada | 3.3% |
| Germany | 1.5% |
| Switzerland | -3.9% |

The MSCI World ex USA index returned -2.8% during the last year.

EMERGING INTERNATIONAL MARKETS

Emerging markets (as measured by MSCI Emerging Market index net) provided a return of -4.2% for the quarter. Developing countries lost ground, hurt by a surge in the U.S. dollar and the hike in U.S. interest rates for the first time in a year. The top performing sectors were Energy, Materials and Financials. The worst performing sectors were Consumer Staples, Real Estate and Health Care.

The quarterly performance of the six largest stock markets, which comprise about 76% of the MSCI Emerging Markets index, is shown below:

| | |
|--------------|-------|
| China | -7.1% |
| Korea | -5.6% |
| Taiwan | -2.2% |
| India | -8.0% |
| Brazil | 2.1% |
| South Africa | -4.1% |

The Emerging Markets Free index returned 11.2% during the last year.

REAL ESTATE

During the fourth quarter of 2016, private real estate achieved its 28th consecutive quarter of positive returns with the NCREIF Property Index posting a return of 1.7%. Real Estate markets have seen a healthy recovery since the 2008-2009 crash. An aggregate \$104bn in private real estate funds was raised in 2016, with approximately 61% of the total amount focused on North American properties. While the aggregate capital raised has decreased from 2014 and 2015 levels, 59% of closed-end private real estate funds closed in 2016 beat their targeted fundraise amount. (Sources: NCREIF; Preqin)

PRIVATE EQUITY

During 2016, an aggregate \$345 billion in private equity funds was raised across 807 funds. Roughly half of the capital raised is targeting North American companies and nearly 32% is focused on European companies. Buyout funds topped the list of aggregate capital raised globally with \$208bn across 180 funds with Venture Capital a distant second raising \$55bn across 372 funds. Heading into 1Q17, there is \$820bn of dry powder, a 9% increase from the previous year. (Source: Preqin)

When surveyed by Preqin, institutional investors cited high valuations as being an area of concern heading into 2017. However, 94% of the same group of investors plan to either maintain or increase their Private Equity allocation heading into 2017. There will be no shortage of options as 1,835 funds are seeking to raise \$526bn heading into 2017. (Sources: Preqin)

RESOURCE FUNDS

During the fourth quarter of 2016, crude oil traded between a range of \$43/bbl and \$54/bbl. The average price for the quarter was \$49/bbl, which was \$4 above the average price from the third quarter. Prices surged in December after OPEC members pledged to cut production by 1.2 million barrels per day. (Sources: Bloomberg, The Economist)

COMBINED FUNDS


The comparison universe used by the SBI is the Trust Universe Comparison Service (TUCS). Only funds with assets over \$1 billion are included in the comparisons shown in this section.

Asset Mix Compared to Other Pension Funds

On 12/31/16, the asset mix of the Combined Funds was:

| | \$ Million | % |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Public Equity | \$38,214 | 63.8% |
| Bonds | 12,105 | 20.2% |
| Private Markets* | 7,932 | 13.2% |
| Cash | 1,688 | 2.8% |
| Total | 59,940 | 100.0% |

Comparisons of the Combined Funds' asset mix to the median allocation to stocks, bonds and other assets of the public and corporate funds in TUCS over \$1 billion are shown below:



| | <u>Domestic</u> <u>Equity</u> | <u>Int'l</u> <u>Equity</u> | <u>Bonds</u> | <u>Alternatives</u> | <u>Cash</u> |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Combined Funds | 47.1% | 16.6% | 20.2% | 13.2% | 2.8% |
| Median Allocation in TUCS* | 32.5% | 11.6% | 24.2% | 10.3%** | 4.0% |

* Public and corporate plans over \$1 billion.

** May include assets other than alternatives.

COMBINED FUNDS

Performance Compared to Other Pension Funds


While the SBI is concerned with how its returns compare to other pension investors, universe comparisons should be used with great care. There are several reasons why such comparisons will provide an "apples to oranges" look at performance:

- **Differing Allocations.** Asset allocation will have a dominant effect on return. The allocation to stocks among the funds in TUCS typically ranges from 20-90%, a very wide range for meaningful comparison. In addition, it appears that many funds do not include alternative asset holdings in their reports to TUCS. This further distorts comparisons among funds.

- **Differing Goals/Liabilities.** Each pension fund structures its portfolio to meet its own liabilities and risk tolerance. This will result in different asset mix choices. Since asset mix will largely determine investment results, a universe ranking is not relevant to a discussion of how well a plan sponsor is meeting its long-term liabilities.

With these considerations in mind, the performance of the Combined Funds compared to other public and corporate pension funds in Trust Universe Comparison Service (TUCS) are shown below.

The SBI's returns are ranked against public and corporate plans with over \$1 billion in assets. All funds in TUCS report their returns gross of fees.



| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | <u>Quarter</u> | <u>1 Year</u> | <u>3 Years</u> | <u>5 Years</u> | <u>10 Years</u> |
| Combined Funds Percentile Rank in TUCS* | 20th | 52nd | 41st | 13th | 16th |

* Compared to public and corporate plans greater than \$1 billion, gross of fees.

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

COMBINED FUNDS


Performance Compared to Composite Index

The Combined Funds' performance is evaluated relative to a composite of market indices. The composite is weighted in a manner that reflects the asset allocation of the Combined Funds. Beginning July 1, 2016, the Combined Funds Composite composition entered a transition period as unfunded commitments to private markets shift from Bonds to Public Equity:

| | Market Index | Combined Funds Composite 4Q16 |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Public Equity | 73% Russell 3000/27% MSCI ACWI ex US | 61.7% * |
| Bonds | BB Barclays U.S. Aggregate | 24.2% * |
| Private Markets | Private Markets | 12.9% * |
| Unallocated Cash | 3 Month T-Bills | 1.2% * |
| | | 100.0% |

*During the transition period all asset class weights are reset in the composite at the start of each month. The above Combined Funds Composite weighting was as of the beginning of the quarter.

Performance Comparison



Periods Ended 12/31/16

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|------|------|------|------|------|
| Combined Funds ** | ■ | 1.5% | 7.6% | 5.3% | 9.8% | 6.1% |
| Composite | ■ | 1.5% | 8.3% | 5.6% | 9.5% | 5.9% |

** Actual returns are reported net of fees.

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

This page intentionally left blank.

STOCK AND BOND MANAGERS

Performance of Asset Pools

(Net of Fees)


Domestic Stocks

Target: Russell 3000

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Domestic Stocks | 4.3% | 11.5% | 7.9% | 14.6% | 6.9% |
| Asset Class Target* | 4.2% | 12.7% | 8.4% | 14.7% | 7.1% |

* The Domestic Equity Asset Class Target is the Russell 3000 effective 10/1/03. From 7/1/99 to 9/30/03, it was the Wilshire 5000 Investable Index.

Value Added to Domestic Equity Target (1)




International Stocks

Target: Standard MSCI ACWI Free ex U.S. (net)

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Int'l Stocks | -1.7% | 2.6% | -1.5% | 5.8% | 1.4% |
| Asset Class Target* | -1.3% | 4.5% | -1.8% | 5.0% | 1.0% |

* The Int'l Equity Asset Class Target is MSCI ACWI Free ex U.S. (net) effective 10/1/03.

Value Added to International Equity Target (1)




Bonds

Target: Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Bonds | -2.6% | 3.6% | 3.4% | 3.1% | 4.7% |
| Asset Class Target | -3.0% | 2.6% | 3.0% | 2.2% | 4.3% |

Value Added to Fixed Income Target (1)



Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

(1) Graph data is based on actual return and may not match table due to rounding.

Private Markets
Performance of Asset Categories
(Net of Fees)

Private Markets

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Private Markets | 3.0% | 7.6% | 8.8% | 11.0% | 9.8% |
| Inflation | 0.0% | 2.1% | 1.2% | 1.4% | 1.8% |

Real Estate Investments (Equity emphasis)

The SBI began its real estate program in the mid-1980's and periodically makes new investments. Some of the existing investments are relatively immature and returns may not be indicative of future results.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Real Estate | 2.5% | 7.1% | 13.0% | 12.1% | 5.8% |

Private Equity Investments (Equity emphasis)

The SBI began its private equity program in the mid-1980's and periodically makes new investments. Some of the existing investments are relatively immature and returns may not be indicative of future results.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Private Equity | 3.4% | 11.5% | 13.0% | 14.1% | 11.8% |

Resource Investments (Equity emphasis)

The SBI began its resource program in the mid-1980's and periodically makes new investments. Some of the existing investments are relatively immature and returns may not be indicative of future results.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|----------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Resource | 3.1% | -6.2% | -5.8% | 0.9% | 7.2% |

Yield Oriented Investments (Debt emphasis)

The SBI began its yield oriented program in 1994. Some of the existing investments are relatively immature and returns may not be indicative of future results.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Yield Oriented | 1.1% | 7.6% | 6.4% | 10.0% | 10.6% |

SUPPLEMENTAL INVESTMENT FUND

The Minnesota Supplemental Investment Fund (SIF) is a multi-purpose investment program that offers a range of investment options to state and local public employees.

The SIF provides some or all of the investment options to the Unclassified Employees Retirement Plan, Minnesota Deferred Compensation Plan, Public Employees Defined Contribution Plan, Hennepin County Supplemental Retirement Plan, Health Care Savings Plan, local police and firefighter retirement plans and the Voluntary Statewide Volunteer Firefighter plan.

A wide diversity of investment goals exists among the Fund's participants. In order to meet those needs, the Fund has been structured much like a "family of mutual funds." Participants may allocate their investments among one or more accounts that are appropriate for their needs, within the statutory requirements and rules established by the participating organizations. Participation in the Fund is accomplished through the purchase or sale of shares in each account. All returns are net of investment management fees.

On December 31, 2016 the market value of the entire Fund was \$2.0 billion.

Investment Options

| | 12/31/16 Market Value (In Millions) |
|--|--|
| Balanced Fund - a balanced portfolio utilizing both common stocks and bonds. | \$389 |
| U.S. Stock Actively Managed Fund - an actively managed, all common stock portfolio. | \$217 |
| U.S. Stock Index Fund - a passively managed, all common stock portfolio designed to track the performance of the entire U.S. stock market. | \$520 |
| Broad International Stock Fund - a portfolio of non U.S. stocks that incorporates both active and passive management. | \$168 |
| Bond Fund - an actively managed, all bond portfolio. | \$148 |
| Money Market Fund - a portfolio utilizing short-term, liquid debt securities. | \$308 |
| Stable Value Fund - a portfolio of stable value instruments, including security backed contracts and insurance company and bank investment contracts. | \$220 |
| Volunteer Firefighter Account - a balanced portfolio only used by the Voluntary Statewide Volunteer Firefighter Plan. | \$72 |

SUPPLEMENTAL INVESTMENT FUND ACCOUNTS

BALANCED FUND

Investment Objective

The primary investment objective of the Balanced Fund is similar to that of the Combined Funds. The Fund seeks to maximize long-term real rates of return, while limiting short-run portfolio return volatility.

Asset Mix

The Balanced Fund is invested in a balanced portfolio of common stocks and bonds. Common stocks provide the potential for significant capital appreciation, while bonds act as a deflation hedge and provide portfolio diversification.

| | Target | Actual |
|--------|--------|--------|
| Stocks | 60.0% | 60.0% |
| Bonds | 35.0% | 35.6% |
| Cash | 5.0% | 4.4% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Periods Ended 12/31/16

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Total Account | 1.7% | 9.1% | 6.3% | 10.1% | 6.4% |
| Benchmark* | 1.5% | 8.7% | 6.3% | 9.6% | 5.9% |

* 60% Russell 3000/35% Barclays Aggregate Bond Index/5% T-Bills Composite.

U.S. STOCK ACTIVELY MANAGED FUND

Investment Objective

The U.S. Stock Actively Managed Fund's investment objective is to generate above-average returns from capital appreciation on common stocks.

Asset Mix

The U.S. Stock Actively Managed Fund is invested primarily in the common stocks of U.S. companies. The managers in the account also hold varying levels of cash.

Periods Ended 12/31/16

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Total Account | 4.0% | 10.5% | 7.5% | 14.5% | 6.8% |
| Benchmark* | 4.2% | 12.7% | 8.4% | 14.7% | 7.1% |

* Russell 3000.

U.S. STOCK INDEX FUND

Investment Objective and Asset Mix

The investment objective of the U.S. Stock Index Fund is to generate returns that track those of the U.S. stock market as a whole. The Fund is designed to track the performance of the Russell 3000, a broad-based equity market indicator.

The Fund is invested 100% in common stock.

Periods Ended 12/31/16

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Total Account | 4.2% | 12.7% | 8.5% | 14.7% | 7.1% |
| Benchmark* | 4.2% | 12.7% | 8.4% | 14.7% | 7.1% |

* Russell 3000.

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

SUPPLEMENTAL INVESTMENT FUND ACCOUNTS

BROAD INTERNATIONAL STOCK FUND

Investment Objective and Asset Mix

The investment objective of the Broad International Stock Fund is to earn a high rate of return by investing in the stock of companies outside the U.S. At least 25% of the Fund is "passively managed" and no more than 33% of the Fund is "semi-passively managed." These portions of the Fund are designed to track and modestly outperform, respectively, the return of 23 developed markets included in the MSCI World ex USA Index. The remainder of the Fund is "actively managed" by several international managers and emerging markets specialists who buy and sell stocks in an attempt to maximize market value.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Total Account | -1.7% | 2.6% | -1.5% | 5.8% | 1.5% |
| Benchmark* | -1.3% | 4.5% | -1.8% | 5.0% | 1.0% |

* The Int'l Equity Asset Class Target is MSCI ACWI ex USA (net).

BOND FUND

Investment Objective

The investment objective of the Bond Fund is to exceed the return of the broad domestic bond market by investing in fixed income securities.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Total Account | -2.6% | 3.6% | 3.4% | 3.1% | 4.7% |
| BB Barc Agg | -3.0% | 2.6% | 3.0% | 2.2% | 4.3% |

Asset Mix

The Bond Fund invests primarily in high-quality, government and corporate bonds that have intermediate to long-term maturities, usually 3 to 20 years.

MONEY MARKET FUND

Investment Objective

The investment objective of the Money Market Fund is to protect principal by investing in short-term, liquid U.S. Government securities.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Total Account | 0.2% | 0.6% | 0.3% | 0.3% | 1.0% |
| 3 month T-Bills | 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.8% |

Asset Mix

The Fund is invested entirely in high-quality, short-term U.S. Treasury and Agency securities. The average maturity of the portfolios is less than 90 days.

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

SUPPLEMENTAL INVESTMENT FUND ACCOUNTS

STABLE VALUE FUND

Investment Objective

The investment objectives of the Stable Value Fund are to protect investors from loss of their original investment and to provide competitive interest rates using somewhat longer-term investments than typically found in a money market fund.

Asset Mix

The Fund is invested in a well-diversified portfolio of high-quality fixed income securities with strong credit ratings. The Fund also invests in contracts issued by highly rated insurance companies and banks which are structured to provide principal protection for the Fund's diversified bond portfolios, regardless of daily market changes.

Periods Ended 12/31/16

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Total Account | 0.5% | 2.1% | 2.0% | 2.2% | 3.2% |
| Benchmark* | 0.4% | 1.4% | 1.4% | 1.2% | 1.8% |

* The Stable Value Fund Benchmark is the 3-year Constant Maturity Treasury Bill +45 basis points.

VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTER ACCOUNT

The investment objective of the Volunteer Firefighter Account is to maximize long-term returns while limiting short-term portfolio return volatility.

The Account is invested in a balanced portfolio:

| | Target | Actual |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Domestic Stocks | 35.0% | 35.1% |
| International Stocks | 15.0% | 15.2% |
| Bonds | 45.0% | 44.9% |
| Cash | 5.0% | 4.9% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Periods Ended 12/31/16

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Total Account | 0.4% | 6.9% | 4.4% | 7.5% | -- |
| Benchmark* | 0.0% | 6.5% | 4.2% | 7.0% | -- |

* The benchmark for this account is 35% Russell 3000, 15% MSCI ACWI ex USA (net), 45% Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate, 5% 3 Month T-Bills.

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN ACCOUNTS

The Deferred Compensation Plan provides public employees with a tax-sheltered retirement savings plan that is supplemental to their primary retirement plan. (In most cases, the primary plan is a defined benefit plan administered by TRA, PERA, or MSRS.)

Participants choose from 4 actively managed stock and bond funds, 5 passively managed stock and bond funds and 10 target retirement fund options.

The SBI also offers a money market option and a stable value option. All provide for daily pricing needs of the plan administrator.

Participants may also choose from hundreds of funds in a mutual fund window. The current plan structure became effective July 1, 2011. The investment options and objectives are outlined below.

In the third quarter of 2016, the Janus Large-Cap Fund was replaced by the Vanguard Dividend Growth Large-Cap Fund.

On December 31, 2016, the market value of the entire Fund was \$6.0 billion.

Investment Options

| | 12/31/16 Market Value (In Millions) |
|---|--|
| Vanguard Index Institutional Plus Shares (passive) | \$1,061.9 |
| Vanguard Dividend Growth (active) | \$523.0 |
| Vanguard Mid Cap Index Institutional Shares (passive) | \$458.3 |
| T. Rowe Price Small-Cap Stock (active) | \$633.1 |
| Fidelity Diversified International (active) | \$254.4 |
| Vanguard Total International Stock Index Institutional Plus (passive) | \$150.3 |
| Vanguard Balanced Index Institutional Shares (passive) | \$711.4 |
| Dodge & Cox Income Fund (active) | \$215.9 |
| Vanguard Total Bond Market Index Institutional Shares (passive) | \$208.5 |
| SIF Money Market Fund | \$67.9 |
| SIF Stable Value Fund | \$1,356.8 |
| State Street Global Advisors MN Target Retirement Funds | \$405.6 |
| Income Fund | \$71.4 |
| 2020 Fund | \$91.5 |
| 2025 Fund | \$76.8 |
| 2030 Fund | \$51.0 |
| 2035 Fund | \$38.9 |
| 2040 Fund | \$25.9 |
| 2045 Fund | \$21.2 |
| 2050 Fund | \$15.0 |
| 2055 Fund | \$7.5 |
| 2060 Fund | \$6.2 |

DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN ACCOUNTS

LARGE CAP EQUITY

Vanguard Index Institutional Plus (passive)

A passive domestic stock portfolio that tracks the S&P 500.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | 3.8% | 12.0% | 8.9% | 14.7% |
| S&P 500 | 3.8% | 12.0% | 8.9% | 14.7% |

Vanguard Dividend Growth (active)

A fund of large cap stocks which is expected to outperform the Nasdaq US Dividend Achievers Select Index, over time.

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Fund | 1.0% | 7.5% | 7.3% |
| Nasdaq US Div | 2.1% | 11.8% | 6.5% | 11.7% |

MID CAP EQUITY

Vanguard Mid Cap Index (passive)

A fund that passively invests in companies with medium market capitalizations that tracks the CRSP US Mid-Cap Index.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | 2.1% | 11.2% | 7.7% | 14.4% |
| CRSP U.S. Mid Cap | 2.1% | 11.2% | 7.7% | 14.5% |

SMALL CAP EQUITY

T Rowe Price Small Cap (active)

A fund that invests primarily in companies with small market capitalizations and is expected to outperform the Russell 2000.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|--------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | 7.6% | 19.0% | 7.4% | 15.5% |
| Russell 2000 | 8.8% | 21.3% | 6.7% | 14.5% |

INTERNATIONAL EQUITY

Fidelity Diversified International (active)

A fund that invests primarily in stocks of companies located outside of the United States and is expected to outperform the MSCI index of Europe, Australasia and the Far East (EAFE), over time.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | -4.7% | -3.7% | -1.3% | 7.5% |
| MSCI EAFE | -0.7% | 1.0% | -1.6% | 6.5% |

Vanguard Total International Stock Index (passive)

A fund that seeks to track the investment performance of the MSCI All Country World ex USA Investable Market Index, an index designed to measure equity market performance in developed and emerging markets, excluding the United States.

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Fund | -1.9% | 4.7% | -1.3% |
| ACWI ex USA IMI | -1.2% | 5.0% | -0.8% | 5.8% |

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN ACCOUNTS

BALANCED

Vanguard Balanced Index (passive)

A fund that passively invests in a mix of domestic stocks and bonds. The fund is expected to track a weighted benchmark of 60% CRSP US Total Market Index/40% BB Barclays U.S. Aggregate.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | 1.2% | 8.8% | 6.4% | 9.6% |
| Benchmark | 1.3% | 8.8% | 6.4% | 9.7% |

FIXED INCOME

Dodge & Cox Income Fund (active)

A fund that invests primarily in investment grade securities in the U.S. bond market which is expected to outperform the BB Barclays U.S. Aggregate, over time.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | -1.2% | 5.6% | 3.5% | 3.8% |
| BB Barc Agg | -3.0% | 2.6% | 3.0% | 2.2% |

Vanguard Total Bond Market Index (passive)

A fund that passively invests in a broad, market weighted bond index that is expected to track the BB Barclays U.S. Aggregate.

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Fund | -3.2% | 2.6% | 2.9% |
| BB Barc Agg | -3.0% | 2.6% | 3.0% | 2.2% |

SIF Money Market Fund

A fund that invests in short-term debt instruments which is expected to outperform the return on 3-month U.S. Treasury Bills.

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Fund | 0.2% | 0.6% | 0.3% |
| 3-Mo. Treas. | 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.1% |

SIF STABLE VALUE FUND

A portfolio composed of stable value instruments which are primarily investment contracts and security backed contracts. The fund is expected to outperform the return of the 3 year Constant Maturity Treasury +45 basis points, over time.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | 0.5% | 2.1% | 2.0% | 2.2% |
| Benchmark | 0.4% | 1.4% | 1.4% | 1.2% |

MN TARGET RETIREMENT ACCOUNTS

Target retirement funds offer a mix of investments that are adjusted over time to reduce risk and become more conservative as the target retirement date approaches.

See the "Performance Summaries" section of the Public Markets, Non-Retirement, and Defined Contribution Report.

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

MN COLLEGE SAVINGS PLAN ACCOUNTS

The Minnesota College Savings Plan is an education savings plan designed to help families set aside funds for future college costs. The SBI is responsible for the investments and the Minnesota Office of Higher Education (OHE) is responsible for the overall administration of the Plan.

The SBI and OHE contract jointly with TIAA to provide administrative, marketing, communication, recordkeeping and investment management services.

On December 31, 2016, the market value of the entire Fund was \$1.2 billion.

Investment Options

**12/31/16
Market Value
(In Millions)**

Age Based Managed Allocation

| | |
|----------------------------|---------|
| Age Band 0-4 Years | \$24.9 |
| Age Band 5-8 Years | \$69.4 |
| Age Band 9-10 Years | \$69.7 |
| Age Band 11-12 Years | \$100.8 |
| Age Band 13-14 Years | \$134.1 |
| Age Band 15 Years | \$68.9 |
| Age Band 16 Years | \$65.9 |
| Age Band 17 Years | \$62.7 |
| Age Band 18 Years and over | \$155.1 |

Risk Based Managed Allocations

| | |
|--------------|--------|
| Aggressive | \$11.2 |
| Moderate | \$55.4 |
| Conservative | \$5.0 |

Static Options:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| U.S. Large Cap Equity | \$11.8 |
| International Equity | \$2.5 |
| U.S. and International Equity | \$272.7 |
| Equity and Interest Accumulation | \$1.4 |
| 100% Fixed Income | \$12.3 |
| Money Market | \$8.6 |
| Principal Plus Interest | \$109.4 |

MN COLLEGE SAVINGS PLAN ACCOUNTS

AGE-BASED MANAGED ALLOCATIONS

The Age-Based Managed Allocation Option seeks to align the investment objective and level of risk, which will become more conservative as the beneficiary ages and moves closer to entering an eligible educational institution.

See the "Performance Summaries" section of the Public Markets, Non-Retirement, and Defined Contribution Report.

RISK BASED ALLOCATIONS

The Risk Based Allocation Option offers three separate allocation investment options: Aggressive, Moderate and Conservative, which have a fixed risk level and does not change as the Beneficiary ages.

See the "Performance Summaries" section of the Public Markets, Non-Retirement, and Defined Contribution Report.

U.S. LARGE CAP EQUITY INDEX

A passive domestic stock portfolio that tracks the S&P 500.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | 3.8% | 11.7% | -- | -- |
| S&P 500 | 3.8% | 12.0% | -- | -- |

INTERNATIONAL EQUITY INDEX

A fund that passively invests in a mix of developed and emerging market equities. The fund is expected to track a weighted benchmark of 80% MSCI ACWI World ex USA and 20% Emerging Markets Free Index.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | -2.2% | 2.8% | -2.1% | -- |
| Benchmark | -1.4% | 3.1% | -1.8% | -- |

U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EQUITY INDEX

A fund that invests in a mix of equities, both U.S. and international, across all capitalization ranges and real estate-related securities. The fund is expected to track a weighted benchmark of 60% Russell 3000, 24% International, 6% Emerging Markets, and 10% Real Estate Securities Fund.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | 1.4% | 8.9% | 5.5% | 11.5% |
| Benchmark | 1.8% | 9.5% | 5.8% | 11.8% |

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

MN COLLEGE SAVINGS PLAN ACCOUNTS

EQUITY AND INTEREST ACCUMULATION

A fund that passively invests half of the portfolio in equities across all capitalization ranges and the other half in a funding agreement. The fund is expected to track a weighted benchmark of 50% Russell 3000 and 50% 3-month T-Bill.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | 2.2% | 6.9% | -- | -- |
| Benchmark | 2.2% | 6.5% | -- | -- |

100% FIXED INCOME

A fund that passively invests in fixed income holdings that tracks the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate and two active funds that invest in inflation-linked bonds and high yield securities. The fund is expected to track a weighted benchmark of 70% BB Barclays Aggregate, 20% inflation-linked bond, and 10% high yield.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | -2.4% | 3.8% | 2.7% | 1.5% |
| Benchmark | -2.3% | 4.1% | 3.0% | 2.0% |

MONEY MARKET

An active fund that invests in high-quality, short-term money market instruments of both domestic and foreign issuers that tracks the iMoneyNet Average All Taxable benchmark.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| iMoney Net Average All Taxable | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

PRINCIPAL PLUS INTEREST OPTION

A passive fund where contributions are invested in a Funding Agreement issued by TIAA-CREF Life. The funding agreement provides for a return of principal plus a guaranteed rate of interest which is made by the insurance company to the policyholder, not the account owners. The account is expected to outperform the return of the 3-month T-Bill.

| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
| Fund | 0.4% | 1.4% | 1.3% | 1.4% |
| 3-Month T-Bill | 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.1% |

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

ASSIGNED RISK PLAN

Investment Objectives

The Assigned Risk plan has two investment objectives: to minimize the mismatch between assets and liabilities and to provide sufficient liquidity for the payment of ongoing claims and operating expenses.

Asset Mix

The Assigned Risk Plan is invested in a portfolio of common stocks and bonds. The actual asset mix will fluctuate in response to changes in the Plan's liability stream.

| | 12/31/16 Target | 12/31/16 Actual |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Stocks | 20.0% | 20.5% |
| Bonds | 80.0% | 79.5% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Investment Management

RBC Global Asset Management (US) manages the bond segment of the Fund. SSgA manages the equity segment.


Performance Benchmarks

Since July 1, 2011, the fixed income benchmark has been the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate. Since July 1, 1994, the equity benchmark has been the S&P 500 index. The total fund benchmark is a combination of the fixed income and equity benchmarks, weighted according to the total fund asset allocation targets.

Market Value

On December 31, 2016 the market value of the Assigned Risk Plan was \$313 million.

Performance Comparison



Periods Ended 12/31/16

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Total Fund* | -1.1% | 3.0% | 2.8% | 3.9% | 4.1% |
| Composite | -1.0% | 3.3% | 3.1% | 3.7% | 4.3% |
| Equity Segment* | 2.8% | 10.2% | 7.2% | 14.1% | 6.8% |
| S&P 500 | 3.8% | 12.0% | 8.9% | 14.7% | 6.9% |
| Bond Segment* | -2.1% | 1.1% | 1.6% | 1.1% | 3.2% |
| BB Barclays Int Gov | -2.2% | 1.1% | 1.6% | 1.0% | 3.4% |

* Actual returns are calculated net of fees.

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND

Investment Objectives

The investment objective of the Permanent School Fund is to produce a growing level of spendable income, within the constraints of maintaining adequate portfolio quality and liquidity. The income from the portfolio is transferred to the school endowment fund and distributed to Minnesota's public schools.

Asset Mix

Effective with FY98, the Permanent School Fund is invested in a balanced portfolio of common stocks and bonds. Common stocks provide the potential for significant capital appreciation, while bonds provide portfolio diversification and a more stable stream of current income.

| | 12/31/16 Target | 12/31/16 Actual |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Stocks | 50.0% | 49.6% |
| Bonds | 48.0% | 48.4% |
| Cash | 2.0% | 2.0% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Prior to FY98, the Fund was invested entirely in fixed income securities in order to maximize current income. It was understood that the change in asset mix would reduce portfolio income in the short term, but enhance the value of the fund, over time.


Investment Management

SBI staff manages all assets of the Permanent School Fund. The stock segment is passively managed to track the performance of the S&P 500. The bond segment is actively managed to add incremental value through sector, security and yield curve decisions.

Market Value

On December 31, 2016 the market value of the Permanent School Fund was \$1.3 billion.

Performance Comparison



Periods Ended 12/31/16

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Total Fund* | 0.8% | 7.7% | 6.1% | 8.9% | 6.2% |
| Composite | 0.5% | 7.3% | 6.0% | 8.4% | 5.8% |
| Equity Segment* | 3.7% | 11.8% | 8.9% | 14.6% | 7.0% |
| S&P 500 | 3.8% | 12.0% | 8.9% | 14.7% | 6.9% |
| Bond Segment* | -2.6% | 3.3% | 3.4% | 3.2% | 4.9% |
| BB Barclays Agg | -3.0% | 2.6% | 3.0% | 2.2% | 4.3% |

* Actual returns are calculated net of fees.

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

ENVIRONMENTAL TRUST FUND

Investment Objectives

The objective of the Environmental Trust Fund is to increase the market value of the Fund over time in order to increase the annual amount made available for spending within the constraints of maintaining adequate portfolio quality and liquidity.

Asset Mix

The Environmental Trust Fund is invested in a balanced portfolio of common stocks and bonds. Common stocks provide the potential for significant capital appreciation, while bonds act as a deflation hedge and provide portfolio diversification. As of July 1, 1999, the asset allocation changed from 50% stocks/50% fixed income to 70% stocks/30% fixed income.

| | 12/31/16 Target | 12/31/16 Actual |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Stocks | 70.0% | 71.1% |
| Bonds | 28.0% | 27.0% |
| Cash | 2.0% | 1.9% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% |


Investment Management

SBI staff manages all assets of the Environmental Trust Fund. The bond segment is actively managed to add incremental value through sector, security and yield curve decisions. The stock segment is passively managed to track the performance of the S&P 500.

Market Value

On December 31, 2016 the market value of the Environmental Trust Fund was \$963 million.

Performance Comparison



| | Periods Ended 12/31/16 | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Total Fund* | 1.9% | 9.2% | 7.2% | 11.1% | 6.7% |
| Composite | 1.8% | 9.2% | 7.2% | 10.9% | 6.3% |
| Equity Segment* | 3.7% | 11.8% | 8.9% | 14.6% | 7.0% |
| S&P 500 | 3.8% | 12.0% | 8.9% | 14.7% | 6.9% |
| Bond Segment* | -2.6% | 3.3% | 3.4% | 3.2% | 4.9% |
| BB Barclays Agg | -3.0% | 2.6% | 3.0% | 2.2% | 4.3% |

* Actual returns are calculated net of fees.

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

CLOSED LANDFILL INVESTMENT FUND

Investment Objectives

The investment objective of the Closed Landfill Investment Fund is to increase the market value of the Fund and to reduce volatility to meet future expenditures. By statute, the assets of the Fund are unavailable for expenditure until after the fiscal year 2020 to pay for long-term costs of maintaining the integrity of landfills in Minnesota once they are closed.

Asset Mix

From July 1999 to September 2014 the Fund's target allocation was 100% domestic equities. The SBI changed the Fund allocation in 2014 to a more balanced allocation of 70% a domestic equities and 30% bonds.

| | 12/31/16 Target | 12/31/16 Actual |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Stocks | 70.0% | 71.4% |
| Bonds | 30.0% | 28.6% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Investment Management

SBI staff manage all assets of the Closed Landfill Investment Fund. The bond segment is actively managed to add incremental value through sector, security and yield curve decisions. The stock segment is managed to passively track the performance of the S&P 500.


Legislation

In FY 2011 \$48 million was transferred out of the general fund, leaving a balance of \$1 million in the account. Legislation was enacted in 2013 to replenish the principal and earnings back into the fund and in FY 2014 a repayment was made in the amount of \$64.2 million. In 2015 legislation was passed which repealed any further repayments..

Market Value

On December 31, 2016, the market value of the Closed Landfill Investment Fund was \$76 million.

Performance Comparison



Periods Ended 12/31/16

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Total Fund (1) | 1.9% | 9.3% | 7.7% | 13.9% | 6.7% |
| Composite (3) | 1.8% | 9.2% | 7.7% | 13.9% | 6.6% |
| Equity Segment (1) | 3.7% | 11.8% | 8.9% | 14.6% | 7.0% |
| S&P 500 | 3.8% | 12.0% | 8.9% | 14.7% | 6.9% |
| Bond Segment (1,2) | -2.6% | 3.3% | -- | -- | -- |
| BB Barclays Agg | -3.0% | 2.6% | -- | -- | -- |

(1) Actual returns are calculated net of fees.

(2) Bond Segment admission date 9/10/14. Returns to be reported upon first full quarter of history (12/31/2014)

(3) The benchmark of the fund is the S&P 500 from mid July 1999 to 9/9/14. As of 9/10/14 the benchmark consist of S&P 500 (70%) and Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate (30%).

Note: All periods over one year are annualized.

STATE CASH ACCOUNTS

Description

State Cash Accounts represent the balances in more than 400 separate accounts that flow through the Minnesota State Treasury. These accounts vary greatly in size.

Most accounts are invested by SBI staff through a short-term pooled fund referred to as the Treasurer's Cash Pool. It contains the cash balances of special or dedicated accounts necessary for the operation of certain State agencies and non-dedicated cash in the State treasury.

In addition, each State of Minnesota bond sale requires two additional pools, one for bond proceeds and one for debt reserve transfer.

Because of special legal restrictions, a small number of cash accounts cannot be commingled. These accounts are invested separately.

Investment Objectives

Safety of Principal. To preserve capital.

Liquidity. To meet cash needs without the forced sale of securities at a loss.

Competitive Rate of Return. To provide a level of current income consistent with the goal of preserving capital.

Asset Mix

The SBI seeks to provide safety of principal by investing all cash accounts in high quality, liquid, short term investments. These include U.S. Treasury and Agency issues, repurchase agreements, bankers acceptances, commercial paper, and certificates of deposit.

Investment Management

All state cash accounts are managed by SBI investment staff. As noted above, most of the assets of the cash accounts are invested through the large commingled investment pool.

| | Period Ending 12/31/16 | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Market Value (Millions) | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
| Treasurer's Cash Pool* | \$9,927 | 0.1% | 0.8% | 0.6% | 0.6% | 1.5% |
| Custom Benchmark** | | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.7% |
| 3 month T-Bills | | 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.8% |

* Actual returns are calculated net of fees.

** Beginning in January 2003, the Treasurer's Cash Pool is measured against the iMoneyNet, All Taxable Money Fund Report Average.

MINNESOTA STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT
Composition of State Investment Portfolios By Type of Investment
Market Value December 31, 2016 (in Thousands)

| | Cash & ST | Bonds Int | Bonds Ext | Stock Int | Stock Ext | Ext Intl | Alternative | Total |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS | | | | | | | | |
| Teachers Retirement Fund | 636,578 3.19% | 0 | 4,015,340 20.12% | 0 | 9,369,779 46.95% | 3,305,930 16.56% | 2,630,992 13.18% | 19,958,619 100% |
| Public Employees Retirement Fund | 479,503 2.68% | 0 | 3,625,228 20.23% | 0 | 8,459,454 47.20% | 2,984,741 16.65% | 2,375,377 13.25% | 17,924,303 100% |
| State Employees Retirement Fund | 285,890 2.46% | 0 | 2,351,202 20.26% | 0 | 5,486,519 47.30% | 1,935,803 16.69% | 1,540,590 13.28% | 11,600,004 100% |
| Public Employees Police & Fire | 192,666 2.62% | 0 | 1,493,411 20.24% | 0 | 3,484,868 47.23% | 1,229,563 16.66% | 978,535 13.26% | 7,379,043 100% |
| Highway Patrol Retirement Fund | 16,302 2.51% | 0 | 131,403 20.26% | 0 | 306,632 47.27% | 108,189 16.68% | 86,101 13.27% | 648,627 100% |
| Judges Retirement Fund | 4,616 2.67% | 0 | 35,028 20.23% | 0 | 81,739 47.20% | 28,840 16.65% | 22,952 13.26% | 173,175 100% |
| Correctional Employees Retirement | 24,146 2.56% | 0 | 190,809 20.25% | 0 | 445,252 47.25% | 157,098 16.67% | 125,024 13.27% | 942,329 100% |
| Public Employees Correctional | 15,039 2.76% | 0 | 110,082 20.21% | 0 | 256,876 47.15% | 90,633 16.64% | 72,130 13.24% | 544,760 100% |
| PERA Minneapolis Retirement | 33,269 4.33% | 0 | 152,830 19.88% | 0 | 356,628 46.39% | 125,828 16.37% | 100,139 13.03% | 768,694 100% |
| TOTAL COMBINED FUNDS | 1,688,009 2.82% | 0 | 12,105,333 20.20% | 0 | 28,247,747 47.13% | 9,966,625 16.62% | 7,931,840 13.23% | 59,939,554 100% |

| | Cash & ST | Bonds Int | Bonds Ext | Stock Int | Stock Ext | Ext Intl | Alternative | Total |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| MINNESOTA SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDS | | | | | | | | |
| Balanced Fund | 16,951 4.36% | 138,315 35.60% | 0 | 0 | 233,262 60.04% | 0 | 0 | 388,528 100% |
| U.S. Stock Actively Managed Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217,327 100.00% | 0 | 0 | 217,327 100% |
| Money Market Fund | 308,210 100.00% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 308,210 100% |
| U.S. Stock Index Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 519,900 100.00% | 0 | 0 | 519,900 100% |
| Bond Fund | 0 | 0 | 148,375 100.00% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148,375 100% |
| Broad International Stock Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168,080 100.00% | 0 | 168,080 100% |
| Stable Value Fund | 0 | 0 | 220,387 100.00% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220,387 100% |
| Volunteer Firefighters Account | 3,513 4.89% | 0 | 32,273 44.85% | 0 | 25,242 35.08% | 10,937 15.20% | 0 | 71,965 100% |
| TOTAL SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDS | 328,674 16.09% | 138,315 6.77% | 401,035 19.63% | 0 | 995,731 48.75% | 179,017 8.76% | 0 | 2,042,772 100% |
| MN DEFERRED COMP PLAN | 74,352 1.23% | 0 | 2,231,425 36.90% | 0 | 3,254,477 53.82% | 487,012 8.05% | 0 | 6,047,266 100% |
| TOTAL RETIREMENT FUNDS | 2,091,035 3.07% | 138,315 0.20% | 14,737,793 21.66% | 0 | 32,497,955 47.77% | 10,632,654 15.63% | 7,931,840 11.66% | 68,029,592 100% |

| | Cash & ST | Bonds Int | Bonds Ext | Stock Int | Stock Ext | Ext Intl | Alternative | Total |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ASSIGNED RISK PLAN | 2,599 0.83% | 0 | 246,642 78.70% | 0 | 64,162 20.47% | 0 | 0 | 313,403 100% |
| ENVIRONMENTAL FUND | 17,826 1.85% | 260,365 27.03% | 0 | 684,956 71.12% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 963,147 100% |
| PERMANENT SCHOOL FUND | 25,167 2.02% | 605,573 48.44% | 0 | 619,369 49.55% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,250,109 100% |
| CLOSED LANDFILL FUND | 0 | 21,604 28.59% | 0 | 53,954 71.41% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75,558 100% |
| Metropolitan Landfill Contingency Action Trust | 0 | 2,347 28.09% | 0 | 6,008 71.91% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,355 100% |
| MISCELLANEOUS TRUST FUND | 490 0.28% | 69,070 40.16% | 0 | 102,420 59.55% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171,980 100% |
| Other Post Employment Funds (OPEB's) | 16,099 2.91% | 99,995 18.06% | 0 | 437,729 79.04% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 553,823 100% |
| TREASURERS CASH | 9,927,001 100.00% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,927,001 100% |
| MISCELLANEOUS STATE ACCOUNTS | 0 | 160,622 100.00% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160,622 100% |
| MN COLLEGE SAVINGS PLAN | 8,649 0.70% | 0 | 602,106 48.49% | 0 | 452,700 36.46% | 178,269 14.36% | 0 | 1,241,724 100% |
| TOTAL CASH AND NON-RETIREMENT | 9,997,831 68.17% | 1,219,576 8.32% | 848,748 5.79% | 1,904,436 12.99% | 516,862 3.52% | 178,269 1.22% | 0 | 14,665,722 100% |
| GRAND TOTAL | 12,088,866 14.62% | 1,357,891 1.64% | 15,586,541 18.85% | 1,904,436 2.30% | 33,014,817 39.92% | 10,810,923 13.07% | 7,931,840 9.59% | 82,695,314 100% |

TAB

B

This page intentionally left blank.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

DATE: February 6, 2017

TO: Members, State Board of Investment

FROM: **Mansco Perry III**

1. Reports on Budget and Travel

A report on the SBI's administrative budget for the fiscal year to date through December 31, 2016 is included as **Attachment A**.

A report on travel for the period from October 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016 is included as **Attachment B**.

2. FY16 Audit Report

The Legislative Auditor letter related to the financial audit of the State Board of Investment financial operations for Fiscal Year 2016 is included as **Attachment C**. The Office of the Legislative Auditor (OLA) issued an unqualified (clean) opinion.

3. FY16 Annual Report

A draft copy of the Fiscal Year 2016 Annual Report was distributed to the Board members/designees and Investment Advisory Council members in December and the final report was distributed January 2017.

4. Legislative Update

I will present an update on legislative matters. A summary is included in **Attachment D**.

5. Sudan Update

Each quarter, staff provides a report to the Board on steps taken to implement *Minnesota Statutes*, section 11A.243 that requires SBI actions concerning companies with operations in Sudan. Staff receives periodic reports from the Empowering Responsible Investment EIRIS Conflict Risk Network (CRN) about the status of companies with operations in Sudan.

The SBI is restricted from purchasing stock in the companies designated as highest offenders by the CRN. Accordingly, staff updates the list of restricted stocks and notifies investment managers that they may not purchase shares in companies on the restricted list. Staff receives monthly reports from the SBI's custodian bank concerning SBI holdings of companies on the CRN list and writes letters as required by law.

According to the law, if after 90 days following the SBI's communication, a company continues to have active business operations in Sudan, the SBI must divest holdings of the company according to the following schedule:

- at least 50% shall be sold within nine months after the company appeared on the Task Force list; and
- 100% shall be sold within fifteen months after the company appeared on the list.

In the fourth quarter, an SBI manager sold a total of 5,955 shares of a company on the divestment list.

Attachment E is a copy of the December 20, 2016 letter sent to each international equity manager and domestic equity manager containing the most recent restricted list and the list of stocks to be divested.

6. Iran Update

Each quarter, staff provides a report to the Board on steps taken to implement *Minnesota Statutes*, section 11A.244 that requires SBI actions concerning companies with operations in Iran.

SBI receives information on companies with Iran operations from IW Financial, through Glass Lewis. Staff receives monthly reports from the SBI's custodian bank concerning SBI holdings of companies on the restricted list and writes letters as required by the law.

According to the law, if after 90 days following the SBI's communication a company continues to have scrutinized business operations, the SBI must divest all publicly traded securities of the company according to the following schedule:

- at least 50% shall be sold within nine months after the company appeared on the scrutinized list; and
- 100% within fifteen months after the company appeared on the scrutinized list.

In the fourth quarter, SBI managers sold 1,834 shares in two companies on the divestment list.

Attachment F is a copy of the December 20, 2016 letter sent to each international equity manager and domestic equity manager and fixed income manager containing the end of quarter restricted list and the list of companies to be divested.

7. Litigation Update

SBI legal counsel will give a verbal update on the status of any litigation at the meeting.

This page intentionally left blank.

ATTACHMENT A

**STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT
FISCAL YEAR 2017 ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET REPORT
FISCAL YEAR TO DATE THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2016**

| ITEM | FISCAL YEAR 2017 BUDGET | FISCAL YEAR 2017 12/31/2016 |
|------------------------------------|--|--|
| PERSONNEL SERVICES | | |
| FULL TIME EMPLOYEES | \$ 4,838,700 | \$ 1,849,103 |
| PART TIME EMPLOYEES | \$ 0 | 0 |
| MISCELLANEOUS PAYROLL | 100,000 | 0 |
| SUBTOTAL | \$ 4,938,700 | \$ 1,849,103 |
| STATE OPERATIONS | | |
| RENTS & LEASES | 300,000 | 139,926 |
| REPAIRS/ALTERATIONS/MAINTENANCE | 15,000 | 6,526 |
| PRINTING & BINDING | 8,000 | 3,247 |
| PROFESSIONAL/TECHNICAL SERVICES | 150,000 | 5,215 |
| COMPUTER SYSTEMS SERVICES | 20,000 | 8,399 |
| COMMUNICATIONS | 36,000 | 13,227 |
| TRAVEL, IN-STATE | 1,000 | 117 |
| TRAVEL, OUT-STATE | 119,000 | 31,553 |
| SUPPLIES | 27,000 | 15,253 |
| EQUIPMENT | 25,000 | 2,451 |
| EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT | 35,000 | 16,356 |
| OTHER OPERATING COSTS | 140,000 | 53,978 |
| INDIRECT COSTS | 250,000 | 55,098 |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL COSTS | | 9,749 |
| SUBTOTAL | \$ 1,126,000 | \$ 361,096 |
| TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET | \$ 6,064,700 | \$ 2,210,199 |

This page intentionally left blank.

ATTACHMENT B

STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

Travel Summary by Date SBI Travel October 1, 2016 - December 31, 2016

| Purpose | Name | Destination and Date | Total Cost |
|--|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Conference: National Association of State Investment Officers (NASIO) | M. Perry | Milwaukee, WI 10/2-10/5 | \$ 2,629.96 |
| Conference: Institutional Investor Services (IIS) Client Advisory Council Meeting sponsored by State Street | L. Stagg | Boston, MA 10/4-10/5 | 983.76 |
| Manager Monitoring Alternative Investment Managers: EnCap; TPG | N. Blumenshine | Scottsdale, AZ 10/17-10/20 | 791.87 |
| Conference: Public Pension Financial Forum (P2F2) | S. Baribeau | Charleston, SC 10/22-10/27 | 2,103.46 |
| Conference: Public Pension Financial Forum (P2F2) | P. Anderson | Charleston, SC 10/22-10/26 | 1,978.92 |
| Manager Monitoring Alternative Investment Managers: Avenue Energy Fund; Brookfield Capital Partners; Vestar Capital Partners | A. Krech | New York, NY 10/25-10/27 | 1,091.61 |

STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

**Travel Summary by Date
SBI Travel October 1, 2016 - December 31, 2016**

| Purpose | Name | Destination and Date | Total Cost |
|--|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Manager Monitoring Alternative Investment Managers: Avenue Capital Partners; Goldman Sachs; MHR; | J. Stacy | New York, NY 10/25-10/27 | \$ 1,649.81 |
| Manager Search Alternative Investment Manager: Oak Hill Capital Partners | | | |
| Manager Monitoring Alternative Investment Managers: Brookfield Capital Partners; Vestar Capital Partners; | P. Ammann | New York, NY 10/25-10/27 | 1,641.43 |
| Manager Search Alternative Investment Manager: Oak Hill Capital Partners | | | |
| Manager Monitoring Domestic Equity/Fixed Income Manager: BlackRock | M. Perry | New York, NY 11/1-11/2 | 959.21 |
| Conference: Institutional Limited Partners Association (ILPA) General Partner Summit | P. Ammann | New York, NY 11/1-11/4 | 1,461.45 |
| Conference: Institutional Limited Partners Association (ILPA) General Partner Summit | C. Boll | New York, NY 11/2-11/4 | 1,534.24 |

STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

**Travel Summary by Date
SBI Travel October 1, 2016 - December 31, 2016**

| Purpose | Name | Destination and Date | Total Cost |
|---|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Manager Monitoring Alternative Investment Managers: KKR Lending Partners; Goldmans Sachs Mezzanine Partners Conference: 2016 Middle Market Opportunities Forum sponsored by Wells Fargo | C. Boll | New York, NY 12/6-12/9 | \$ 2,367.46 |

This page intentionally left blank.



OFFICE OF THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR

STATE OF MINNESOTA • James Nobles, Legislative Auditor

January 13, 2017

Mr. Mansco Perry III, Executive Director and Chief Investment Officer
Minnesota State Board of Investment
60 Empire Drive, Suite 355
Saint Paul, MN 55103

Dear Mr. Perry:

The financial activity of the State Board of Investment, including the assets under investment, is included as part of the primary government in the State of Minnesota's annual *Comprehensive Annual Financial Report* (CAFR). The Office of the Legislative Auditor (OLA) audits this report and provides an independent auditor's report (audit opinion) on the accuracy and fairness of the presentation of various financial statements, footnote disclosures, and other information in the CAFR to the Department of Management and Budget. We issued an unqualified (clean) opinion on the CAFR for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016. Your financial activity is included in the scope of that audit opinion.

In the state's CAFR, you will find the investments included in the Fiduciary Fund financial statements (pages 45-47), which summarizes the detailed financial activity presented in the Pension Trust Fund and the Investment Trust Fund financial statements (pages 220-233). There are also two footnote disclosures in the CAFR, which relate specifically to investments and pensions: Footnote 2 – Cash, Investments, and Derivative Instruments; and Footnote 8 – Pension and Investment Trust Funds. Any financial activity of the State Board of Investment recorded in the General Fund would be indistinguishable from the state's other General Fund financial activity.

In addition, OLA audits the three statewide pension plans administered by the state of Minnesota: Minnesota State Retirement System (MSRS), Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA), and Teachers Retirement Association (TRA). Each retirement plan prepares and issues its own individual comprehensive annual financial report for its respective pension funds. Those reports include the same investment information that rolls into the state's CAFR. The OLA provides an independent auditor's report (audit opinion) on each pension plan's financial report. The scope of those unqualified (clean) opinions includes the investment assets administered by the State Board of Investment.

We also provide the state and each retirement plan with a report on the Internal Controls over Financial Reporting. For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2016, we had no written findings for any of the pension plans and no written findings or recommendations directed toward the State Board of Investment in the report to the Department of Management and Budget. When those reports are issued by OLA, they will be published on our website.

Mr. Mansco Perry III, Executive Director and Chief Investment Officer

January 13, 2017

Page 2

Due to the significance and material nature of the financial activity administered by the State Board of Investment to the pension plans and the state as a whole, we devote significant audit resources to specifically test, verify, and confirm investment balances and other investment-related financial activity.

The OLA audit opinions for the year ended June 30, 2016, for the state's CAFR and the three statewide pension plans are available at the following links:

State of MN: [2016 State of MN CAFR](#)

MSRS: [2016 MSRS CAFR](#)

PERA: [2016 PERA CAFR](#)

TRA: [2016 TRA CAFR](#)

We appreciate the cooperation and assistance provided by the staff and administration of the State Board of Investment throughout our audit process.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Tracy Gebhard". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "T".

Tracy Gebhard, CPA
Audit Director

Cc: Paul Anderson, Chief Financial Officer
LeaAnn Stagg, Chief Operating Officer

Bills of Interest to the Minnesota State Board of Investment
 2017 Legislative Session through **01/31/2017**

| HF/SF # | Author | Name of Bill | Current Status | Notes |
|----------------|--------------------|---|---|---|
| SF480 HF409 | Senjem Albright | Long-term equity investment authority for local governments | 1/30: SF480 referred to Local Government 1/31: HF409 heard by Government Operations and Elections Policy Committee; referred to State Government Finance | The bill grants qualifying cities and counties, along with certain self-insurance pools, the authority to invest a portion of assets in equity markets. To qualify, a city or county must have a population over 100,000, or have achieved the highest bond rating for its most general obligation bond issuance. The money may be invested through SBI or through certain indexed mutual funds. For cities and counties, the amount that may be invested is capped at 15% of the sum of the qualifying government's unassigned cash, cash equivalents, deposits, and investments. For insurance pools, the cap is 15% of the sum of the items above or 25% of the pool's net position. |

This page intentionally left blank.

ATTACHMENT E

Letter to SBI International and Domestic Equity Managers

December 20, 2016

Regarding: Sudan Companies

Dear Manager:

The Minnesota State Board of Investment (SBI) sent you prior communication concerning holdings in companies doing business in Sudan. **This new communication applies to all SBI equity portfolios managed by your organization and replaces all prior communications. This communication also applies to all depository receipts or ADR's of any of the listed companies.**

Minnesota Statutes, section 11A.243 requires the SBI to implement a Sudan restriction.

Attachment 1 is the List of Restricted Sudan Stocks. These securities **may not be purchased** for the SBI portfolio that your organization manages. If you own securities of companies on the Restricted List **and** the companies are **not** on the divestment list, then you do not need to sell your holdings. Please note that the attached list makes changes to the List of Restricted Sudan Companies that was attached to the September 29, 2016 letter you received. **This new list is effective December 31, 2016.**

Changes to Attachment 1:

The following company was **added** to the Restricted List:

- **Atlas Copco AB** **Sweden**

The following companies have been **removed** from the Restricted List:

- **GDF SUEZ** **France**
- **AKM Industrial Company Ltd.** **China**

The following companies had name changes:

- **GAZ OJSC** changed its name to **GAZ PJSC**
- **Pavlovo Bus JSC** changed its name to **Pavlovo Bus PJSC**

Attachment 2 is the List of Sudan Stocks Requiring Divestment. If you own securities of companies on this list then you must **divest** those holdings according to the schedule provided in the attachment:

- At least 50 percent of a company's holdings must be sold by the date indicated, and
- At least 100 percent of a company's holdings must be sold by the date indicated.

There are no changes to **Attachment 2**.

Attachment 3 is a list of security identifiers for the companies on the List of Restricted Sudan Stocks (Attachment 1) that your organization may use. The SBI does not represent that this is a complete list of identifiers. The manager is responsible for identifying all listings. Please note that the list of security identifiers may have information on companies not on the restricted list.

If you have any questions about this matter, please contact your assigned SBI Investment Officer.

Sincerely,

Mansco Perry III
Executive Director and Chief Investment Officer

Enclosures

cc: Michael J. Menssen, Director, Debt Management
Tammy Brusehaver, Investment Officer, Public Equity
Inma Conde Goldman, Investment Officer, Public Equity
Stephanie Gleeson, Investment Officer, Public Equity
Patricia Ammann, Investment Officer, Private Markets

ATTACHMENT 1

| Restricted Sudan Stocks | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Company Name | Country of Origin |
| Andritz AG | Austria |
| Orca Gold Inc. | Canada |
| China Gezhouba Group Company Limited | China |
| China North Industries Group Corporation AKA CNGC/NORINCO | China |
| China Petroleum and Chemical Corporation AKA Sinopec Corp. | China |
| China Poly Group Corporation | China |
| Daqing Huake Group Company Limited | China |
| Dongfeng Motor Group Company Limited | China |
| Harbin Electric Company Ltd. | China |
| Jiangxi Hongdu Aviation | China |
| NORINCO International Cooperation Ltd | China |
| North Huajin Chemical Industries Co. | China |
| North Navigation Control Technology Co. Ltd | China |
| Petrochina Co. Ltd AKA China National Petroleum Corporation (CNPC) | China |
| Poly Property Group Co. Ltd. | China |
| Power Construction Corporation of China Ltd. | China |
| Power Construction Corporation of China (PowerChina) | China |
| Shanghai Electric Group Co. Ltd. | China |
| Sinopec Engineering Group Co. Ltd. | China |
| Sinopec Shanghai Petrochemicals Ltd | China |
| Sinopec Oilfield Service Corp | China |
| ASEC Company for Mining (ASCOM) | Egypt |
| Egypt Kuwait Holding Company | Egypt |
| ENGIE | France |
| Parisienne Chauffage Urbain | France |
| MAN SE | Germany |
| Renk AG | Germany |
| Volkswagen AG | Germany |
| Kunlun Energy Co. Ltd | Hong Kong |
| Sinopec Kanton Holdings Limited | Hong Kong |
| Bharat Electronics Limited | India |
| Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited | India |
| Chennai Petroleum Corporation Ltd. AKA CPCL | India |
| Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. | India |
| Lanka IOC Limited | India |
| Mangalore Refinery and Petrochemicals Limited | India |
| Oil & Natural Gas Corp. Ltd. | India |
| Oil India Limited | India |
| Energy House Holding Co KSCC, The | Kuwait |
| Kuwait Finance House | Kuwait |
| Engen Botswana Ltd. AKA Engen | Malaysia |
| KLCCP Stapled Group AKA KLCC Property Holdings | Malaysia |

ATTACHMENT 1

Restricted Sudan Stocks

| Company Name | Country of Origin |
|---|--------------------------|
| Malaysia International Shipping Company AKA MISC Berhad | Malaysia |
| Malaysia Marine and Heavy Engineering Holdings Bhd | Malaysia |
| Petroleum Nasional Berhad AKA Petronas | Malaysia |
| Petronas Chemicals Group Berhad | Malaysia |
| Petronas Dagangan Berhad | Malaysia |
| Petronas Gas Berhad | Malaysia |
| Managem | Morocco |
| Societe Metallurgique D'imiter | Morocco |
| GAZ Group | Russia |
| GAZ PJSC | Russia |
| Kamaz PJSC | Russia |
| Neftekamsky Avtozavod (aka NEFAZ) | Russia |
| Pavlovo Bus PJSC | Russia |
| LS Industrial Systems | South Korea |
| Atlas Copco AB | Sweden |

Note: List contains parent companies and subsidiaries publicly traded.
AKA means "Also Known As"

Source: EIRIS Conflict Risk Network

Effective Date: December 31, 2016

ATTACHMENT 2

SUDAN STOCKS REQUIRING DIVESTMENT

| Company Name | Country of Origin | Divest 50 Percent By this Date | Divest 100 Percent By this date |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| ENGIE | France | June 30, 2017 | December 31, 2017 |

Effective Date: December 31, 2016

COMPLETED/HISTORICAL DIVESTMENT

| Company Name | Country of Origin | Divest 50 Percent By this Date | Divest 100 Percent By this date |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Shanghai Electric Group Co. Ltd. | China | March 31, 2016 | September 30, 2016 |
| Volkswagen AG | Germany | June 30, 2015 | December 31, 2015 |
| Poly Property Group | China | March 31, 2015 | September 30, 2015 |
| MAN SE | Germany | December 31, 2014 | June 30, 2015 |
| Bharat Heavy Electricals | India | September 30, 2014 | March 31, 2015 |
| Bharat Electronics Limited | India | September 30, 2014 | March 31, 2015 |
| Andritz AG | Austria | September 30, 2014 | March 31, 2015 |
| Dongfeng Motor Group Company Limited | China | March 31, 2011 | September 30, 2011 |
| China Petroleum and Chemical Corporation AKA Sinopec Corp | China | April 30, 2008 | October 31, 2008 |
| PetroChina Company | China | April 30, 2008 | October 31, 2008 |
| Oil and Natural Gas Corp AKA ONGC | India | April 30, 2008 | October 31, 2008 |
| Malaysia International Shipping Company AKA MISC Berhad | Malaysia | April 30, 2008 | October 31, 2008 |

| Company Name | ADR | PC6A TH | 74646E 100 | 936893 | 11511449 |
|--|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| PETROCHINA CO LTD | | | | | |
| NORWICO INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION LTD. | Common Stock | 000065 CH | 6112125 CN | 6112125 CN | US71646E1001 |
| NORWICO INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION LTD. | Common Stock | 000065 CS | 6112125 CN | 6112125 CN | CNE000000V29 |
| NORWICO INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION LTD. | Common Stock | 000065 C2 | BD5L TR8 CN | BD5L TR8 CN | CNE000000V29 |
| NORTH HUAJIN CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES CO LTD | Common Stock | 000059 CH | 6796143 CN | 6796143 CN | CNE000000N19 |
| NORTH HUAJIN CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES CO LTD | Common Stock | 000059 CS | 6796143 CN | 6796143 CN | CNE000000N19 |
| NORTH HUAJIN CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES CO LTD | Common Stock | 000059 C2 | BD9M1 S8 | BD9M1 S8 | CNE000000N19 |
| NORTH NAVIGATION CONTROL TECHNOLOGY CO LTD | Common Stock | 600435 CG | 6049846 CN | 6049846 CN | CNE000001F88 |
| NORTH NAVIGATION CONTROL TECHNOLOGY CO LTD | Common Stock | 600435 CH | 6049846 CN | 6049846 CN | CNE000001F88 |
| NORTH NAVIGATION CONTROL TECHNOLOGY CO LTD | Common Stock | 600435 C1 | BF3F854 CN | BF3F854 CN | CNE000001F88 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | 60028 CH | 6373728 CN | 6373728 CN | CNE0000018G1 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | 386 HK | 6291819 HK | 6291819 HK | 12150504 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHU GB | 7027756 DE | 7027756 DE | 12150504 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHU GD | 7027756 DE | 7027756 DE | 12150504 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHU GF | 7027756 DE | 7027756 DE | 12150504 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHU GH | 7027756 DE | 7027756 DE | 12150504 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHU GM | 7027756 DE | 7027756 DE | 12150504 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHU GR | 7027756 DE | 7027756 DE | 12150504 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHU GS | 7027756 DE | 7027756 DE | 12150504 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHU QT | 7027756 DE | 7027756 DE | 12150504 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNPMF PQ | 60028 CG | 60028 CG | CNE1000002Q2 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNPMF US | 60028 C1 | 60028 C1 | CNE1000002Q2 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNPMF UV | 60028 C1 | 60028 C1 | CNE1000002Q2 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | 386 HI | 6373728 CN | 6373728 CN | CNE1000002Q2 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | 60028 CG | 6373728 CN | 6373728 CN | CNE1000002Q2 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | 60028 C1 | 6373728 CN | 6373728 CN | CNE1000002Q2 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP UB | 2639189 US | 2639189 US | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP UD | 2639189 US | 2639189 US | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP UF | 2639189 US | 2639189 US | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP UN | 2639189 US | 2639189 US | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP UP | 2639189 US | 2639189 US | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP US | 2639189 US | 2639189 US | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP UT | 2639189 US | 2639189 US | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP UX | 2639189 US | 2639189 US | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP VJ | 2639189 US | 2639189 US | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP YK | 2639189 US | 2639189 US | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP YY | 2639189 US | 2639189 US | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP LJ | 2654375 GB | 2654375 GB | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHUA GB | BORSW00 DE | BORSW00 DE | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHUA GF | BORSW00 DE | BORSW00 DE | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHUA GM | BORSW00 DE | BORSW00 DE | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHUA GR | BORSW00 DE | BORSW00 DE | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHUA GS | BORSW00 DE | BORSW00 DE | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNPN MM | BQOSP22 MX | BQOSP22 MX | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | CHUA TH | 16941R108 | 16941R108 | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP LM | 2639189 US | 2639189 US | 11899374 |
| CHINA NATIONAL PETROLEUM CORP aka CNPC (CNSCNRNCO) | Common Stock | SNP VF | 2639189 US | 2639189 US | 11899374 |
| SINOPEC ENGINEERING GROUP CO LTD | Common Stock | 2386 H1 | 93502256 | 93502256 | CNE100001NV2 |
| SINOPEC ENGINEERING GROUP CO LTD | Common Stock | 2386 HK | 93502256 | 93502256 | CNE100001NV2 |
| SINOPEC ENGINEERING GROUP CO LTD | Common Stock | 1NS GF | 93502256 | 93502256 | CNE100001NV2 |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| SINOPEC ENGINEERING GROUP CO LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Munch (XMUN) | Common Stock | SINOPEC ENGINEERING GROUP-H | 1NS GM | A119TT | B69WBK3 | CNE100001NV2 | 93502256 | Y80359105 |
| SINOPEC ENGINEERING GROUP CO LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Munch (XMUN) | Common Stock | SINOPEC ENGINEERING GROUP-H | 1NS GR | A119TT | B69WBK3 | CNE100001NV2 | 11563384 | |
| SINOPEC ENGINEERING GROUP CO LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Munch (XMUN) | Common Stock | SINOPEC ENGINEERING GROUP-H | 1NS GS | A119TT | B69WBK3 | CNE100001NV2 | 11563384 | |
| SINOPEC ENGINEERING GROUP CO LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Quotx Exch (XOTX) | Common Stock | SINOPEC ENGINEERING GROUP-H | 1NS OT | A119TT | B86WBK3 DE | CNE100001NV2 | 11563384 | |
| SINOPEC ENGINEERING GROUP CO LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Euro Comp (XLON) | Common Stock | SINOPEC ENGINEERING GROUP-H | 238EUR EU | A119TT | | CNE100001NV2 | 11563384 | |
| SINOPEC KANTON HOLDINGS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Frankfurt (XFFA) | Common Stock | SINOPEC KANTONS HOLDINGS | SAK GB | 923923 | 4601197 DE | BMG3165U1009 | 11563384 | |
| SINOPEC KANTON HOLDINGS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Frankfurt (XFFA) | Common Stock | SINOPEC KANTONS HOLDINGS | SAK GF | 923923 | 4601197 DE | BMG3165U1009 | 11563384 | |
| SINOPEC KANTON HOLDINGS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Frankfurt (XFFA) | Common Stock | SINOPEC KANTONS HOLDINGS | SAK GR | 923923 | 4601197 DE | BMG3165U1009 | 11563384 | |
| SINOPEC KANTON HOLDINGS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Frankfurt (XFFA) | Common Stock | SINOPEC KANTONS HOLDINGS | SAK GS | 923923 | 4601197 DE | BMG3165U1009 | 11563384 | |
| SINOPEC KANTON HOLDINGS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Hong Kong (XHKG) | Common Stock | SINOPEC KANTONS HOLDINGS | 934 HK | 923923 | 6162692 HK | BMG3165U1009 | 11563384 | |
| SINOPEC KANTON HOLDINGS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Hong Kong (XHKG) | Common Stock | SINOPEC KANTONS HOLDINGS | 934 HI | 923923 | BP3RXC99 HK | BMG3165U1009 | 11563384 | |
| SINOPEC KANTON HOLDINGS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | OTC US (OOTS) | ADR | SINOPEC KANTONS UNSPON ADR | SPKOY PQ | BKRT60 US | 82384W207 | US829354W2070 | | |
| SINOPEC KANTON HOLDINGS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | OTC US (OOTS) | ADR | SINOPEC KANTONS UNSPON ADR | SPKOY US | BKRT60 US | 82384W207 | US829354W2070 | | |
| SINOPEC KANTON HOLDINGS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | OTC US (OOTS) | ADR | SINOPEC KANTONS UNSPON ADR | SPKOY UV | BKRT60 US | 82384W207 | US829354W2070 | | |
| SINOPEC OIL FIELD EQUIPMENT | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Shenzhen (XSHE) | Common Stock | SINOPEC OIL FIELD EQUIPMENT-A | 000852 CH | A0M87A | 6136385 | CNE1000000XK7 | Y4446M108 | |
| SINOPEC OIL FIELD EQUIPMENT | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Shenzhen (XSHE) | Common Stock | SINOPEC OIL FIELD EQUIPMENT-A | 000852 CS | A0M87A | 6136385 | CNE1000000XK7 | Y4446M108 | |
| SINOPEC OIL FIELD EQUIPMENT | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Nin SSE-SHKK (XSSE) | Common Stock | SINOPEC OIL FIELD EQUIPMENT-A | 000852 C2 | A0M87A | BDSLXZ4 | CNE1000000XK7 | | |
| SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE CORP | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Shanghai (XSHG) | Common Stock | SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE C-A | 600871 CG | A0M8V6 | 6986740 CN | CNE0000000HS3 | | |
| SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE CORP | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Shanghai (XSHG) | Common Stock | SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE C-A | 600871 CH | A0M8V6 | 6986740 CN | CNE0000000HS3 | | |
| SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE CORP | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Nin SSE-SHKK (XSSE) | Common Stock | SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE C-A | 600871 C1 | A0M8V6 | BW7STJ11 CN | CNE0000000HS3 | | |
| SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE CORP | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Hong Kong (XHKG) | Common Stock | SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE -H | 1033 HK | A0M4Y6 | 6984669 HK | CNE1000004D6 | 8069662 | |
| SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE CORP | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | OTC US (OOTS) | Common Stock | SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE -H | YZDFP US | A0M4Y6 | B01XVL5 US | CNE1000004D6 | 8069662 | |
| SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE CORP | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | OTC US (OOTS) | Common Stock | SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE -H | YZDFP UV | A0M4Y6 | B01XVL5 US | CNE1000004D6 | 8069662 | Y6038V103 |
| SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE CORP | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Nin SSE-SHKK (SHSC) | Common Stock | SINOPEC OIL FIELD SERVICE -H | 1033 H1 | A0M4Y6 | BP3RXS11 HK | CNE1000004D6 | 8069662 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Shanghai (XSHG) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-A | 600688 CG | A0M9RA | 6802794 | CNE0000000BB2 | | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Shanghai (XSHG) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-A | 600688 CH | A0M9RA | 6802794 | CNE0000000BB2 | | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Nin SSE-SHKK (XSSE) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-A | 600688 C1 | A0M9RA | BP3R5996 CN | CNE0000000BB2 | | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Frankfurt (XFFA) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-H | SGJH GB | A0M4Y5 | 5888632 DE | CNE1000004C8 | 5096162 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Frankfurt (XFFA) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-H | SGJH GD | A0M4Y5 | 5888632 DE | CNE1000004C8 | 5096162 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Frankfurt (XFFA) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-H | SGJH GF | A0M4Y5 | 5888632 DE | CNE1000004C8 | 5096162 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Frankfurt (XFFA) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-H | SGJH GH | A0M4Y5 | 5888632 DE | CNE1000004C8 | 5096162 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Frankfurt (XFFA) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-H | SGJH GM | A0M4Y5 | 5888632 DE | CNE1000004C8 | 5096162 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Frankfurt (XFFA) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-H | SGJH GR | A0M4Y5 | 5888632 DE | CNE1000004C8 | 5096162 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Frankfurt (XFFA) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-H | SGJH GS | A0M4Y5 | 5888632 DE | CNE1000004C8 | 5096162 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Quotx Exch (XOTX) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-H | SGJH QT | A0M4Y5 | 5888632 DE | CNE1000004C8 | 5096162 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Hong Kong (XHKG) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-H | 338 HK | A0M4Y5 | 6797459 HK | CNE1000004C8 | 5096162 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | OTC US (OOTS) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-H | SPTJF US | A0M4Y5 | B01XTG6 US | CNE1000004C8 | 5096162 | Y80373106 |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | OTC US (OOTS) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-H | SPTJF UV | A0M4Y5 | B01XTG6 US | CNE1000004C8 | 5096162 | Y80373106 |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | Nin SSE-SHKK (SHSC) | Common Stock | SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEM-H | 338 HI | A0M4Y5 | BP3RXR00 HK | CNE1000004C8 | 5096162 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | New York (XNYS) | ADR | SINOPEC SHANGHAI-SPONS ADR | SHI UB | 887169 | 2900059 US | US829355M1099 | 12248750 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | New York (XNYS) | ADR | SINOPEC SHANGHAI-SPONS ADR | SHI UD | 887169 | 2900059 US | US829355M1099 | 12248750 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | New York (XNYS) | ADR | SINOPEC SHANGHAI-SPONS ADR | SHI UF | 887169 | 2900059 US | US829355M1099 | 12248750 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | New York (XNYS) | ADR | SINOPEC SHANGHAI-SPONS ADR | SHI UN | 887169 | 2900059 US | US829355M1099 | 12248750 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | New York (XNYS) | ADR | SINOPEC SHANGHAI-SPONS ADR | SHI UP | 887169 | 2900059 US | US829355M1099 | 12248750 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | New York (XNYS) | ADR | SINOPEC SHANGHAI-SPONS ADR | SHI UT | 887169 | 2900059 US | US829355M1099 | 12248750 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | New York (XNYS) | ADR | SINOPEC SHANGHAI-SPONS ADR | SHI VF Equity | 887169 | 2900059 US | US829355M1099 | 12248750 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | New York (XNYS) | ADR | SINOPEC SHANGHAI-SPONS ADR | SHI VJ | 887169 | 2900059 US | US829355M1099 | 12248750 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | New York (XNYS) | ADR | SINOPEC SHANGHAI-SPONS ADR | SHI VK | 887169 | 2900059 US | US829355M1099 | 12248750 | |
| SINOPEC SHANGHAI PETROCHEMICALS LTD | CHINA PETROCHEMICAL CORP aka SINOPEC GROUP | New York (XNYS) | ADR | SINOPEC SHANGHAI-SPONS ADR | SHI VY | 887169 | 2900059 US | US829355M1099 | 12248750 | |

This page intentionally left blank.

ATTACHMENT F

Letter to SBI International and Domestic Equity Managers

December 20, 2016

Regarding: Iran Companies

Dear Manager:

The Minnesota State Board of Investment (SBI) sent you prior communication concerning holdings in companies doing business in Iran. **This new communication applies to all SBI equity portfolios managed by your organization and replaces all prior communications. This communication also applies to all depository receipts or ADR's of any of the listed companies.**

Minnesota Statutes, section 11A.244, requires the Minnesota State Board of Investment (SBI) to implement an Iran restriction.

Attachment 1 is the List of Restricted Iran Companies. **These securities may not be purchased for the SBI portfolio that your organization manages.** If you own securities of companies on the Restricted List **and** the companies are **not** on the divestment list, then you do not need to sell your holdings. Please note that the attached list makes changes to the List of Restricted Iran Companies that was attached to the September 29, 2016 letter you received. **This new list is effective December 31, 2016.**

Changes to Attachment 1:

The following company was **removed** from the Restricted List:

- **Gail Ltd.** **India**

Attachment 2 is the List of Iran Companies Requiring Divestment. If you own securities of companies on this list then you must **divest** those holdings according to the schedule provided in the attachment:

- At least 50 percent of a company's holdings must be sold by the date indicated, and
- At least 100 percent of a company's holdings must be sold by the date indicated.

Changes to **Attachment 2**:

The following company was **added** to the Divestment List:

- **Eni Spa** **Italy**

Attachment 3 is a list of security identifiers for the companies on the List of Restricted Iran Companies (Attachment 1) that your organization may use. The SBI does not represent that this is a complete list of identifiers. Please note that the SBI does not represent that this is a complete list of identifiers. The manager is responsible for identifying all listings.

If you have any questions about this matter, please contact your assigned SBI Investment Officer.

Sincerely,

Mansco Perry III
Executive Director and Chief Investment Officer

Enclosures

cc: Michael J. Menssen, Director, Debt Management
Patricia Ammann, Investment Officer, Private Markets
Tammy Brusehaver, Investment Officer, Public Equity
Inma Conde Goldman, Investment Officer, Public Equity
Stephanie Gleeson, Investment Officer, Public Equity
LeaAnn M. Stagg, Chief Operating Officer

ATTACHMENT 1
RESTRICTED IRAN COMPANIES
SECURITIES OF COMPANIES MAY NOT BE PURCHASED FOR PORTFOLIO

| COMPANY NAME | COUNTRY |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. | India |
| China Petroleum & Chemical Corp | China |
| Daelim Industrial | South Korea |
| Eni Spa | Italy |
| Gazprom OAO | Russia |
| GS Engineering & Construction Corp | South Korea |
| Gubre Fabrikalari T.A.S. | Turkey |
| Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. | India |
| L G International | South Korea |
| Linde AG | Germany |
| Lukoil Oil Co. | Russia |
| Maire Tecnimont S.p.A | Italy |
| Mitsui & Co. Ltd | Japan |
| Oil & Natural Gas Corporation Ltd. | India |
| PetroChina Co. Ltd. | China |
| Toyo Engineering Corporation | Japan |
| Toyota Tsusho Corporation | Japan |

Effective Date: December 31, 2016

ATTACHMENT 2

LIST OF IRAN COMPANIES REQUIRING DIVESTMENT

| Company Name | Country of Origin | Divest 50 Percent By this Date | Divest 100 Percent By this Date |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Daelim Industrial | South Korea | December 31, 2016 | June 30, 2017 |
| Linde AG | Germany | March 31, 2017 | September 30, 2017 |
| Eni Spa | Italy | June 30, 2017 | December 31, 2017 |

Effective Date: December 31, 2016

COMPLETED/HISTORICAL DIVESTMENTS

| Company Name | Country of Origin | Divest 50 Percent By this Date | Divest 100 Percent By this Date |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| GS Engineering & Construction Corp | South Korea | June 30, 2016 | December 31, 2016 |
| Lukoil Oil Co. | Russia | March 31, 2016 | September 30, 2016 |
| Gazprom OAO | Russia | December 31, 2015 | June 30, 2016 |
| Mitsui & Company, Ltd. | Japan | September 30, 2012 | March 31, 2013 |
| Toyota Tsusho | Japan | September 30, 2012 | March 31, 2013 |

ATTACHMENT 3
IRAN RESTRICTED COMPANIES
Security Identifiers

| ISIN | Company | SYMBOL | CUSIP | COUNTRY |
|--------------|------------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------------|
| INE029A01011 | Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. | 500547 | | India |
| US16941R1086 | China Petroleum & Chemical Corp | 386 | 16941R108 | China |
| CNE1000002Q2 | China Petroleum & Chemical Corp | 386 | 16941R108 | China |
| CNE0000018G1 | China Petroleum & Chemical Corp | 386 | 16941R108 | China |
| ARDEUT114071 | China Petroleum & Chemical Corp | 386 | 16941R108 | China |
| KR7000211003 | Daelim Industrial | 210 | | Korea South |
| KR7000210005 | Daelim Industrial | 210 | | Korea South |
| US26874R1086 | Eni Spa | ENI | 26874R108 | Italy |
| IT0003132476 | Eni Spa | ENI | 26874R108 | Italy |
| ARDEUT112612 | Eni Spa | ENI | 26874R108 | Italy |
| US3682872078 | Gazprom OAO | GAZP | 368287207 | Russia |
| US3682871088 | Gazprom OAO | GAZP | 368287207 | Russia |
| RU0007661625 | Gazprom OAO | GAZP | 368287207 | Russia |
| ARDEUT114261 | Gazprom OAO | GAZP | 368287207 | Russia |
| KR7006360002 | GS Engineering & Construction Corp | 6360 | | Korea South |
| TRAGUBRF91E2 | Gubre Fabrikalari T.A.S. | GUBRF | | Turkey |
| INE242A01010 | Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. | 530965 | | India |
| KR7001120005 | L G International | 1120 | | Korea South |
| US5352232004 | Linde AG | LIN | 535223200 | Germany |
| DE0006483001 | Linde AG | LIN | 535223200 | Germany |
| US69343P2048 | Lukoil Oil Co. | LKOH | 69343P105 | Russia |
| US69343P1057 | Lukoil Oil Co. | LKOH | 69343P105 | Russia |
| US5498741058 | Lukoil Oil Co. | LKOH | 69343P105 | Russia |
| RU0009024277 | Lukoil Oil Co. | LKOH | 69343P105 | Russia |
| ARDEUT114253 | Lukoil Oil Co. | LKOH | 69343P105 | Russia |
| US56064T1016 | Maire Tecnimont S.p.A. | MT | 56064T101 | Italy |
| IT0004931058 | Maire Tecnimont S.p.A. | MT | 56064T101 | Italy |
| US6068272029 | Mitsui & Co. Ltd | 8031 | 606827202 | Japan |
| JP3893600001 | Mitsui & Co. Ltd | 8031 | 606827202 | Japan |
| INE213A01029 | Oil & Natural Gas Corporation Ltd. | 500312 | | India |
| US71646E1001 | PetroChina Co. Ltd. | 857 | 71646E100 | China |
| CNE1000007Q1 | PetroChina Co. Ltd. | 857 | 71646E100 | China |
| CNE1000003W8 | PetroChina Co. Ltd. | 857 | 71646E100 | China |
| ARDEUT113958 | PetroChina Co. Ltd. | 857 | 71646E100 | China |
| JP3607800004 | Toyo Engineering Corporation | 6330 | | Japan |
| JP3635000007 | Toyota Tsusho Corporation | 8015 | | Japan |

Please note that the SBI does not represent that this is a complete list of identifiers. The manager is responsible for identifying all listings.

Source: IW Financial

Effective Date: December 31, 2016

Letter to SBI Fixed Income Managers

December 20, 2016

Regarding: Iran Companies

Dear Manager:

The Minnesota State Board of Investment (SBI) sent you prior communication concerning holdings in companies doing business in Iran. **This communication applies to the SBI fixed income portfolio managed by your organization.**

Minnesota Statutes, section 11A.244, requires the Minnesota State Board of Investment (SBI) to implement an Iran restriction.

Attachment 1 is the List of Restricted Iran Companies. **These securities may not be purchased for the SBI portfolio that your organization manages.** If you own securities of companies on the Restricted List **and** the companies are **not** on the divestment list, then you do not need to sell your holdings. Please note that the attached list makes changes to the List of Restricted Iran Companies that was attached to the September 29, 2016 letter you received. **This new list is effective December 31, 2016.**

Changes to **Attachment 1**:

The following company has been **removed** from the Restricted List:

- **Gail Ltd** **India**

Attachment 2 is the List of Iran Companies Requiring Divestment. If you own securities of companies on this list then you must **divest** those holdings according to the schedule provided in the attachment:

- At least 50 percent of a company's holdings must be sold by the date indicated, and
- At least 100 percent of a company's holdings must be sold by the date indicated.

Changes to **Attachment 2**:

The following company has been **added** to the Divestment List:

- **Eni Spa** **Italy**

If you have any questions about this matter, please contact your assigned SBI Investment Officer.

Sincerely,

Mansco Perry III
Executive Director and Chief Investment Officer

Enclosures

cc: Michael J. Menssen, Director, Debt Management
Aaron Griga, Investment Officer, Fixed Income
Cassandra Boll, Investment Officer, Private Markets
Steve Kuettel, Investment Officer, Cash Mgmt. Services
LeaAnn M. Stagg, Chief Operating Officer

ATTACHMENT 1
RESTRICTED IRAN COMPANIES
SECURITIES OF COMPANIES MAY NOT BE PURCHASED FOR PORTFOLIO

| COMPANY NAME | COUNTRY |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. | India |
| China Petroleum & Chemical Corp | China |
| Daelim Industrial | South Korea |
| Eni Spa | Italy |
| Gazprom OAO | Russia |
| GS Engineering & Construction Corp | South Korea |
| Gubre Fabrikalari T.A.S. | Turkey |
| Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. | India |
| L G International | South Korea |
| Linde AG | Germany |
| Lukoil Oil Co. | Russia |
| Maire Tecnimont S.p.A | Italy |
| Mitsui & Co. Ltd | Japan |
| Oil & Natural Gas Corporation Ltd. | India |
| PetroChina Co. Ltd. | China |
| Toyo Engineering Corporation | Japan |
| Toyota Tsusho Corporation | Japan |

Effective Date: December 31, 2016

ATTACHMENT 2

LIST OF IRAN COMPANIES REQUIRING DIVESTMENT

| Company Name | Country of Origin | Divest 50 Percent By this Date | Divest 100 Percent By this Date |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Daelim Industrial | South Korea | December 31, 2016 | June 30, 2017 |
| Linde AG | Germany | March 31, 2017 | September 30, 2017 |
| Eni Spa | Italy | June 30, 2017 | December 31, 2017 |

Effective Date: December 31, 2016

COMPLETED/HISTORICAL DIVESTMENTS

| Company Name | Country of Origin | Divest 50 Percent By this Date | Divest 100 Percent By this Date |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| GS Engineering & Construction Corp | South Korea | June 30, 2016 | December 31, 2016 |
| Lukoil Oil Co. | Russia | March 31, 2016 | September 30, 2016 |
| Gazprom OAO | Russia | December 31, 2015 | June 30, 2016 |
| Mitsui & Company, Ltd. | Japan | September 30, 2012 | March 31, 2013 |
| Toyota Tsusho | Japan | September 30, 2012 | March 31, 2013 |

This page intentionally left blank.

TAB

C

This page intentionally left blank.

DATE: February 6, 2017

TO: Members, Investment Advisory Council

FROM: Mansco Perry III

SUBJECT: Asset Allocation Transition Update

Following is a brief update on the progress of transitioning the Combined Funds portfolio to the new asset allocation targets, and increased passive management in Public Equities. Three charts indicating the progression since the beginning of the fiscal year are included.

Transition to new Asset Allocation Policy

The first chart reflects how the asset allocation is moving towards the new target. At the beginning of the third quarter of Fiscal Year 2017 (January 1, 2017), the Combined Funds portfolio reached the Adjusted Policy Target. This occurred primarily as a result of market movements. The Adjusted Policy Target is the basis for the Combined Funds Composite Index and reflects that the uninvested Private Markets allocation will be invested in Public Equities. The allocation to bonds declined to the 20% target level which signifies that the transition has been completed.

Public Equity Transition

The second chart tracks the change in the components of the allocation target for Public Equities.

The target has changed from a 75% U.S./25% Non-U.S. split to a 67% U.S./33% Non-U.S. split. While the transition to the Adjusted Policy Target is complete, we are continuing to transition to the new U.S./Non-U.S. Public Equity allocation targets. As of the beginning of the third quarter of the current Fiscal Year, the U.S./Non-U.S. allocation was at 74%/26%. During January 2017, Staff began the funding process of the six Emerging Markets managers approved by the Board last quarter. We anticipate that these managers will be funded by the end of the current quarter. At that time, Staff will determine the status of the allocation and determine the next steps to take in the transition process.

The source of funds for the transition to Non-U.S. public equities has been a combination of the decline in fixed income and U.S. public equities. The primary source for funding the new Emerging Markets managers will be the termination of several U.S. public equity managers. At this time, the managers have been identified, but will not be announced until the transition of assets has begun. If the transition begins prior to a quarterly IAC and/or SBI meeting, Staff will request the termination of these managers at that time.

In order to move towards the Non-U.S. equity target, additional increases in the allocation will occur due to the market movements, portfolio rebalancing, and additional manager changes in Public Equities.

Increase Passive Management in Public Equities

As mentioned during the meetings held in the second quarter of the fiscal year, it is anticipated there will be additional increases in passive management in Public Equity. As reported at the last meeting, the transition to increased passive public equity management began during October 2016. Since that time, there has been no major movement of funds. After Staff has funded the new Emerging Markets managers, we anticipate that additional transactions will result in further increases in passive management. While there is no specified target, it is anticipated that passive management for U.S. public equity will exceed 60%.

Staff will continue to update the SBI and the IAC on the status of these transitions throughout the fiscal year.

Minnesota State Board of Investment
Combined Funds Asset Allocation Transition

| | Previous Target | Current Target | 1-Jul-16 | 1-Oct-16 | 1-Jan-17 | Adjusted Target* January 1, 2017 |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| Public Equity | 60.0% | 58.0% | 60.4% | 61.7% | 63.5% | 64.8% |
| Bonds | 18.0% | 20.0% | 24.7% | 24.2% | 20.1% | 20.0% |
| Private Markets | 20.0% | 20.0% | 12.8% | 12.9% | 13.2% | 13.2% |
| Cash | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.1% | 1.2% | 3.2% | 2.0% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Domestic and International Allocation Transition

| | Previous Target | Current Target | 1-Jul-16 | 1-Oct-16 | 1-Jan-17 |
|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Domestic | 75.0% | 67.0% | 76.7% | 76.5% | 74.0% |
| International | 25.0% | 33.0% | 23.3% | 23.5% | 26.0% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

* "Adjusted Target" reflects current target allocation plus uninvested alternative allocated to Public Equity.

Active and Passive Allocation Transition

| | Public Equity | | Domestic Equity | | International Equity | |
|---------|---------------|---------|-----------------|---------|----------------------|--|
| | 1-Jul-16 | | 1-Jul-16 | | 1-Jul-16 | |
| Active | 64.5% | Active | 66.7% | Active | 57.3% | |
| Passive | 35.5% | Passive | 33.3% | Passive | 42.7% | |
| <hr/> | | | | | | |
| | 1-Jan-17 | | 1-Jan-17 | | 1-Jan-17 | |
| Active | 46.7% | Active | 46.0% | Active | 48.6% | |
| Passive | 53.3% | Passive | 54.0% | Passive | 51.4% | |

TAB

D

This page intentionally left blank.

DATE: February 6, 2017

TO: Members, Investment Advisory Council

FROM: **SBI Staff**

Staff has reviewed the following information and action agenda items:

- Review of current strategy.
- Consideration of new commitments.

Existing Managers:

| | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|----------|---------------|
| Private Equity | Adams Street Partners | GSF 6 | \$100 Million |
| Private Equity | KKR Asia | Fund III | \$150 Million |
| Private Equity | Silver Lake Partners | Fund V | \$150 Million |
| Private Equity | Vestar Capital Partners | Fund VII | \$150 Million |

IAC action is required on the second item.

INFORMATION ITEM:

1) Review of Current Strategy.

To increase overall portfolio diversification, 20% of the Combined Funds is allocated to Private Markets investments. Private Markets investments include real estate, private equity, resource, and yield-oriented investments in which Minnesota State Board of Investment (SBI) participation is limited to commingled funds or other pooled vehicles. Charts summarizing the Board's current commitments are attached (see **Attachments A and B**).

- a. The real estate investment strategy is to establish and maintain a broadly diversified real estate portfolio comprised of investments that provide overall diversification by property type and location. The main component of this portfolio consists of investments in diversified Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs) and closed-end commingled funds. The remaining portion of the portfolio can include investments in less diversified, more focused (specialty) commingled funds and REITs.
- b. The private equity investment strategy, which includes leveraged buyouts and venture capital, is to establish and maintain a broadly diversified private equity portfolio comprised of investments that provide diversification by industry type, stage of corporate development and location.

- c. The strategy for resource investments is to establish and maintain a portfolio of resource investment vehicles that provide an inflation hedge and additional diversification. Resource investments will include oil and gas investments, energy service industry investments and other investments that are diversified geographically and by type.
- d. The strategy for yield-oriented investments is to target funds that typically provide a current return and may have an equity component such as subordinated debt or mezzanine investments. Yield-oriented investments will provide diversification by including investments in the private equity, resource and real estate categories.

ACTION ITEMS:

1) Investment with an existing private equity manager, Adams Street Partners (“Adams Street”), in Adams Street Global Secondary Fund 6 (“GSF 6”).

Adams Street is seeking investors for a new \$1.2 billion private equity secondaries fund, Adams Street Global Secondary Fund 6. Together with its predecessor organizations, the firm began investing in private equity partnerships in 1979 and has been an active direct investor in private equity since 1972. As of December 2016, Adams Street Partners had over 55 investment professionals managing \$27 billion of institutional capital across all of its strategies. The SBI has invested in three prior Adams Street funds.

GSF 6 will focus on transactions with a growth orientation and attractive entry valuations where a high quality private market fund manager has significant influence to create value. Adams Street Partners utilizes a thematic approach to target specific funds and exposures that it believes present the best risk/return characteristics for the fund portfolio. It is a process that is active, systematic and ongoing. Once an investment has been made, Adams Street Partners continues to actively monitor investments to ensure that profit allocations, distributions, clawbacks and amendments are made to the benefit of investors.

In addition to reviewing the attractiveness of the Adams Street Global Secondary Fund 6 investment offering, staff conducted on-site due diligence, reference checks, a literature database search, and reviewed the potential investor base for the fund.

More information on Adams Street Global Secondary Fund 6 is included as **Attachment C**.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff is requesting that the Investment Advisory Council concur with Staff’s recommendation to commit up to \$100 million, or 20% of Adams Street Global Secondary Fund 6, whichever is less. Approval of this potential commitment is not intended to be, and does not constitute in any way, a binding or legal agreement or impose any legal obligations on the State Board of Investment and neither the State of Minnesota, the Investment Advisory Council, the State Board of Investment nor its Executive Director have any liability for reliance by Adams Street Partners upon this approval. Until the Executive Director on behalf of the SBI executes a formal agreement, further

due diligence and negotiations may result in the imposition of additional terms and conditions on Adams Street Partners or reduction or termination of the commitment.

2) Investment with an existing private equity manager, Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. (“KKR”), in KKR Asian Fund III (“Asian Fund III”).

KKR is forming KKR Asian Fund III to pursue investment opportunities in large, industry-leading businesses in Asia. KKR has a history of investing in Asia across various countries, sectors, and types of investments. The Fund is a continuation of, and will build upon, their private equity investment strategy and four decades of global private equity investing experience. Since 1976, KKR’s global private equity funds have invested \$70 billion in over 350 transactions. In Asia, they have invested and committed over \$10 billion in Pan Asia private equity investments since 2005 in 47 transactions.

KKR’s approach in Asia is to fully combine their leading global resources with the Asia team’s extensive local experience, relationships, and operational expertise to build successful partnerships with leading businesses and entrepreneurs. They typically focus their efforts on opportunities where they can invest a meaningful amount of capital in a business with an existing sustainable competitive advantage and where the value of the business can be enhanced through active involvement. KKR investment professionals in Asia are primarily organized along six region/country verticals: Australia, Greater China, India, Japan, Korea, and Southeast Asia. KKR believes that their strong local knowledge, relationships, and deep networks, combined with their ability to adapt their approach to the local market while leveraging global resources and industry expertise, position KKR’s Asia team as a local player with global best practices and differentiate it when sourcing, securing, and executing deals in each country.

In addition to reviewing the attractiveness of the KKR Asian Fund III investment offering, staff conducted on-site due diligence, reference checks, a literature database search, and reviewed the potential investor base for the fund.

More information on KKR Asian Fund III is included as **Attachment D**.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff is requesting that the Investment Advisory Council concur with Staff’s recommendation to commit up to \$150 million, or 20% of KKR Asian Fund III, whichever is less. Approval of this potential commitment is not intended to be, and does not constitute in any way, a binding or legal agreement or impose any legal obligations on the State Board of Investment and neither the State of Minnesota, the Investment Advisory Council, the State Board of Investment nor its Executive Director have any liability for reliance by Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. upon this approval. Until the Executive Director on behalf of the SBI executes a formal agreement, further due diligence and negotiations may result in the imposition of additional terms and conditions on Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. reduction or termination of the commitment.

3) Investment with an existing private equity manager, Silver Lake Partners (“Silver Lake”), in Silver Lake Partners V (“SLP V”).

Silver Lake is forming Silver Lake Partners V to make large-scale investments in the technology, technology-enabled, and related growth industries. Founded in 1999, Silver Lake has a global presence with over 80 investment and value creation professionals in offices in Silicon Valley, New York, London, Hong Kong, and Tokyo. Silver Lake has raised four flagship strategy funds since inception and has invested approximately \$20.7 billion across 61 investments.

The substantial majority of Silver Lake’s total capital invested to date has been in large and complex control transactions or minority investments where Silver Lake had significant company involvement. The Firm combines sector specialization and industry expertise, rigorous due diligence capabilities, and access to an extensive global network within the technology ecosystem to create a competitive advantage when evaluating, managing, and exiting investments. Silver Lake believes that the Firm’s vast network of relationships, differentiated investment strategy, experience with differentiated and flexible investment structures, and ability to add meaningful strategic value to portfolio companies has helped SLP achieve superior investment performance over time across the Prior Funds.

In addition to reviewing the attractiveness of the Silver Lake Partners V investment offering, staff conducted on-site due diligence, reference checks, a literature database search, and reviewed the potential investor base for the fund.

More information on Silver Lake Partners V is included as **Attachment E**.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff is requesting that the Investment Advisory Council concur with Staff’s recommendation to commit up to \$150 million, or 20% of Silver Lake Partners V, whichever is less. Approval of this potential commitment is not intended to be, and does not constitute in any way, a binding or legal agreement or impose any legal obligations on the State Board of Investment and neither the State of Minnesota, the Investment Advisory Council, the State Board of Investment nor its Executive Director have any liability for reliance by Silver Lake Partners upon this approval. Until the Executive Director on behalf of the SBI executes a formal agreement, further due diligence and negotiations may result in the imposition of additional terms and conditions Silver Lake Partners reduction or termination of the commitment.

4) Investment with an existing private equity manager, Vestar Capital Partners (“Vestar”), in Vestar Capital Partners VII (“VCP VII”).

Vestar is forming Vestar Capital Partners VII to pursue investment opportunities in middle-market companies in the U.S. The Fund is a continuation of Vestar’s strategy of utilizing their operational and strategic capabilities to target middle-market companies in select key sectors and leveraging their experienced and cohesive team to produce attractive risk-adjusted returns

to investors. Since its inception in 1988, Vestar has completed more than 100 private equity investments and 200 add-on acquisitions across multiple funds and numerous economic cycles.

Vestar is one of the longest standing private equity firms investing in the middle market. The Firm believes the middle market offers the largest number of available opportunities in private equity. Vestar defines this segment of the market as companies that are generally privately held, founder or family-led, non-core subsidiaries or divisions of larger corporations, subscale public companies, or companies seeking growth capital that can benefit from Vestar's backing and support. Vestar invests across the following sectors: Consumer, Healthcare, Business Services, and Industrial Products. They believe domain expertise is a key factor for success in today's competitive private equity industry. Vestar's dedicated sector teams understand key industry trends, risks, opportunities, and value migration that enable thoughtful sourcing, analysis, and evaluation of potential investments.

In addition to reviewing the attractiveness of the Vestar Capital Partners VII investment offering, staff conducted on-site due diligence, reference checks, a literature database search, and reviewed the potential investor base for the fund.

More information on Vestar Capital Partners VII is included as **Attachment F**.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff is requesting that the Investment Advisory Council concur with Staff's recommendation to commit up to \$150 million, or 20% of Vestar Capital Partners VII, whichever is less. Approval of this potential commitment is not intended to be, and does not constitute in any way, a binding or legal agreement or impose any legal obligations on the State Board of Investment and neither the State of Minnesota, the Investment Advisory Council, the State Board of Investment nor its Executive Director have any liability for reliance by Vestar Capital Partners upon this approval. Until the Executive Director on behalf of the SBI executes a formal agreement, further due diligence and negotiations may result in the imposition of additional terms and conditions Vestar Capital Partners VII reduction or termination of the commitment.

This page intentionally left blank.

ATTACHMENT A

Minnesota State Board of Investment
Pooled Alternative Investments
Combined Funds
December 31, 2016

Combined Funds Market Value \$59,939,554,167

Amount Available for Investment **\$4,234,765,346**

| | Current Level | Target Level | Difference |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Market Value (MV) | \$7,753,145,487 | \$11,987,910,833 | \$4,234,765,346 |
| MV +Unfunded | \$13,700,945,106 | \$20,978,843,958.42 | \$7,277,898,853 |

| Asset Class | Market Value | Unfunded Commitment | Total |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Private Equity | \$4,748,232,248 | \$3,732,771,787 | \$8,481,004,035 |
| Real Estate | \$609,329,219 | \$544,637,607 | \$1,153,966,827 |
| Resource | \$1,413,506,765 | \$802,626,819 | \$2,216,133,584 |
| Yield-Oriented | \$982,077,255 | \$867,763,405 | \$1,849,840,660 |
| Total | \$7,753,145,487 | \$5,947,799,618 | \$13,700,945,106 |

Cash Flows
December 31, 2016

| Year | Capital Calls | Distributions | Net Invested |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 2016 | \$1,874,320,138 | (\$1,728,367,357) | \$145,952,781 |
| 2015 | \$1,541,161,769 | (\$2,128,301,645) | (\$587,139,876) |
| 2014 | \$1,378,984,263 | (\$2,133,698,037) | (\$754,713,774) |
| 2013 | \$1,257,559,066 | (\$2,522,817,494) | (\$1,265,258,428) |

This page intentionally left blank.

ATTACHMENT B

Minnesota State Board of Investment - Alternative Investments - As of December 31, 2016

| Investment | Total Commitment | Funded Commitment | Market Value | Distributions | Unfunded Commitment | IRR % | MOIC* | Period Years |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|
| I. REAL ESTATE | | | | | | | | |
| Angelo, Gordon & Co. | | | | | | | | |
| AG Asia Realty Fund III, L.P. | 50,000,000 | 16,500,000 | 16,500,000 | 0 | 33,500,000 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.83 |
| AG Realty Fund IX | 100,000,000 | 45,750,000 | 46,069,216 | 0 | 54,250,000 | 2.85 | 1.01 | 2.06 |
| Blackstone | | | | | | | | |
| Blackstone Real Estate Partners V | 100,000,000 | 97,030,342 | 41,113,898 | 161,826,876 | 4,174,052 | 10.92 | 2.09 | 10.43 |
| Blackstone Real Estate Partners VI | 100,000,000 | 99,320,387 | 42,358,763 | 164,247,876 | 4,907,906 | 12.59 | 2.08 | 9.51 |
| Blackstone Real Estate Partners VII | 100,000,000 | 92,795,214 | 91,501,021 | 70,099,525 | 17,689,033 | 18.68 | 1.74 | 4.84 |
| Blackstone Real Estate Partners VIII | 150,000,000 | 62,835,616 | 57,259,801 | 16,407,674 | 100,754,218 | 14.84 | 1.17 | 1.53 |
| Blackstone Strategic Partners (CSFB) | | | | | | | | |
| Strategic Partners III RE | 25,000,000 | 25,752,810 | 5,058,748 | 10,023,438 | 9,006 | -7.21 | 0.59 | 11.25 |
| Strategic Partners IV RE | 50,000,000 | 50,554,889 | 12,309,133 | 36,701,404 | 1,415,359 | -0.72 | 0.97 | 8.29 |
| Colony Capital | | | | | | | | |
| Colony Investors III | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 5,202,900 | 172,642,105 | 0 | 14.57 | 1.78 | 18.75 |
| Rockpoint | | | | | | | | |
| Rockpoint Real Estate Fund V | 100,000,000 | 18,327,945 | 20,316,442 | 269,051 | 81,941,106 | -0.14 | 1.12 | 1.97 |
| Rockwood | | | | | | | | |
| Rockwood Capital RE Partners X | 100,000,000 | 18,415,601 | 18,415,601 | 0 | 81,584,399 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.46 |
| Silverpeak Real Estate Partners | | | | | | | | |
| Silverpeak Legacy Pension Partners II | 75,000,000 | 77,039,260 | 6,752,949 | 86,318,011 | 9,376,833 | 4.67 | 1.21 | 11.25 |
| Silverpeak Legacy Pension Partners III | 150,000,000 | 69,964,304 | 17,071,084 | 9,390,827 | 80,035,696 | -12.82 | 0.38 | 8.36 |
| T.A. Associates Realty | | | | | | | | |
| Realty Associates Fund VII | 75,000,000 | 75,000,000 | 475,946 | 76,273,641 | 0 | 0.33 | 1.02 | 11.87 |
| Realty Associates Fund VIII | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 51,491,766 | 44,483,384 | 0 | -0.51 | 0.96 | 10.25 |
| Realty Associates Fund IX | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 66,188,716 | 94,468,866 | 0 | 10.87 | 1.61 | 8.10 |
| Realty Associates Fund X | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 86,811,610 | 48,544,812 | 0 | 12.18 | 1.35 | 4.59 |
| Realty Associates Fund XI | 100,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 24,431,625 | 81,681 | 75,000,000 | -1.96 | 0.98 | 1.26 |
| Real Estate Total | 1,675,000,000 | 1,174,286,368 | 609,329,219 | 991,779,170 | 544,637,607 | | 1.36 | |
| II. RESOURCE | | | | | | | | |
| Apache Corp. | | | | | | | | |
| 1986 Net Profits Interest | 30,000,000 | 30,000,000 | 398,910 | 59,468,540 | 0 | 12.14 | 2.00 | 29.76 |
| EIG Global Energy Partners | | | | | | | | |
| EIG Energy Fund XIV | 100,000,000 | 113,013,074 | 10,695,863 | 92,181,918 | 2,761,129 | -3.81 | 0.91 | 9.45 |
| EIG Energy Fund XV | 150,000,000 | 145,074,733 | 87,192,620 | 79,690,788 | 6,322,679 | 4.41 | 1.15 | 6.32 |
| EIG Energy Fund XVI | 200,000,000 | 112,380,546 | 93,488,380 | 14,386,031 | 94,230,852 | -3.16 | 0.96 | 3.05 |
| EnCap Energy | | | | | | | | |
| EnCap Energy Capital Fund VII | 100,000,000 | 96,692,592 | 9,828,412 | 130,765,121 | 3,860,151 | 15.36 | 1.45 | 9.26 |
| EnCap Energy Capital Fund VIII | 100,000,000 | 89,805,426 | 40,212,802 | 36,646,194 | 10,801,697 | -5.92 | 0.86 | 6.00 |
| Encap Energy Fund IX | 100,000,000 | 91,673,169 | 70,524,535 | 39,573,898 | 21,391,390 | 12.46 | 1.20 | 3.82 |
| EnCap Energy Capital Fund X | 100,000,000 | 33,109,969 | 28,941,522 | 2,719,809 | 69,609,839 | -6.74 | 0.96 | 1.58 |
| EnerVest Energy | | | | | | | | |
| EnerVest Energy Institutional Fund XIV | 100,000,000 | 80,721,219 | 73,682,715 | 4,147,990 | 21,033,575 | -5.16 | 0.96 | 1.45 |
| Energy & Minerals Group | | | | | | | | |
| NGP Midstream & Resources | 100,000,000 | 100,090,315 | 81,525,360 | 116,806,346 | 230,871 | 16.46 | 1.98 | 9.51 |
| The Energy & Minerals Group Fund II | 100,000,000 | 94,281,168 | 126,244,304 | 25,880,117 | 5,993,843 | 16.46 | 1.61 | 5.03 |
| The Energy & Minerals Group Fund III | 200,000,000 | 174,257,942 | 133,459,059 | 4,392,731 | 27,471,516 | -11.61 | 0.79 | 2.57 |
| The Energy & Minerals Group Fund IV | 150,000,000 | 87,306,512 | 96,678,080 | 470,734 | 62,694,335 | 10.05 | 1.11 | 1.17 |
| Energy Capital Partners | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Capital Partners II | 100,000,000 | 80,529,511 | 44,103,594 | 74,904,183 | 30,099,613 | 11.20 | 1.48 | 6.21 |
| Energy Capital Partners III | 200,000,000 | 68,790,968 | 77,625,173 | 0 | 131,209,032 | 7.45 | 1.13 | 2.79 |
| First Reserve | | | | | | | | |
| First Reserve Fund X | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 396,923 | 182,429,002 | 0 | 31.06 | 1.83 | 11.92 |
| First Reserve Fund XI | 150,000,000 | 150,292,121 | 15,553,770 | 87,487,946 | 0 | -8.57 | 0.69 | 9.78 |
| First Reserve Fund XII | 150,000,000 | 160,229,286 | 45,765,866 | 71,186,004 | 3,354,186 | -8.56 | 0.73 | 7.92 |
| First Reserve Fund XIII | 200,000,000 | 47,911,206 | 33,521,949 | 2,345,408 | 152,088,794 | -28.42 | 0.75 | 2.92 |
| NGP | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Partners IX | 150,000,000 | 161,322,217 | 17,095,844 | 226,248,564 | 1,854,282 | 11.89 | 1.51 | 8.94 |
| NGP Natural Resources X | 150,000,000 | 137,068,848 | 69,089,802 | 87,339,116 | 12,931,152 | 5.32 | 1.14 | 4.98 |
| Natural Gas Capital Resources XI | 150,000,000 | 77,124,124 | 74,305,973 | 12,411,675 | 79,037,882 | 24.41 | 1.12 | 1.81 |
| Sheridan | | | | | | | | |
| Sheridan Production Partners I | 100,000,000 | 116,550,000 | 69,532,003 | 82,750,000 | 0 | 6.82 | 1.31 | 9.51 |
| Sheridan Production Partners II | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 71,031,975 | 7,000,000 | 0 | -6.43 | 0.78 | 6.00 |
| Sheridan Production Partners III-B | 100,000,000 | 34,350,000 | 31,399,986 | 0 | 65,650,000 | -15.46 | 0.91 | 1.82 |
| T. Rowe Price | | | | | | | | |
| | 18,513,566 | 18,513,566 | 11,211,345 | 5,680,149 | 0 | -11.69 | 0.91 | |
| Resource Total | 3,198,513,566 | 2,501,088,513 | 1,413,506,765 | 1,446,912,264 | 802,626,819 | | 1.14 | |

Minnesota State Board of Investment
- Alternative Investments -
As of December 31, 2016

| Investment | Total Commitment | Funded Commitment | Market Value | Distributions | Unfunded Commitment | IRR % | MOIC* | Period Years |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|
| III. YIELD-ORIENTED | | | | | | | | |
| Audax Group | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Audax Mezzanine Fund III</i> | 100,000,000 | 89,141,369 | 53,566,610 | 56,277,226 | 19,366,627 | 9.46 | 1.23 | 6.49 |
| <i>Audax Mezzanine Fund IV</i> | 100,000,000 | 2,445,606 | 1,905,415 | 305,066 | 97,554,394 | -11.10 | 0.90 | 1.49 |
| Avenue Capital Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Avenue Energy Opportunities Fund</i> | 100,000,000 | 95,026,598 | 102,165,376 | 934,495 | 4,973,402 | 6.22 | 1.08 | 1.53 |
| Citicorp Mezzanine | | | | | | | | |
| <i>CM Liquidating Partnership</i> | 100,000,000 | 88,029,296 | 11,522,970 | 132,324,719 | 0 | 16.20 | 1.63 | 16.92 |
| Crescent Capital Group | | | | | | | | |
| <i>TCW/Crescent Mezzanine Partners III</i> | 75,000,000 | 68,835,269 | 2,390,845 | 156,868,939 | 29,733,852 | 35.89 | 2.31 | 15.51 |
| Gold Hill Venture Lending | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Gold Hill Venture Lending</i> | 40,000,000 | 40,000,000 | 956,492 | 63,913,250 | 0 | 10.62 | 1.62 | 12.01 |
| <i>Gold Hill 2008</i> | 25,852,584 | 25,852,584 | 9,379,884 | 32,238,919 | 0 | 12.49 | 1.61 | 8.25 |
| GS Mezzanine Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>GS Mezzanine Partners 2006</i> | 100,000,000 | 74,999,888 | 2,834,091 | 131,092,684 | 9,858,563 | 4.77 | 1.79 | 10.49 |
| <i>GS Mezzanine Partners V</i> | 150,000,000 | 112,057,963 | 13,229,059 | 165,434,372 | 60,803,216 | 9.27 | 1.59 | 8.94 |
| Kohlberg Kravis Roberts | | | | | | | | |
| <i>KKR Lending Partners II</i> | 75,000,000 | 57,365,397 | 48,622,307 | 16,388,767 | 27,381,173 | 13.16 | 1.13 | 1.58 |
| LBC Credit Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>LBC Credit Partners IV</i> | 69,072,965 | 10,688,871 | 10,653,843 | 0 | 58,384,094 | -0.84 | 1.00 | 0.67 |
| Merit Capital Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>William Blair Mezzan. Cap. Fd. III</i> | 60,000,000 | 57,243,241 | 620,620 | 112,755,951 | 2,756,759 | 15.51 | 1.98 | 16.75 |
| <i>Merit Mezzanine Fund IV</i> | 75,000,000 | 70,178,571 | 21,373,157 | 95,625,429 | 4,821,429 | 9.77 | 1.67 | 11.80 |
| <i>Merit Mezzanine Fund V</i> | 75,000,000 | 70,420,408 | 54,649,720 | 34,321,417 | 4,579,592 | 8.02 | 1.26 | 6.79 |
| <i>Merit Mezzanine Fund VI</i> | 50,125,000 | 7,149,579 | 6,993,883 | 0 | 42,975,421 | -2.23 | 0.98 | 0.77 |
| Merit Energy Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Merit Energy Partners B</i> | 24,000,000 | 24,000,000 | 9,860,038 | 181,045,738 | 0 | 24.31 | 7.95 | 20.25 |
| <i>Merit Energy Partners C</i> | 50,000,000 | 50,000,000 | 17,274,700 | 493,654,262 | 0 | 30.96 | 10.22 | 17.93 |
| <i>Merit Energy Partners D</i> | 88,000,000 | 70,938,303 | 33,501,728 | 305,623,509 | 0 | 22.76 | 4.78 | 15.36 |
| <i>Merit Energy Partners E</i> | 100,000,000 | 39,983,197 | 24,926,862 | 66,686,905 | 0 | 12.48 | 2.29 | 11.96 |
| <i>Merit Energy Partners F</i> | 100,000,000 | 59,522,861 | 27,423,658 | 27,251,921 | 0 | -1.50 | 0.92 | 10.53 |
| <i>Merit Energy Partners H</i> | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 71,462,339 | 12,410,723 | 0 | -6.39 | 0.84 | 5.67 |
| <i>Merit Energy Partners I</i> | 169,721,518 | 125,099,999 | 145,494,927 | 246,000 | 44,621,519 | 29.08 | 1.16 | 1.96 |
| Oaktree Capital Management | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Oaktree Opportunities Fund X</i> | 50,000,000 | 17,500,000 | 19,215,333 | 619,660 | 32,500,000 | 21.09 | 1.13 | 1.58 |
| <i>Oaktree Opportunities Fund Xb</i> | 100,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.58 |
| Pimco Brovo** | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Pimco Bravo Fund OnShore Feeder I</i> | 3,958,027 | 3,958,027 | 404,667 | 3,651,709 | 2,021,147 | 118.45 | 1.02 | 1.76 |
| <i>Pimco Bravo Fund OnShore Feeder II</i> | 5,243,670 | 5,243,670 | 5,848,034 | 0 | 0 | 5.84 | 1.12 | 1.76 |
| Portfolio Advisors | | | | | | | | |
| <i>DLJ Investment Partners II</i> | 27,375,168 | 23,164,217 | 80,565 | 34,829,566 | 0 | 10.37 | 1.51 | 16.75 |
| <i>DLJ Investment Partners III</i> | 100,000,000 | 72,260,040 | 5,936,220 | 88,389,198 | 29,739,249 | 7.47 | 1.31 | 10.28 |
| Prudential Capital Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Prudential Capital Partners I</i> | 100,000,000 | 99,713,348 | 0 | 155,231,567 | 286,652 | 11.06 | 1.56 | 15.46 |
| <i>Prudential Capital Partners II</i> | 100,000,000 | 96,976,021 | 5,038,927 | 135,267,203 | 3,156,732 | 8.87 | 1.45 | 11.26 |
| <i>Prudential Capital Partners III</i> | 100,000,000 | 95,838,210 | 41,379,426 | 115,214,733 | 7,604,183 | 13.31 | 1.63 | 7.46 |
| <i>Prudential Capital Partners IV</i> | 100,000,000 | 90,515,665 | 79,919,179 | 29,496,957 | 10,050,449 | 12.63 | 1.21 | 4.70 |
| <i>Prudential Capital Partners V</i> | 150,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 |
| Summit Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Summit Subordinated Debt Fund III</i> | 45,000,000 | 42,750,000 | 6,582,445 | 55,057,070 | 2,250,000 | 8.58 | 1.44 | 12.63 |
| <i>Summit Subordinated Debt Fund IV</i> | 50,000,000 | 55,250,000 | 24,924,166 | 45,467,890 | 15,669,302 | 9.36 | 1.27 | 8.51 |
| TCW Asset Management | | | | | | | | |
| <i>TCW Direct Lending LLC</i> | 100,000,000 | 58,019,463 | 46,743,041 | 12,603,079 | 48,887,731 | 2.13 | 1.02 | 1.71 |
| Windjammer Capital Investors | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Windjammer Mezzanine & Equity Fund II</i> | 66,708,861 | 56,569,498 | 379,140 | 84,439,334 | 10,139,363 | 8.93 | 1.50 | 16.50 |
| <i>Windjammer Senior Equity Fund III</i> | 75,000,000 | 61,619,620 | 17,599,220 | 126,252,774 | 13,380,380 | 18.87 | 2.33 | 10.74 |
| <i>Windjammer Senior Equity Fund IV</i> | 100,000,000 | 68,274,074 | 57,218,369 | 6,359,090 | 34,268,177 | -3.82 | 0.93 | 4.60 |
| <i>Yield-Oriented Total</i> | 3,100,057,793 | 2,186,630,854 | 982,077,255 | 2,978,280,124 | 867,763,405 | | 1.81 | |

Minnesota State Board of Investment
- Alternative Investments -
As of December 31, 2016

| Investment | Total Commitment | Funded Commitment | Market Value | Distributions | Unfunded Commitment | IRR % | MOIC* | Period Years |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|
| IV. PRIVATE EQUITY | | | | | | | | |
| Adams Street Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Adams Street Global Secondary Fund 5</i> | 100,000,000 | 69,429,750 | 52,850,238 | 18,625,419 | 30,570,250 | 1.82 | 1.03 | 4.29 |
| Advent International | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Advent International GPE VI</i> | 50,000,000 | 49,000,005 | 33,815,934 | 69,942,764 | 999,995 | 17.91 | 2.12 | 8.50 |
| <i>Advent International GPE VII</i> | 90,000,000 | 78,930,000 | 86,667,679 | 23,940,083 | 11,070,000 | 15.36 | 1.40 | 4.04 |
| <i>Advent International GPE VIII</i> | 100,000,000 | 2,100,000 | 1,625,797 | 0 | 97,900,000 | -22.79 | 0.77 | 0.90 |
| Affinity Ventures | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Affinity Ventures IV</i> | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 1,785,924 | 1,541,970 | 0 | -3.37 | 0.83 | 12.25 |
| <i>Affinity Ventures V</i> | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 | 3,052,588 | 1,585,611 | 0 | -1.76 | 0.93 | 8.25 |
| APAX Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Apax VIII - USD</i> | 200,000,000 | 204,727,016 | 222,785,478 | 21,197,192 | 16,470,176 | 11.59 | 1.19 | 3.57 |
| <i>Apax IX - USD</i> | 150,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 |
| Banc Fund | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Banc Fund VII</i> | 45,000,000 | 45,000,000 | 49,841,730 | 812,725 | 0 | 1.21 | 1.13 | 11.50 |
| <i>Banc Fund VIII</i> | 98,250,000 | 98,250,000 | 139,592,687 | 28,097,973 | 0 | 12.18 | 1.71 | 8.44 |
| <i>Banc Fund IX</i> | 107,205,932 | 64,323,559 | 70,112,551 | 0 | 42,882,373 | 8.11 | 1.09 | 2.31 |
| BlackRock** | | | | | | | | |
| <i>BlackRock Tempus Fund</i> | 1,774,870 | 1,774,870 | 919,078 | 1,143,599 | 0 | 16.71 | 1.16 | 1.31 |
| Blackstone | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Blackstone Capital Partners IV</i> | 70,000,000 | 72,277,804 | 16,832,628 | 180,558,753 | 1,993,504 | 37.07 | 2.73 | 14.22 |
| <i>Blackstone Capital Partners V</i> | 140,000,000 | 130,900,605 | 32,159,955 | 202,479,723 | 8,616,043 | 7.96 | 1.79 | 10.66 |
| <i>Blackstone Capital Partners VI</i> | 100,000,000 | 86,786,134 | 94,903,401 | 22,120,609 | 16,668,352 | 9.81 | 1.35 | 8.19 |
| <i>Blackstone Capital Partners VII</i> | 130,000,000 | 790,936 | 849,171 | 8,309 | 129,209,064 | -76.40 | 1.08 | 1.26 |
| Blackstone Strategic Partners (CSFB/ DLJ) | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Strategic Partners II-B</i> | 100,000,000 | 86,154,714 | 580,355 | 161,889,320 | 4,692,847 | 35.07 | 1.89 | 13.21 |
| <i>Strategic Partners III-B</i> | 100,000,000 | 78,066,047 | 22,620,543 | 95,157,808 | 15,092,801 | 6.51 | 1.51 | 11.34 |
| <i>Strategic Partners III VC</i> | 25,000,000 | 24,772,008 | 7,035,047 | 26,962,967 | 1,185,209 | 6.40 | 1.37 | 11.34 |
| <i>Strategic Partners IV-B</i> | 100,000,000 | 91,609,280 | 24,637,411 | 124,469,365 | 18,810,860 | 12.55 | 1.63 | 8.52 |
| <i>Strategic Partners IV VC</i> | 40,500,000 | 39,710,807 | 15,399,188 | 44,715,963 | 3,303,709 | 9.66 | 1.51 | 8.29 |
| <i>Strategic Partners V</i> | 100,000,000 | 77,163,379 | 41,156,380 | 83,885,185 | 42,054,103 | 21.04 | 1.62 | 5.12 |
| <i>Strategic Partners VI</i> | 150,000,000 | 77,232,147 | 87,867,370 | 19,858,489 | 75,666,074 | 24.71 | 1.39 | 2.46 |
| <i>Strategic Partners VII</i> | 150,000,000 | 6,762,131 | 7,620,137 | 0 | 143,237,869 | 20.50 | 1.13 | 0.88 |
| BLUM Capital Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Blum Strategic Partners II</i> | 50,000,000 | 40,185,889 | 123,922 | 89,708,870 | 2,127,584 | 22.42 | 2.24 | 15.21 |
| <i>Blum Strategic Partners III</i> | 75,000,000 | 78,121,020 | 0 | 83,508,219 | 471,064 | 0.25 | 1.07 | 11.33 |
| <i>Blum Strategic Partners IV</i> | 150,000,000 | 170,916,780 | 0 | 167,939,991 | 5,896,033 | -0.75 | 0.98 | 8.87 |
| Brookfield Asset Management Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Brookfield Capital Partners Fund IV</i> | 100,000,000 | 32,373,929 | 33,927,820 | 3,763,032 | 68,878,870 | 8.55 | 1.16 | 1.29 |
| CVC Capital Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>CVC European Equity Partners V</i> | 133,633,532 | 129,528,618 | 70,725,240 | 146,401,306 | 4,204,765 | 13.05 | 1.68 | 8.52 |
| <i>CVC Capital Partners VI</i> | 245,598,501 | 139,735,099 | 132,895,578 | 545,893 | 106,371,012 | -4.69 | 0.95 | 3.23 |
| Carval Investors | | | | | | | | |
| <i>CVI Global Value Fund</i> | 200,000,000 | 190,000,000 | 32,313,079 | 286,206,686 | 10,000,000 | 9.67 | 1.68 | 9.72 |
| <i>CVI Credit Value Fund I</i> | 100,000,000 | 95,000,000 | 54,719,176 | 151,844,663 | 5,000,000 | 19.22 | 2.17 | 6.00 |
| <i>CVI Credit Value Fund A II</i> | 150,000,000 | 142,500,000 | 146,595,286 | 36,161,276 | 7,500,000 | 7.74 | 1.28 | 3.92 |
| <i>CVI Credit Value Fund A III</i> | 150,000,000 | 75,000,000 | 83,905,425 | 0 | 75,000,000 | 12.11 | 1.12 | 1.33 |
| Cardinal Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>DSV Partners IV</i> | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 31,318 | 39,196,082 | 0 | 10.61 | 3.92 | 31.48 |
| Carlyle Group | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Carlyle Strategic Partners IV, L.P.</i> | 100,000,000 | 5,114,788 | 5,114,788 | 0 | 94,885,212 | 0.13 | 1.00 | 0.75 |
| Chicago Growth Partners (William Blair) | | | | | | | | |
| <i>William Blair Capital Partners VII</i> | 50,000,000 | 48,150,000 | 0 | 67,985,017 | 1,650,000 | 8.25 | 1.41 | 15.57 |
| <i>Chicago Growth Partners I</i> | 50,000,000 | 52,441,998 | 5,593,561 | 53,058,188 | 300,000 | 2.59 | 1.12 | 11.19 |
| <i>Chicago Growth Partners II</i> | 60,000,000 | 58,095,626 | 23,195,645 | 94,583,860 | 1,652,374 | 19.40 | 2.03 | 8.56 |
| Court Square Capital Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Court Square Capital Partners</i> | 100,000,000 | 80,813,422 | 285,108 | 181,548,807 | 1,934,741 | 28.91 | 2.25 | 14.80 |
| <i>Court Square Capital Partners II</i> | 175,000,000 | 158,078,327 | 49,736,529 | 254,401,935 | 17,968,871 | 13.36 | 1.92 | 10.07 |
| <i>Court Square Capital Partners III</i> | 175,000,000 | 87,825,909 | 57,015,019 | 47,448,930 | 94,838,180 | 8.22 | 1.19 | 4.33 |
| Crescendo | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Crescendo IV</i> | 101,500,000 | 101,500,000 | 11,928,423 | 37,386,052 | 0 | -6.69 | 0.49 | 16.56 |
| Diamond Castle Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Diamond Castle Partners IV</i> | 92,487,949 | 91,151,326 | 0 | 94,068,606 | 1,377,108 | 0.40 | 1.03 | 10.07 |

Minnesota State Board of Investment
- Alternative Investments -
As of December 31, 2016

| Investment | Total Commitment | Funded Commitment | Market Value | Distributions | Unfunded Commitment | IRR % | MOIC* | Period Years |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|
| Elevation Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Elevation Partners</i> | 75,000,000 | 69,311,710 | 623,481 | 113,091,463 | 799,634 | 11.83 | 1.64 | 11.38 |
| Fox Paine & Company | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Fox Paine Capital Fund II</i> | 50,000,000 | 46,299,626 | 4,012,101 | 86,859,891 | 11,953,212 | 18.93 | 1.96 | 16.25 |
| GHJM Marathon Fund | | | | | | | | |
| <i>GHJM Marathon Fund V</i> | 50,000,000 | 50,093,425 | 59,707 | 95,838,392 | 46,502 | 12.10 | 1.91 | 12.00 |
| <i>TrailHead Fund</i> | 20,000,000 | 14,914,187 | 18,247,575 | 2,406,955 | 5,085,813 | 11.11 | 1.38 | 4.61 |
| GTCR Golder Rauner | | | | | | | | |
| <i>GTCR VI</i> | 90,000,000 | 90,000,000 | 0 | 80,017,082 | 0 | -3.78 | 0.89 | 18.25 |
| <i>GTCR IX</i> | 75,000,000 | 69,539,933 | 4,711,834 | 119,144,001 | 5,460,067 | 13.59 | 1.78 | 10.25 |
| <i>GTCR X</i> | 100,000,000 | 93,248,604 | 68,750,700 | 110,882,059 | 6,751,396 | 20.62 | 1.93 | 5.81 |
| <i>GTCR Fund XI</i> | 110,000,000 | 56,362,553 | 58,224,562 | 4,291,892 | 53,637,447 | 8.90 | 1.11 | 2.88 |
| GS Capital Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>GS Capital Partners 2000</i> | 50,000,000 | 50,000,000 | 70,913 | 115,122,481 | 0 | 23.49 | 2.30 | 16.09 |
| <i>GS Capital Partners V</i> | 100,000,000 | 73,958,901 | 8,495,205 | 209,467,705 | 1,041,099 | 18.21 | 2.95 | 11.50 |
| <i>GS Capital Partners VI</i> | 100,000,000 | 80,215,619 | 27,023,535 | 99,023,935 | 15,039,971 | 6.05 | 1.57 | 9.67 |
| <i>West Street Capital Partners</i> | 150,000,000 | 7,500,000 | 7,500,000 | 0 | 142,500,000 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 |
| HarbourVest** | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Dover Street VII Cayman Fund</i> | 2,198,112 | 2,070,612 | 944,023 | 1,050,545 | 127,500 | -3.17 | 0.96 | 1.76 |
| <i>HarbourVest Intl PE Partners V-Cayman US</i> | 3,504,689 | 3,293,739 | 1,761,603 | 2,038,753 | 210,950 | 11.26 | 1.15 | 1.76 |
| <i>Harbourvest Intl PE Partners VI-Cayman</i> | 4,190,735 | 3,517,013 | 3,079,964 | 956,742 | 673,722 | 10.00 | 1.15 | 1.76 |
| <i>HarbourVest Partners VIII Cayman Buyout</i> | 4,506,711 | 4,194,711 | 2,729,737 | 2,208,582 | 312,000 | 12.72 | 1.18 | 1.76 |
| <i>HarbourVest Partners VIII-Cayman Venture</i> | 7,190,898 | 7,050,898 | 5,245,004 | 2,480,721 | 140,000 | 5.86 | 1.10 | 1.76 |
| Hellman & Friedman | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Hellman & Friedman Capital Partners V</i> | 160,000,000 | 146,165,961 | 3,677,954 | 414,854,231 | 8,070,303 | 27.91 | 2.86 | 11.83 |
| <i>Hellman & Friedman Capital Partners VI</i> | 175,000,000 | 170,943,607 | 43,560,080 | 302,689,925 | 5,179,012 | 13.11 | 2.03 | 9.51 |
| <i>Hellman & Friedman Capital Partners VII</i> | 50,000,000 | 47,237,591 | 50,258,807 | 21,018,764 | 2,762,409 | 16.14 | 1.51 | 7.45 |
| IK Investment Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>IK Fund VII</i> | 179,492,394 | 169,629,300 | 162,736,783 | 9,140,544 | 9,863,094 | 0.63 | 1.01 | 3.05 |
| <i>IK Fund VIII</i> | 159,063,593 | 15,829,146 | 14,378,358 | 0 | 143,234,446 | -9.22 | 0.91 | 0.45 |
| Kohlberg Kravis Roberts | | | | | | | | |
| <i>KKR Millennium Fund</i> | 200,000,000 | 200,000,000 | 23,615,955 | 395,739,083 | 0 | 16.34 | 2.10 | 13.81 |
| <i>KKR 2006 Fund</i> | 200,000,000 | 210,482,718 | 97,428,427 | 243,860,357 | 3,940,793 | 8.18 | 1.62 | 10.01 |
| <i>KKR Americas Fund XII</i> | 150,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.83 |
| Leonard Green & Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Green Equity Investors VI</i> | 200,000,000 | 200,616,637 | 210,374,369 | 39,571,481 | 22,690,338 | 12.68 | 1.25 | 4.55 |
| Lexington Capital Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Lexington Capital Partners VI-B</i> | 100,000,000 | 98,365,297 | 24,423,273 | 116,890,836 | 1,634,703 | 7.79 | 1.44 | 10.77 |
| <i>Lexington Capital Partners VII</i> | 200,000,000 | 165,827,835 | 87,760,270 | 162,181,639 | 41,970,278 | 15.52 | 1.51 | 7.31 |
| <i>Lexington Capital Partners VIII</i> | 150,000,000 | 38,529,780 | 35,535,753 | 12,236,977 | 116,059,870 | 23.26 | 1.24 | 2.57 |
| <i>Lexington Middle Market Investors IV</i> | 100,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| MHR Institutional Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>MHR Institutional Partners IV</i> | 75,000,000 | 14,250,000 | 13,462,566 | 247,460 | 60,813,243 | -3.79 | 0.96 | 1.46 |
| Madison Dearborn Capital Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Madison Dearborn Capital Partners VII</i> | 100,000,000 | 16,637,653 | 14,083,108 | 57,142 | 83,362,347 | -25.31 | 0.85 | 1.03 |
| Merced Capital | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Merced Partners II</i> | 75,000,000 | 63,768,881 | 8,345,378 | 121,622,383 | 0 | 24.01 | 2.04 | 9.50 |
| <i>Merced Partners III</i> | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 61,275,833 | 70,997,107 | 0 | 6.86 | 1.32 | 6.41 |
| <i>Merced Partners IV</i> | 125,000,000 | 125,000,000 | 140,210,500 | 0 | 0 | 5.48 | 1.12 | 3.23 |
| Neuberger Berman LLC | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Dyal Capital Partners III</i> | 175,000,000 | 83,650,000 | 31,062,500 | 56,023,629 | 147,373,629 | 5.47 | 1.04 | 1.70 |
| Nordic Capital | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Nordic Capital Fund VIII</i> | 172,863,917 | 97,819,773 | 98,305,240 | 49,418 | 75,044,144 | 0.62 | 1.01 | 3.05 |
| North Sky Capital** | | | | | | | | |
| <i>North Sky Capital LBO Fund III</i> | 1,070,259 | 720,259 | 492,885 | 392,234 | 350,000 | 14.44 | 1.23 | 1.76 |
| <i>North Sky Capital Venture Fund III</i> | 1,384,080 | 1,277,830 | 568,697 | 773,202 | 106,250 | 3.63 | 1.05 | 1.76 |
| Oaktree Capital Management | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Oaktree Principal Fund VI</i> | 100,000,000 | 21,393,823 | 14,460,124 | 9,743,624 | 87,950,941 | 16.87 | 1.13 | 1.76 |
| Paine & Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Paine & Partners Capital Fund IV</i> | 75,000,000 | 31,073,781 | 25,122,406 | 900,605 | 43,926,219 | -19.57 | 0.84 | 1.81 |
| Permal Capital** | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Permal PE Opportunities IV</i> | 5,337,098 | 4,247,098 | 2,416,846 | 2,135,487 | 1,090,000 | 4.30 | 1.07 | 1.76 |
| PERMIRA | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Permira V</i> | 177,075,259 | 156,744,953 | 153,518,367 | 2,229,773 | 20,330,306 | -0.39 | 0.99 | 2.75 |
| <i>Permira VI</i> | 126,569,996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126,569,996 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 |

Minnesota State Board of Investment
- Alternative Investments -
As of December 31, 2016

| Investment | Total Commitment | Funded Commitment | Market Value | Distributions | Unfunded Commitment | IRR % | MOIC* | Period Years |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|
| Public Pension Capital Management | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Public Pension Capital</i> | 100,000,000 | 28,888,694 | 29,435,273 | 214,861 | 71,111,306 | -18.09 | 1.03 | 2.38 |
| RWI Ventures | | | | | | | | |
| <i>RWI Ventures I</i> | 7,603,265 | 7,603,265 | 486,319 | 6,094,262 | 0 | -4.35 | 0.87 | 10.26 |
| Silver Lake Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Silver Lake Partners II</i> | 100,000,000 | 90,121,966 | 20,251,811 | 155,275,475 | 11,771,953 | 11.37 | 1.95 | 12.25 |
| <i>Silver Lake Partners III</i> | 100,000,000 | 91,165,628 | 62,563,152 | 119,567,404 | 10,675,428 | 17.69 | 2.00 | 9.51 |
| <i>Silver Lake Partners IV</i> | 100,000,000 | 67,898,930 | 66,102,516 | 28,383,248 | 43,732,022 | 27.07 | 1.39 | 4.01 |
| Split Rock Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Split Rock Partners</i> | 50,000,000 | 47,454,543 | 27,451,116 | 25,998,744 | 2,545,457 | 1.60 | 1.13 | 11.42 |
| <i>Split Rock Partners II</i> | 60,000,000 | 57,825,000 | 39,661,108 | 23,289,936 | 2,175,000 | 2.47 | 1.09 | 8.43 |
| Summit Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Summit Ventures V</i> | 25,000,000 | 24,125,000 | 46,786 | 33,358,461 | 875,000 | 8.11 | 1.38 | 18.50 |
| <i>Summit Partners Growth Equity Fund VIII</i> | 100,000,000 | 101,435,470 | 97,314,257 | 38,496,655 | 11,623,886 | 16.30 | 1.34 | 5.41 |
| <i>Summit Partners Growth Equity Fund IX</i> | 100,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100,000,000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.34 |
| T. Rowe Price | 37,957,338 | 37,881,741 | 11,683,402 | 34,036,316 | 0 | 17.70 | 1.21 | |
| TPG Capital | | | | | | | | |
| <i>TPG Partners VII</i> | 100,000,000 | 23,070,847 | 21,807,592 | 25,996 | 76,929,153 | -8.51 | 0.95 | 1.06 |
| Thoma Cressey | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Thoma Cressey Fund VI</i> | 35,000,000 | 33,915,000 | 1,891 | 34,556,478 | 1,085,000 | 0.22 | 1.02 | 18.12 |
| <i>Thoma Cressey Fund VII</i> | 50,000,000 | 50,000,000 | 916,275 | 106,625,069 | 0 | 23.61 | 2.15 | 16.10 |
| <i>Thoma Cressey Fund VIII</i> | 70,000,000 | 68,932,574 | 1,510,948 | 233,860,926 | 770,000 | 18.28 | 2.93 | 10.42 |
| Thoma Bravo | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Thoma Bravo Fund XII</i> | 75,000,000 | 23,912,123 | 22,946,241 | 0 | 51,087,877 | -4.40 | 0.96 | 0.31 |
| Thomas H. Lee Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Thomas H. Lee Equity Fund VII</i> | 100,000,000 | 26,259,850 | 28,765,200 | 31,244 | 73,740,150 | 18.51 | 1.10 | 1.06 |
| Thomas, McNerney & Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Thomas, McNerney & Partners I</i> | 30,000,000 | 30,000,000 | 5,982,671 | 10,504,694 | 0 | -11.37 | 0.55 | 13.91 |
| <i>Thomas, McNerney & Partners II</i> | 50,000,000 | 47,125,000 | 16,534,907 | 93,155,007 | 2,875,000 | 17.22 | 2.33 | 10.25 |
| Varde Fund | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Varde Fund IX</i> | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 30,819,159 | 179,575,924 | 0 | 14.80 | 2.10 | 8.27 |
| <i>Varde Fund X</i> | 150,000,000 | 150,000,000 | 152,726,817 | 117,491,182 | 0 | 11.95 | 1.80 | 6.45 |
| <i>Varde Fund XI</i> | 200,000,000 | 200,000,000 | 250,336,600 | 0 | 0 | 7.78 | 1.25 | 3.23 |
| Vestar Capital Partners | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Vestar Capital Partners IV</i> | 55,000,000 | 53,635,969 | 979,626 | 101,917,140 | 57,313 | 14.66 | 1.92 | 16.80 |
| <i>Vestar Capital Partners V</i> | 75,000,000 | 74,936,812 | 17,010,389 | 82,019,219 | 63,188 | 3.97 | 1.32 | 10.79 |
| <i>Vestar Capital Partners VI</i> | 100,000,000 | 69,414,329 | 68,327,965 | 46,389,057 | 30,585,671 | 30.46 | 1.65 | 5.03 |
| Warburg Pincus | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Warburg Pincus China, L.P.</i> | 45,000,000 | 3,825,000 | 3,825,000 | 0 | 41,175,000 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.05 |
| <i>Warburg Pincus Equity Partners</i> | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 392,743 | 163,222,253 | 0 | 10.02 | 1.64 | 18.27 |
| <i>Warburg Pincus Private Equity VIII</i> | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 14,673,478 | 212,740,851 | 0 | 14.78 | 2.27 | 14.47 |
| <i>Warburg Pincus Private Equity IX</i> | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 13,369,062 | 163,310,456 | 0 | 10.08 | 1.77 | 11.19 |
| <i>Warburg Pincus Private Equity X</i> | 150,000,000 | 150,000,000 | 76,463,540 | 141,544,787 | 0 | 7.65 | 1.45 | 8.94 |
| <i>Warburg Pincus Private Equity XI</i> | 200,000,000 | 175,800,000 | 194,622,018 | 33,185,800 | 24,200,000 | 11.83 | 1.30 | 3.78 |
| <i>Warburg Pincus Private Equity XII</i> | 131,000,000 | 23,514,500 | 21,588,615 | 0 | 107,485,500 | -20.65 | 0.92 | 0.87 |
| Wayzata | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Wayzata Opportunities Fund</i> | 100,000,000 | 93,180,000 | 1,668,756 | 155,536,211 | 18,920,000 | 8.45 | 1.69 | 10.78 |
| <i>Wayzata Opportunities Fund II</i> | 150,000,000 | 57,450,000 | 21,067,894 | 179,098,550 | 30,000,000 | 16.13 | 3.48 | 8.94 |
| <i>Wayzata Opportunities Fund III</i> | 150,000,000 | 68,415,000 | 48,442,968 | 17,836,917 | 99,285,000 | -1.77 | 0.97 | 4.29 |
| Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe VIII</i> | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 2,395 | 128,816,862 | 0 | 3.12 | 1.29 | 18.19 |
| <i>Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe IX</i> | 125,000,000 | 123,750,000 | 2,579 | 205,668,645 | 1,250,000 | 11.19 | 1.66 | 16.26 |
| <i>Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe X</i> | 100,000,000 | 98,000,000 | 18,108,790 | 146,916,912 | 2,000,000 | 8.02 | 1.68 | 10.80 |
| <i>Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe XI</i> | 100,000,000 | 98,118,032 | 64,150,223 | 83,305,432 | 1,881,968 | 12.20 | 1.50 | 8.20 |
| <i>Welsh, Carson, Anderson & Stowe XII</i> | 150,000,000 | 41,709,761 | 44,820,558 | 0 | 108,290,239 | 5.22 | 1.07 | 1.76 |
| <i>Private Equity Total</i> | 12,520,959,126 | 8,922,442,411 | 4,748,232,248 | 9,095,039,313 | 3,732,771,787 | | 1.55 | |
| <i>Private Markets Total</i> | 20,494,530,485 | 14,784,448,146 | 7,753,145,487 | 14,512,010,871 | 5,947,799,619 | | 1.51 | |

Notes

None of the data presented herein has been reviewed or approved by either the general partner or investment manager. The performance and valuation data presented herein is not a guarantee or prediction of future results. Ultimately, the actual performance and value of any investment is not known until final liquidation. Because there is no industry-standardized method for valuation or reporting comparisons of performance and valuation data among different investments is difficult.

* MOIC: Multiple of Invested Capital

** Partnership interests transferred to the MSBI during 1Q2015. All data presented as of the transfer date.

This page intentionally left blank.

ATTACHMENT C

PRIVATE EQUITY MANAGER SUMMARY PROFILE

I. *Background Data*

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| <i>Name of Fund:</i> | Adams Street Global Secondary Fund 6 LP |
| <i>Type of Fund:</i> | Private Equity – Secondary Interests |
| <i>Target Fund Size:</i> | \$1.2 billion |
| <i>Fund Manager:</i> | Adams Street Partners |
| <i>Manager Contact:</i> | Steve Wilde, CFA Adams Street Partners One North Wacker Drive Suite 2200 Chicago, IL 60606-2823 P: (312) 553-7867 |

II. *Organization and Staff*

Adams Street Partners is a leading private equity investment firm, providing primary, secondary, co-investment, private capital, and venture capital investment management services to institutional clients. Together with its predecessor organizations, the firm began investing in private equity partnerships in 1979 and has been an active direct investor in private equity since 1972. Adams Street Partners was formed on January 1, 2001 and was comprised of the members of Brinson Partners' Private Equity Group. Adams Street Partners is an independent, 100% employee owned organization. In 2017, Adams Street Partners has over 60 investment professionals managing \$29 billion of institutional capital across all of its strategies.

Adams Street Partners was a pioneer in the private equity secondaries market, first investing in secondaries in 1986. Since that time, the firm has closed over 190 secondary transactions and now has over \$5 billion of secondary assets under management. Throughout this history, Adams Street Partners has maintained a focused approach on investing in high quality funds. The Venture Partnership Acquisition Fund and the Venture Partnership Acquisition Fund II (“VPAF II”) were formed in 1988 and 1990, respectively, for the purpose of acquiring private equity limited partner interests in the secondary market. These funds were among the first dedicated funds in the industry formed to purchase secondaries. Following the investment of VPAF II, Adams Street Partners continued making secondary investments via its fund of funds programs. In 2004, Adams Street Partners began raising funds again for the purpose of investing in interests that exceeded the Adams Street Partners’ managed entities allocations to secondary investments. The Secondary Investments team is led by Jeff Akers and includes 12 investment professionals.

III. Investment Strategy

Adams Street Partners believes that outperformance can be achieved through a selective, disciplined and integrated process. Collaboration with the Primary, Venture, Credit and Co-Investment teams provides the Secondary Investment team with an information advantage when choosing investments. In general, the team will focus on transactions with a growth orientation and attractive entry valuations where a high quality private market fund manager has significant influence to create value. Portfolio construction is a key part of its investment process as well. Adams Street Partners utilizes a thematic approach to target specific funds and exposures that it believes present the best risk/return characteristics for the fund portfolio. It is a process that is active, systematic and ongoing. Early due diligence is more focused on understanding the broader outlook of the portfolio, while the final due diligence involves a more granular analysis of the underlying company investments and exit assumptions. Once an investment has been made, Adams Street Partners continues to actively monitor investments to ensure that profit allocations, distributions, clawbacks and amendments are made to the benefit of investors.

The Secondary Investment Team views the secondary landscape through several different lenses: macroeconomic trends, sub-class exposure, supply and demand, and manager / investor related issues driven by fundraising or market sentiment. Because the secondary market has become more active, transparent and efficient, the Secondary Investment Team's success is driven by understanding where the best secondary investments can be found in a broader landscape. Adams Street Partners intends to capitalize on the experience of its Secondary Investment Team, its substantial expertise in transactions, its proprietary database of information, its extensive global sourcing and its over 250 existing General Partner relationships. Adams Street Partners believes that it is viewed by sellers as an efficient and discrete buyer of private market fund interests.

Adams Street Partners believes that the Investment Period for Fund 6 will overlap with a market environment conducive to the firm's long-term strategy. Given the Fund's anticipated focus on private market fund interests that are 3-8 years old, the record levels of primary commitments made between 2012 and 2015 should provide ample amounts of deal flow. In addition, the recent and expected increase in volatility will likely decrease the ability of competing secondary buyers to use leverage to purchase large portfolios at high prices. This will likely result in more sales being broken up into smaller portfolios or single deals, which are a key part of Adams Street Partners' strategy. Adams Street Partners does not intend to use leverage as part of its underwriting process but plans to use a credit facility of up to 30% of aggregate commitments in Adams Street Global Secondary Fund VI.

IV. Investment Performance

Previous fund performance as of September 30, 2016 is shown below:

| Fund | Vintage Year | Total Committed Capital | SBI Investment | Net IRR* | Net MOIC* |
|-------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| VPAF | 1988 | \$47 million | \$3.8 million | 14.7% | 2.49 |
| VPAF II | 1990 | \$111 million | \$20 million | 24.1% | 1.90 |
| ASGOS | 2004 | \$211 million | - | 11.0% | 1.53 |
| ASGOS II | 2009 | \$738 million | - | 15.9% | 1.62 |
| ASGSF V | 2012 | \$1.1 billion | \$100 million | 1.8% | 1.03 |

* Previous Fund investments may be relatively immature and, therefore, returns may not be indicative of future results. Net IRR and Net MOIC provided by State Street for VPAF, VPAF II and ASGSF V. All other data provided by the manager.

V. General Partner's Investment

The General Partner will contribute 1% of the aggregate subscriptions to the Fund.

VI. Fees

The Fund will pay Adams Street Partners an annual management fee as described below.

Fee Break Points: Each investor (other than Adams Street Partners and its affiliates) that invests in the Fund will be assessed, quarterly in arrears, a management fee equal to 100 basis points per annum of the first \$25 million of its aggregate subscription to the Fund, 90 basis points per annum on amounts subscribed over \$25 million and up to \$50 million, 75 basis points per annum on amounts subscribed over \$50 million and up to \$100 million, 50 basis points per annum on amounts subscribed over \$100 million and up to \$150 million, and 40 basis points per annum on amounts subscribed over \$150 million.

Fee Tail-down: Beginning on the sixth anniversary of the initial investment date, the annual management fee will be reduced by 10% of the original annual fee and by 10% of the original annual fee on each anniversary thereafter (i.e., 90% of the original annual fee beginning on the sixth anniversary of the initial investment date, 80% of the original annual fee beginning on the seventh anniversary of the initial investment date, etc.).

The expected average annual management fee with respect to an investor's subscription to the Fund in an amount of \$100 million would be approximately 60 basis points. This assumes no fee credits and a Fund term of 15 years.

VII. Distributions

The Fund General Partner will receive 10% carried interest on cumulative profit distributions attributable to Secondary Investments after each partner receives a preferred return of 7%. No carried interest is earned from Primary Investments.

VIII. Key Persons

This fund does not have a key person provision.

IX. Investment Period and Term

The Investment Period ends five years after the Initial Investment Date. The term of the Fund is 12 years from the Initial Investment Date with three, one-year extensions at the discretion of the General Partner.

Note: This document is a summary of more detailed information provided in the Confidential Private Placement Memorandum (the "PPM"). It is qualified in its entirety by the more detailed information provided in the PPM.

ATTACHMENT D

PRIVATE EQUITY MANAGER SUMMARY PROFILE

I. Background Data

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| <i>Name of Fund:</i> | KKR Asian Fund III, L.P. |
| <i>Type of Fund:</i> | Private Equity - Buyout |
| <i>Target Fund Size:</i> | \$7.0 billion |
| <i>Fund Manager:</i> | Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. |
| <i>Administrative Contact:</i> | Ari Barkan 9 West 57 th Street New York, NY 10019 (212) 271-9107 |

II. Organization and Staff

Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P. (“KKR” or the “Firm”) is forming KKR Asian Fund III (“Asian Fund III” or the “Fund”) to pursue investment opportunities in large, industry-leading businesses in Asia. KKR has a history of investing in Asia across various countries, sectors, and types of investments. The Fund is a continuation of, and will build upon, their private equity investment strategy and four decades of global private equity investing experience.

KKR was established in 1976 and continues to be one of the world’s largest private equity firms. Two of the Firm’s founders, Henry R. Kravis and George R. Roberts, are co-Chairman and co-CEOs as well as members of the Firm’s Management Committee and regional Private Equity Investment committees. Currently, KKR has approximately 180 private equity investment professionals dedicated to sourcing, analyzing, executing, and managing private equity investments. In addition to the experience of their investment professionals, they have a significant global network of resources available to contribute additional expertise to the investment process, including approximately 50 operational professionals, over 40 capital markets professionals, a roster of over 30 senior advisors as well as a dedicated macro team and public affairs team.

Since 1976, KKR’s global private equity funds have invested \$70 billion in over 350 transactions. In Asia, they have invested and committed over \$10 billion in Pan Asia private equity investments since 2005 in 47 transactions. They have invested across both emerging and developed markets in Asia, and have taken publicly listed companies private, acquired divisional assets through corporate divestiture transactions, partnered with family-owned businesses and strategic buyers, structured meaningful minority investments enabling them to drive improvement at companies while limiting downside risk, and acquired and grown businesses through industry consolidation strategies. The KKR Asia private equity investment team consists of approximately 60 investment professionals and approximately 15 KKR Capstone executives (operational consulting team dedicated to KKR portfolio companies in Asia), who are located in offices across the Asian region: Hong Kong, Tokyo, Sydney, Beijing, Mumbai, Seoul and Singapore. Across its different business units, KKR

has approximately 120 professionals on the ground in Asia. (Information is as of September 30, 2016 unless otherwise noted. KKR Capstone is not a subsidiary or affiliate of KKR.).

III. Investment Strategy

KKR's approach in Asia is to fully combine their leading global resources with the Asia team's extensive local experience, relationships, and operational expertise to build successful partnerships with leading businesses and entrepreneurs. They typically focus their efforts on opportunities where they can invest a meaningful amount of capital in a business with an existing, sustainable competitive advantage and where the value of the business can be enhanced through active involvement. They seek to acquire businesses they believe are at an inflection point where KKR's involvement will drive transformational change, whether through a traditional buyout or as a minority investor. Given the dynamic market environment across the pan-Asia region, KKR remains highly flexible with investment structures, ownership stakes, and transaction types.

KKR investment professionals in Asia are primarily organized along six region/country verticals: Australia, Greater China, India, Japan, Korea, and Southeast Asia. KKR believes that their strong local knowledge, relationships, and deep networks – combined with the Firm's global resources and industry expertise – positions KKR's Asia team as a local player with global best practices. KKR believes this allows the Asia team to successfully differentiate itself when sourcing, securing, and executing deals in each country.

KKR anticipates that significant investment opportunities will continue to emerge in the current Asian market environment as entrepreneurs and large corporations seek to further drive growth and expansion through a value added partner. KKR believes their Pan Asia investment approach allows for the flexibility to deploy capital across different countries at different points in the cycle as well as to pivot between the developed and emerging markets of the region. They anticipate that the Fund's investments will primarily take the following forms:

- Growth capital to support continued expansion, particularly in emerging markets;
- Corporate divestitures – “carve-outs”;
- Traditional buy-out and take private transactions;
- Companies with significant potential for operational improvements;
- Companies seeking a global presence and expansions through cross-border transactions;
- Companies impacted by regional market dislocations;
- Opportunities to invest in platforms for industry consolidation; or
- Opportunistic public toehold investments.

For Asian Fund III, the strong industry knowledge of KKR's regional teams in Asia is further supported by the full capabilities and resources of their global industry teams, with whom their regional teams actively collaborate. By combining a dedicated, regionally-focused approach with their global industry expertise and market understanding, KKR has also been

able to identify and invest behind important industry themes in Asia across both control-oriented and minority stake transactions. While the areas on which they focus consistently evolve, KKR's flexible and thematic investment approach has led them to identify and currently focus on the following industries and types of opportunities across Asia:

- Food Safety & Agriculture
- Health Care
- Financial Services
- Large-Scale Corporate Carve-Outs
- Cross-Border M&A Investments
- Specialty Investment Platforms

KKR believes that the combination of their global resources, a strong local team across seven offices in Asia, and their investment and operational expertise provide an advantage in identifying and creating value in exceptional investment opportunities that will support the growth of leading companies in Asia. KKR seeks to capitalize on the region's strong economic dynamics, as well as to introduce global best practices and operational value creation for high-quality, leading local businesses. Ultimately, KKR seeks to find companies they are proud to own and to sustainably improve them while generating strong investment returns for their investors.

IV. Investment Performance

Previous fund performance as of September 30, 2016 for KKR Asian funds are shown below:

| Fund | Vintage Year | Total Committed Capital | SBI Investment | Net IRR* | Net MOIC* |
|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Asian Fund | 2007 | \$4.0 billion | -- | 14% | 1.8 |
| Asian Fund II | 2013 | \$5.8 billion | -- | 26% | 1.4 |

* Previous Fund investments may be relatively immature and, therefore, returns may not be indicative of future results. Performance provided by KKR.

V. General Partner's Investment

The General Partner, together with the Firm's employees, will commit at least \$750 million.

VI. Fees

1.50% of committed capital during the investment period, stepping down to 1.25% on invested capital after the investment period. The management fee will be further reduced by 25 basis points with effect as of each successive eighth calendar quarter thereafter (but will not be reduced below 0.75% per annum).

VII. Distributions

Distributions will be apportioned to Limited Partners as follows:

- (a) first, 100% to each Limited Partner until it has received total distributions equal to its capital contributions:
 - a. of the investment disposed,
 - b. used to pay fees and expenses,
 - c. relating to the amount of realized losses on any other Fund investment allocated to such Limited Partner that has not been previously recouped, and
 - d. of the Limited Partner's share of unrealized investments written down;
- (b) second, 100% to each Limited Partner until the proceeds equal an amount necessary to provide an internal rate of return of 7% per annum;
- (c) third, 100% of the proceeds to the General Partner until it receives cumulative proceeds equal to 20% of the profits distributed; and
- (d) thereafter, 80% to such Limited Partner and 20% to the General Partner.

VIII. Key Persons

A "Key Executive Event" will have occurred if fewer than half of the Key Executives or fewer than half of the Asian Investment Professionals continue to devote the substantial majority of their business time to the management and operation of KKR and its investment activities on behalf of the Fund and other KKR-sponsored investments, in each case, during the Investment Period.

IX. Investment Period and Term

The investment period will last for a period of six years from the date of the initial investment. The Fund will terminate eleven years from the initial investment, but may be extended for two additional one-year periods following consultation with LP Advisory Committee and with the consent of a majority in interest of the Limited Partners.

Note: This document is a summary of more detailed information provided in the Confidential Private Placement Memorandum (the "PPM"). It is qualified in its entirety by the more detailed information provided in the PPM and any supplemental thereto.

ATTACHMENT E

PRIVATE EQUITY MANAGER SUMMARY PROFILE

I. Background Data

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| <i>Name of Fund:</i> | Silver Lake Partners V, L.P. |
| <i>Type of Fund:</i> | Private Equity - Buyout |
| <i>Target Fund Size:</i> | \$12.5 billion |
| <i>Fund Manager:</i> | Silver Lake Technology Management, LLC |
| <i>Administrative Contact:</i> | Dan Hoevel 9 West 57 th Street 32 nd Floor New York, NY 10019 (212) 381-7307 |

II. Organization and Staff

Silver Lake Partners (“Silver Lake”, “SLP”, or “Firm”) was founded in 1999 and is a leading private equity firm focused on large-scale investing in the technology, technology-enabled, and related growth industries. Silver Lake has a global presence with over 80 investment and value creation professionals in offices in Silicon Valley, New York, London, Hong Kong, and Tokyo. The Firm also assembled a group of technology executives who serve as Senior Advisors to the Firm. With extensive industry knowledge and leadership experience, these Advisors share subject matter expertise, evaluate market trends and help identify strategic opportunities for Silver Lake.

Silver Lake Partners is led by 4 Managing Partners that oversee all investing and business operations. Collectively known as the “Managing Partner Committee”, they are also responsible for policy-making, strategy, and business development.

In addition to its flagship strategy, the Firm manages investment strategies in growth capital for tech-enabled efficiency (Silver Lake Kraftwerk), debt capital for later-stage venture capital-backed technology companies (Silver Lake Waterman), and middle market technology (Silver Lake Sumeru).

III. Investment Strategy

Silver Lake Partners focuses on large-scale investing in the technology, technology-enabled, and related growth industries. The substantial majority of Silver Lake’s total capital invested to date has been in large and complex control transactions or minority investments where Silver Lake obtained corporate governance consistent with its ownership position or had significant company involvement. The Firm combines sector specialization and industry expertise, rigorous due diligence capabilities, and access to an extensive global network within the technology ecosystem to create a competitive advantage when evaluating, managing, and exiting investments. Silver Lake believes that the Firm’s vast network of

relationships, differentiated investment strategy, experience with differentiated and flexible investment structures, and ability to add meaningful strategic value to portfolio companies has helped SLP achieve superior investment performance over time across the Prior Funds.

As with the prior funds, Silver Lake's goal is to create sustainable outperformance across market cycles with both higher returns and lower volatility. Silver Lake seeks to: (i) apply its technology expertise thematically across the diversified technology ecosystem; (ii) leverage its global relationship network, partnering with management teams to implement value creation initiatives; (iii) focus on market leaders with transformational opportunities and invest in companies with exceptional growth prospects; (iv) demonstrate a consistent approach to risk management by utilizing flexible investment structures and formats; and (v) build great technology businesses and leadership teams and generate strong fund performance and monetizations.

When constructing SLP V, Silver Lake will target market-leading companies with identified transformational value creation opportunities. Silver Lake is focused on leading, franchise-quality companies with inherent competitive advantages, where the Firm can further improve the business by implementing a number of transformational value creation programs in partnership with its management teams. The value creation and investment teams work collaboratively with Silver Lake's portfolio companies to introduce new products and services, enter new global markets, develop new strategic partnerships, realize operating efficiencies, and expand the network of relationships available to management. Another key theme for SLP V is targeting companies with exceptional growth potential at compelling valuation levels. Silver Lake targets companies with strong top-line growth rates, where the business is mispriced relative to its underlying future cash flow potential and is willing to pay higher multiples for companies growing at a higher rate with attractive cash flow yield characteristics.

The Fund expects to make investments ranging from approximately \$200 million to \$1.25 billion or more in Fund capital per transaction (or series of transactions). Regarding geographic allocation, SLP funds are global funds with no geographic allocation. The investment committee reviews hundreds of investment opportunities annually and aims to select the best opportunities globally without any limitations from subsector or geographical allocations. Silver Lake believes this flexibility has been critical in enabling their outperformance during a range of economic, market, and technology cycles.

IV. Investment Performance

Previous fund performance as of September 30, 2016 for Silver Lake Partners is shown below:

| Fund | Vintage Year | Total Committed Capital | SBI Investment | Net IRR* | Net MOIC* |
|-------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| SLP I | 1999 | \$2.3 billion | -- | 25% | 2.2 |
| SLP II | 2004 | \$3.6 billion | \$100 million | 11% | 1.9 |
| SLP III | 2007 | \$9.4 billion | \$100 million | 17% | 1.9 |
| SLP IV | 2013 | \$10.3 billion | \$100 million | 25% | 1.3 |

* Previous Fund investments may be relatively immature and, therefore, returns may not be indicative of future results. Performance was provided by Silver Lake Partners for SLP I and by State Street for SLP II – IV.

V. General Partner's Investment

The General Partner will commit to invest a minimum of the lesser of (i) \$400 million and (ii) 3% of aggregate Commitments.

VI. Fees

1.50% of committed capital during the investment period, stepping down to 1.0% after the investment period.

VII. Distributions

Distributions will be apportioned to Limited Partners as follows:

- (a) first, 100% to each Limited Partner until it has received total distributions equal to its capital contributions:
 - a. attributable to realized investments and unrealized investments to the extent they are permanently written down as of that time; and
 - b. used to pay fees and expenses;
- (b) second, 100% to each Limited Partner until the proceeds equal an amount necessary to provide an internal rate of return of 8% per annum;
- (c) third, 100% of the proceeds to the General Partner until it receives cumulative proceeds equal to 20% of the profits distributed; and
- (d) thereafter, 80% to such Limited Partner and 20% to the General Partner.

VIII. Key Persons

A “Key Person Event” will have occurred if (i) there are not at least three Key Persons devoting substantially all of his/her business time to matters relating to the business of the General Partner. The Key Persons listed are the four Managing Partners of the Firm; or (ii) the Key Persons, Silver Lake employees and professionals, and other persons holding a GP interest as of close, and their respective related persons/entities, cease to own in the aggregate at least 70% of the carried interest or voting interest in the General Partner and Investment Adviser.

IX. Investment Period and Term

The investment period will be six years and the term will be ten years. The term may be extended for up to three consecutive one-year periods at the discretion of the General Partner with the consent of either the LP Advisory Committee or a majority in interest of the Limited Partners.

Note: Past performance is not indicative of future results. This document is a summary of more detailed information provided in the Confidential Private Placement Memorandum (the “PPM”). It is qualified in its entirety by the more detailed information provided in the PPM and any supplemental thereto and by reference to the Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of the Fund, as amended, restated, or otherwise modified from time to time (the “Partnership Agreement”). The Partnership Agreement and subscription materials will be provided to qualified investors prior to closing. To the extent that the terms set forth above are inconsistent with those of the Partnership Agreement, the Partnership Agreement will control.

ATTACHMENT F

PRIVATE EQUITY MANAGER SUMMARY PROFILE

I. Background Data

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| <i>Name of Fund:</i> | Vestar Capital Partners VII, L.P. |
| <i>Type of Fund:</i> | Private Equity - Buyout |
| <i>Target Fund Size:</i> | \$1.0 billion |
| <i>Fund Manager:</i> | Vestar Capital Partners LLC |
| <i>Administrative Contact:</i> | Ken O'Keefe 200 Clarendon Street, 48th Floor Boston, MA 02116 (617) 247-1360 |

II. Organization and Staff

Vestar Capital Partners (“Vestar” or the “Firm”) is forming Vestar Capital Partners VII (“VCP VII” or the “Fund”) to pursue investment opportunities in middle-market companies in the U.S. The Fund is a continuation of Vestar’s successful strategy of utilizing their operational and strategic capabilities to target middle-market companies in select key sectors and leveraging their experienced and cohesive team to produce attractive risk-adjusted returns to investors.

The Firm’s founders began working together at First Boston in the early 1980s at the inception of the modern private equity industry. Over the years, Vestar has compiled an impressive track record, completing more than 100 private equity investments and 200 add-on acquisitions across multiple funds and numerous economic cycles.

Vestar is headquartered in New York with additional offices in Boston and Denver. The Firm has approximately 40 employees, including 22 investment and operating professionals. Roughly half of Vestar’s investment and operating professionals have spent 10 or more years with the Firm.

III. Investment Strategy

Vestar is one of the longest standing private equity firms investing in the middle market. The Firm believes the middle market offers the largest number of available opportunities in private equity. Vestar defines this segment of the market as companies that are generally privately held, founder or family-led, non-core subsidiaries or divisions of larger corporations, subscale public companies, or companies seeking growth capital that can benefit from Vestar’s backing and support. The Firm’s target market includes U.S. companies with total enterprise value ranging between \$100 million – \$1 billion with which Vestar would target an equity investment between \$50 million – \$150 million.

Vestar invests across the following sectors: Consumer, Healthcare, Business Services, and Industrial Products. They believe domain expertise is a key factor for success in today's competitive private equity industry. Vestar's dedicated sector teams understand key industry trends, risks, opportunities, and value migration that enable thoughtful sourcing, analysis, and evaluation of potential investments. Sector teams actively network with executives, consultants, advisors, and deal sources, continually enhancing their knowledge and seeking to create a competitive advantage. They believe a multi-sector approach leads to the construction of a diversified portfolio by deploying capital across the most attractive opportunities.

Once a potential investment has been approved by Vestar's Investment Committee, the deal team (typically consisting of four or five professionals, including two Managing Directors, at least one of whom is a Vestar Resources operating professional) works together with portfolio company management on implementing the value creation plan. Examples of operational improvement initiatives include:

- Revitalizing or extending strong brands or franchises;
- Professionalizing teams with a focus on talent recruitment and development;
- Developing strong boards of directors with experienced independent directors;
- Repositioning products or services to capture new and growing market opportunities;
- Expanding existing products or services into adjacent markets;
- Identifying platform companies that can benefit from attractive follow-on acquisitions;
- Utilizing growth equity to build businesses in fragmented industries with unmet demand;
- Discerning situations where value creation can be accelerated by making and implementing fundamental operational and business decisions such as prioritizing capital allocation decisions or by spinning off non-core divisions;
- Finding stable but under-developed companies that can be transformed through operational improvements; and
- Applying unique business intelligence.

Vestar's Portfolio Review Committee periodically reviews the performance of each portfolio company with the goal of identifying and implementing operational improvements and sharing other value-enhancing or risk-mitigating practices. Vestar is proud of its experience in building what it believes are dynamic, engaged boards of directors with seasoned and value-adding independent directors. These directors bring domain expertise, strong customer access, and governance experience and Vestar believes they have enhanced value for portfolio companies.

IV. Investment Performance

Previous fund performance as of September 30, 2016 for Vestar Capital Partners funds are shown below:

| Fund | Vintage Year | Total Committed Capital | SBI Investment | Net IRR* | Net MOIC* |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Vestar Capital Partners I | 1988 | \$35 million | -- | 54% | 8.3 |
| Vestar Capital Partners II | 1993 | \$260 million | -- | 58% | 3.5 |
| Vestar Capital Partners III | 1997 | \$803 million | -- | 3% | 1.1 |
| Vestar Capital Partners IV | 1999 | \$2.5 billion | \$55 million | 15% | 1.9 |
| Vestar Capital Partners V | 2005 | \$3.7 billion | \$75 million | 4% | 1.3 |
| Vestar Capital Partners VI | 2012 | \$814 million | \$100 million | 30% | 1.6 |

* Previous Fund investments may be relatively immature and, therefore, returns may not be indicative of future results. Performance provided by Vestar Capital Partners for Funds I – III and by State Street for Funds IV – VI.

V. General Partner's Investment

The principals and other investment professionals of Vestar will commit in aggregate 10% of limited partner commitments (up to \$100 million).

VI. Fees

During the commitment period, the annual management fee is 2% of commitments. After the expiration of the Commitment Period, the Management Fee will equal 2% of (i) the aggregate investment contributions, less (ii) the aggregate amount of investment contributions with respect to the portion of each investment that has been disposed of or permanently written-down.

VII. Distributions

Distributions will be apportioned to Limited Partners as follows:

- (a) first, 100% to each Limited Partner until it has received total distributions equal to its capital contributions:
 - a. attributable to realized investments and unrealized investments to the extent they are permanently written down as of that time; and
 - b. used to pay fees and expenses;
- (b) second, 100% to each Limited Partner until the proceeds equal an amount necessary to provide an internal rate of return of 8% per annum;

- (c) third, 100% of the proceeds to the General Partner until it receives cumulative proceeds equal to 20% of the profits distributed; and
- (d) thereafter, 80% to such Limited Partner and 20% to the General Partner.

VIII. Key Persons

A “Key Person Event” will have occurred if, (i) Daniel S. O’Connell, together with three or more persons that are Approved Executive Officers cease to be active in the Partnership’s affairs; (ii) there ceases to be at least six Approved Executive Officers active in the Partnership’s affairs; or (iii) Daniel S. O’Connell, Robert L. Rosner, and Norman W. Alpert cease to be active in the Partnership’s affairs.

IX. Investment Period and Term

The investment period will be six years and the term will be ten years. The term may be extended for up to three consecutive one-year periods at the discretion of the General Partner with the consent of the Advisory Board.

Note: This document is a summary of more detailed information provided in the Confidential Private Placement Memorandum (the “PPM”). It is qualified in its entirety by the more detailed information provided in the PPM and any supplemental thereto.

TAB

E

This page intentionally left blank.

DATE: February 6, 2017

TO: Members, Investment Advisory Council

FROM: SBI Staff

SUBJECT: Domestic Equity Portfolio in the Assigned Risk Plan

The Minnesota Workers' Compensation Assigned Risk Plan (ARP) is a non-profit, tax-exempt entity administered by the Department of Commerce. The ARP provides disability income, medical expenses, retraining expenses and death benefits to high risk entities, with payments being made either periodically or in a lump sum. By statute, the SBI invests the assets of the Plan. The allocation in the ARP is 80 percent bonds and 20 percent equities. As of December 31, 2016, the ARP total assets were \$313.4 million with \$247.4 million in bonds managed by RBC Global Asset Management and \$65.9 million in equities managed by State Street Global Advisors (SSgA). In January of 2017, staff transitioned the U.S. Multi-Style Equity strategy managed by SSgA into the Internal Stock Pool, which is passively managed to the S&P 500 by SBI staff.

Investment Management

GE Asset Management (GEAM) was hired in January 1995 to invest the equity segment of the ARP in their U.S. Multi-Style Equity Strategy. In July 2016, State Street Company acquired GEAM's investment management business. There were no planned changes to the legacy GEAM U.S. equities portfolio management team or legacy U.S. Multi-Style Equity strategy. David Carlson, CIO Equities, was the lead portfolio manager for this strategy. The portfolio was separated into three different strategies: Premier Growth, managed by David Carlson; U.S. Core Value portfolio, managed by Paul Reinhardt; and the U.S. Select Value strategy, managed by Steve Gelhaus. The portfolio was managed with a bottom-up, fundamental process driven by valuations that typically trade below the S&P price to earnings and was agnostic to dividend yield.

Performance of the portfolio has been disappointing during the past several years (see chart below). After the change in ownership, Staff began to evaluate the portfolio due to underperformance and organizational concerns, and examine potential alternatives. Staff believes SSgA is a very capable manager, keeping the portfolio was also under consideration.

U.S. Multi-Style Equity Strategy Restructure

In January of 2017, SSgA notified staff that the legacy U.S. Multi-Style strategy was being restructured to 30 percent U.S. Equity Select and 70 percent U.S. Research Enhanced strategies; U.S. Core Value and U.S. Select Value would be eliminated. U.S. Equity Select would replace Premier Growth, also managed by David Carlson. Chris Sierakowski, in his role as newly appointed co-portfolio manager of U.S. Equity

Select and Tom Lincoln, Director of Fundamental Equity Research and Portfolio Manager of the U.S. Research Enhanced strategy would be additional managers on this strategy replacing Paul Reinhardt and Steve Gelhaus. The U.S. Research Enhanced strategy was based on an analyst portfolio of best stock ideas. SSgA estimated a 35 percent turnover in portfolio holdings as a result of the restructure. Given that concerns had already been raised regarding performance and organizational issues, Staff concluded that the announcement of the restructure required that we arrive at a resolution.

Alternative Investment Option

Staff reviewed various options and concluded that transitioning the legacy U.S. Multi-Style Equity strategy into the Internal Stock Pool prior to the planned restructure to protect the portfolio from higher tracking error and unnecessary trading costs was the best option. Staff discussed its conclusions with members of the Department of Commerce, the agency responsible for the administration of the ARP, who agreed with Staff’s conclusion. The Executive Director instructed Staff to defund the SSgA portfolio prior to the restructure and to transition the assets to the SBI Internal Stock Pool.

The Internal Stock Pool is a low cost option that is passively managed internally by SBI Staff and has consistently tracked S&P 500 stock market returns. This portfolio invests over \$1.8 billion in non-retirement fund equity assets. Non-retirement portfolios are prohibited from being commingled with retirement assets.

Staff believes that due to the uncertainty of premium and liability cash flows, the ARP should be invested conservatively and that the 20 percent allocation in equities be passively managed to earn broad market returns.

The following table provides a comparison of the fees and returns for the legacy U.S. Multi-Style strategy managed by SSgA versus the Internal Stock Pool, each portfolio is benchmarked to the S&P 500 Index.

| <i>Funds</i> | AUM In \$Bil | Annual Fee | Returns as of 12/31/16 | | | Calendar Year Ending Returns | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | 1Yr | 3Yrs | 5Yrs | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| <i>SSGA--US Multi-Style</i> | \$7.4 | 0.380% | 10.2 | 7.2 | 14.1 | 10.2 | -1.4 | 13.2 | 34.5 | 16.8 |
| <i>Internal Stock Pool</i> | \$1.9 | 0.007% | 11.8 | 8.9 | 14.6 | 11.8 | 1.5 | 13.7 | 32.2 | 16.0 |
| <i>S&P 500 Index</i> | | | 12.0 | 8.9 | 14.7 | 12.0 | 1.4 | 13.7 | 32.4 | 16.0 |

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff has concerns with the change in firm organization, investment strategy restructure, portfolio manager changes, and the underperformance of the domestic equity portfolio managed by SSgA in the Assigned Risk Plan. Staff is requesting that the IAC concur with Staff’s recommendation to terminate the relationship with SSgA as the domestic equity portfolio manager of the Assigned Risk Plan and that the assets remain invested in the Internal Stock Pool.

TAB

F

This page intentionally left blank.

DATE: February 6, 2017

TO: Members, Investment Advisory Council

FROM: SBI Staff

SUBJECT: Public Markets, Non-Retirement, and Defined Contribution Report

This section of the report provides a brief overview of economic and capital market performance as it pertains to the SBI portfolio. Also, included in this section is a summary of investment manager activity and performance summaries of the equity and fixed income managers in the SBI portfolio.

Also, we have included commentary and performance for the non-retirement managers, deferred compensation plan mutual funds, and performance for options within the Minnesota College Savings Plan.

The report includes the following sections:

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| • Public Markets Economic and Market Overview | 3 |
| • Public Markets Manager Activity | 6 |
| • Non-Retirement Manager Update | 9 |
| • Deferred Compensation Manager Update | 10 |
| • Manager Performance Summaries | A-1 |

This page intentionally left blank.

Public Markets Economic and Market Overview Fourth Quarter 2016

Overview

U.S. equity markets continued to rise during the fourth quarter. The election of Donald Trump fueled expectations for more robust economic growth, tax cuts, infrastructure spending and further rate increases from the Fed. The post-election rotation from defensive to pro-cyclical, economically sensitive sectors constituted the largest shift in market focus since the financial crisis. The earnings recession ended in the third quarter with profits rising for the first time in five quarters by 3 percent. As a result, Financial Services was the best performing sector in the Russell 3000 Index, while Health Care was the weakest.

U.S. Treasury yields started the fourth quarter near record lows and surged in November following the surprise election of Donald Trump and a Republican led Congress. Treasuries sold off as investor optimism about fiscal stimulus and a business friendly regulatory climate boosted inflation expectations. Treasuries continued their selloff throughout December following the FOMC's unanimous decision to increase the Fed Funds target range by 25 basis points. Also, Chair Yellen commented that inflation expectations had increased considerably, and three additional rate increases may be warranted in 2017. The Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate declined 3.0% for the quarter, with the decline in U.S Treasuries outweighing continued tightening in corporate and other spread sectors.

Developed markets had a negative quarter, as represented by the -0.4% U.S. dollar return of the MSCI World ex. U.S. Index return, amid a sharp decline in the Euro, the Yen and most other currencies. This hurt U.S. dollar-based investors and erased the positive local currency returns achieved in the majority of developed markets (the 4Q16 MSCI World ex USA Index return in local currency terms was 6.9%). Economically sensitive sectors outperformed defensive sectors, boosted by central bank stimulus measures, rising oil prices and investor optimism for an improving global economy. The European Central Bank announced that it would extend the timeline of its bond-buying program to the end of 2017, while lowering the amount of its monthly purchases to 60 billion from 80 billion Euros. Markets advanced despite political turmoil in Italy that triggered the resignation of Prime Minister Renzi after voters rejected his policy initiative to streamline Italy's government. Positive economic data improved sentiment toward Japan's economic growth prospects. Overall, the top performing sectors for the quarter were Financials, Energy and Materials. The worst performing sectors were Real Estate, Health Care and Consumer Discretionary.

The MSCI Emerging Markets index had a return of -4.2% for the fourth quarter. Emerging markets lost ground, blunted by a surge in the U.S. dollar and the U.S. Federal Reserve's move to raise interest rates for the first time in a year. Several currencies reached new lows against the dollar, including the Turkish lira and the Egyptian pound, the two worst performing markets, in U.S. dollar terms, during the quarter. Rising oil prices lifted energy stocks and, led by its energy companies, Russia avoided the sell-off in emerging markets. Overall, Asian markets declined and China lost ground amid concerns about trade relations with the U.S. Turkish stocks fell, hurt by a faltering economy that has been challenged by military conflicts and authoritarian rule following a July coup attempt. Mexican equities declined the most in Latin America, largely hit by fears of

slowing trade with the U.S., its key trading partner. The top performing sectors were Energy, Materials and Financials. The worst performing sectors were Health Care, Real Estate and Consumer Staples.

SBI Portfolio

In the fourth quarter, the SBI's overall portfolio, the Combined Funds, exceeded the composite benchmark return 1.5% versus 1.4%. Domestic equities outperformed the Russell 3000 Index return 4.3% versus 4.2%, while international equities underperformed the MSCI ACWI ex USA Index net return -1.7% versus -1.3%. Although negative in absolute terms, the fixed income portfolio outperformed the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate return (2.6%) versus (3.0%). At roughly 1/2 of the SBI's market value, the domestic equity asset class is the single largest contributor to the combined funds return. For the year ending December 31, domestic equity contributed 5.3% of the Combined Funds 7.6% net return.

Domestic Equity

The large cap growth managers trailed the Russell 1000 Growth benchmark by 3.7% for the quarter and by 6.1% for the year. The quarterly return was hurt by stock selection in Technology and Health Care. For the year, stock selection was negative, particularly stock selection in Technology (eg. LinkedIn). The single largest equity manager underperformance came from Sands, which underperformed for both the quarter and the year due to negative stock selection, led by the Technology sector. Stock selection drove Jacob Levy's outperformance in both periods, with the largest contribution coming from the Financial sector.

The large cap value managers outperformed the Russell 1000 Value benchmark by 2.1% for the quarter and trailed by 2.0% for the year. Stock selection in the Financial Services and Health Care sectors helped the quarterly return. Overall stock selection hurt the one year return and TEVA Pharmaceutical Inc. contributed the most to underperformance. All four managers trailed the one-year benchmark. Systematic led the large cap manager quarterly returns with 11.9% due to stock selection in the Financial Services sector.

The small cap growth managers outperformed the Russell 2000 Growth benchmark by 0.1% for the quarter and trailed by 6.6% for the year. Stock selection in the Materials and Processing, and Health Care sectors helped the quarterly performance. For the year, underperformance was driven by negative stock selection in the Technology, Consumer Discretionary and Producer Durables sectors. McKinley outperformed for both periods while Next Century trailed for both periods due to stock selection.

The following four small cap growth managers were funded on November 1: Arrowpoint, Hood River, Rice Hall and Wellington. Their quarterly performance will first be reflected in the next quarter's report.

The small cap value managers matched the Russell 2000 Value benchmark for the quarter and lagged by 5.2% for the year. Negative sector allocation was offset by positive stock selection for the quarter. For the year, negative stock selection led by the Technology and Materials and Processing sectors, hurt performance. Hotchkis & Wiley led the quarterly performance due to stock selection, especially Financial Services. Martingale's strong stock selection, led by Producer Durables, helped them outperform for the year.

International Equity

Active developed equity managers underperformed the MSCI World ex USA Index (net) by 0.9 percentage point for the quarter as stock selection and allocation detracted from performance. From a country point of view, stock selection was negative in Germany, Japan, Australia and Spain. From a sector point of view, selection was negative in Financials and Industrials; an overweight to Consumer Discretionary also detracted from performance.

Emerging Markets

The SBI's emerging markets equity managers underperformed the benchmark by 3.9 percentage points for the quarter as stock selection, primarily detracted from performance. From a country point of view, stock selection in China, Russia, Taiwan and Brazil and underweight allocations to Russia and Taiwan detracted from performance. From a sector point of view stock selection in the Energy, Financials, and Materials sectors and an overweight to the Consumer Discretionary sector detracted from performance.

Fixed Income

While the SBI's fixed income pool outperformed the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate benchmark by 0.4 percentage points, relative performance of SBI's fixed income managers was mixed. Managers with underweight duration positioning and exposure to credit largely outperformed the benchmark, while managers with equal or overweight benchmark duration and less credit focus underperformed. Exposure to credit, both corporate investment grade and high yield, was beneficial, as spreads narrowed during the quarter.

Public Markets Manager Activity Fourth Quarter 2016

For the quarter, the majority of investment managers performed in-line with expectations. There were some noteworthy manager developments for the quarter.

Domestic Equity Managers

BlackRock

After twenty-six years, Amy Schioldager, Global Head of Beta Strategies, announced her intention to retire from BlackRock in the first quarter of 2017 at which time she will transition to a role of senior advisor to the business. In addition, BlackRock has merged its iShares, BlackRock's ETF business, and Beta Strategies group into a new team called ETF & Index Investments (EII). Mark Wideman was named the global head of the ETF & Index Investments group. Manish Mehta, who will report to Mark, will lead the Investments, Products and Markets division of EII. Within the Investments group led by Manish, Alan Mason will continue to lead the Americas portfolio management. None of the portfolio managers running the index equity portfolios on a day-to-day basis are changing.

INTECH

On October 3, 2016, INTECH's parent company, Janus Capital Group Inc., and Henderson Group entered into an agreed merger. INTECH will become an independently-managed subsidiary of Janus Henderson Global Investors upon completion of the transaction, which is expected to close in the second quarter of 2017, subject to shareholder and regulatory approvals.

J.P. Morgan

During the fourth quarter of 2016, Andrew Brill joined the U.S. Equity Research team expanding its coverage of the industrials sector and focusing on the capital goods space.

International Equity Managers

Capital International

Due to persistent underperformance, asset loss, and investment team turnover on November 15, 2016, the IAC agreed with staff's recommendation to terminate the manager. On December 1, 2016, the Board formally ended its relationship with Capital International, Inc. The SBI transitioned the assets from the Capital International portfolio to an MSCI Emerging Markets passive portfolio in the fourth quarter.

Columbia

Anthony Haugen has been named Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Columbia Management Investment Advisors, LLC and has replaced Brian McGrane as a member of the Board of Governors. Julie Griffiths has become the Head of Global Investment Risk for Columbia Threadneedle. She will also maintain her responsibilities as head of investment risk for EMEA/APAC. Jane Daniel has joined the Global Business Management Team of Columbia Threadneedle as Head of Enterprise Risk. In this new role, Jane will be responsible for ensuring

the firm meets the Enterprise Risk Management standards set by Ameriprise and will oversee the firm's management of operational risk globally.

State Street Global Advisors (SSgA)

In fourth quarter 2016, Dick Taggart became SSgA's Global Head of Operations. Brian Kinney, SSgA's Global Head of Fixed Income Beta Solutions, will assume the role of Global CIO of Treasury at State Street Corp. In addition, Matt Steinaway, SSgA Chief Risk Officer, will assume the role of CIO for Global Fixed Income, Cash and Currency. Greg Hartch will become SSgA's Chief Risk Officer. John Tucker will assume the newly-created role of Global Investments Chief Operating Officer for SSgA, and Mike Feehily will become the Head of Global Equity Beta Solutions in the Americas. Due to the ongoing high level of management changes at SSgA, staff will continue to monitor the firm closely.

Fixed Income Managers

Aberdeen

In November 2016, the SBI terminated its relationship with Aberdeen Asset Management due to staff departures, organizational instability, and the sale of Aberdeen's Core fixed income business to a third party. The Aberdeen assets were transitioned by BlackRock to a combination of cash for benefit payments (\$500mm) and passive developed markets exposure (\$450mm) to align with the SBI's new asset allocation policy.

PIMCO

Effective November 2016, PIMCO appointed Emmanuel (Manny) Roman as their new Chief Executive Officer, replacing Doug Hodges, who had been CEO since 2014. Manny joined PIMCO from Man Group PLC and is expected to provide additional leadership into asset classes such as core and non-core fixed income, private credit, distressed debt and real estate.

2016 Manager Meetings

Staff continued with the SBI Stock and Bond Manager Annual Reviews. The fourth quarter manager reviews are noted below.

| Investment Manager | Asset Class |
|--|--------------------|
| • McKinley Capital Management, LLC | Domestic Equity |
| • INTECH Investment Management, LLC | Domestic Equity |
| • Hotchkis and Wiley Capital Management, LLC | Domestic Equity |
| • Peregrine Capital Management | Domestic Equity |
| • Dodge & Cox | Fixed Income |
| • Western Asset Management Company | Fixed Income |
| • Pacific Investment Management Company LLC | Fixed Income |
| • Goldman Sachs Asset Management | Fixed Income |
| • Mellon Capital Management | Domestic Equity |
| • J. P. Morgan Investment Management Inc. | Domestic Equity |

Non-Retirement Manager Update Third Quarter 2016

Domestic Equities

State Street Global Advisors (Legacy GE Asset Management)

Performance for the quarter and the year underperformed the benchmark. For the quarter, stock selection and an overweight in the health care sector was the greatest performance detractor, while stock selection in information technology also lagged.

Staff has been closely monitoring this portfolio even prior to the State Street acquisition of GE Asset Management in July of 2016. The fundamental, bottom up actively managed approach has lagged the benchmark over various time periods. The move to passively invest this portfolio was expedited when SSgA announced in January of 2017 that they would make changes to the investment strategy and portfolio managers for this portfolio. Staff transitioned the domestic equity portfolio from the U.S. Multi-Style Equity Strategy managed by SSgA to the Internal Stock Pool, which is passively managed to the S&P 500 by SBI staff.

Internal Stock Pool

The performance in the domestic equity portfolio slightly lagged the S&P 500 for the quarter and the year.

Fixed Income

RBC Global Asset Management

The fixed income portfolio outperformed the benchmark, the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate, for the quarter and tracked for the year. For the quarter, the allocation to securitized assets and the Government Related and Treasury holdings benefited returns. Excess yield from securitized and municipal securities that aided returns was offset by the yield curve positioning that detracted from returns over the year.

Internal Bond Pool

The bond portfolio outperformed its benchmark, the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate, for the quarter and for the year. For the quarter, the portfolio underweight duration position to the index and overweight allocation to corporate bonds contributed to outperformance. For the year, the allocation to corporates and mortgages were the largest contributors to outperformance followed by the yield curve positioning.

Deferred Compensation Managers Third Quarter 2016

Domestic Equities

Vanguard Dividend Growth Fund

The actively managed Vanguard Dividend Growth Fund was added to the MNDCP investment line-up during 3Q16. The Fund focuses on high-quality companies with a history of paying a stable or increasing dividend over time. The investment team focuses on revenues, earnings, and free cash flow as indicators of growing income streams.

The Fund lagged its benchmark, the NASDAQ US Dividend Achievers Select Index, for the quarter and for the year. Underperformance for the quarter was primarily due to stock selection in Health Care, Industrial, and Consumer Staples sectors. For the year, stock selection in Consumer Discretionary and Industrials, along with an overweight to Health Care served as drags on returns.

Vanguard Institutional Index Plus

The domestic equity portfolio tracked the return of the S&P 500 Index for the quarter and the year.

Vanguard Mid-Cap Index

The mid-cap equity portfolio tracked the benchmark, CRSP US Mid Cap Index, for the quarter and the year.

T. Rowe Price

The small cap equity portfolio underperformed the Russell 2000 for the quarter and for the year. Stock selection in the Information Technology and Materials sectors detracted from performance for both the quarter and the year. Stock selection in the Energy sector also detracted from performance during the quarter. For the year, holdings in the Financial sector lagged.

The succession plan for retired Portfolio Manager, Greg McCrickard, went into effect on October 1, 2016, as Frank Alonso assumed portfolio manager responsibilities of the fund. Staff has met with Frank Alonso and has no concerns with this transition.

International Equities

Fidelity Diversified International

The international equity portfolio underperformed the MSCI EAFE Free for the quarter and for the year. Underperformance for the quarter was due to stock selection in the Energy and Consumer Staples sectors.

In November, participants were transferred from a retail mutual fund vehicle to a lower fee, commingled investment trust vehicle. This was an in-kind transfer with no changes to the investment process, portfolio management team, or portfolio construction.

Vanguard Total International Stock Index

The portfolio underperformed its benchmark, the FTSE Global All Cap ex US Index, for the quarter and for the year. In the short term, the international portfolio will have higher tracking error as a result of fair value pricing, which tends to smooth out over time.

Fixed Income

Dodge & Cox Income Fund

The fixed income portfolio outperformed the benchmark, the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate, for the quarter and for the year. The quarterly performance benefited from the portfolio's shorter relative duration in addition to the overweight to corporate bonds and underweight to U.S. Treasuries. Credit security selection was positive, for the quarter and the year, as several commodity related holdings performed well. For the year, the fund's overweight to the Industrial sub-sector and an underweight to U.S. Treasury securities benefited returns.

Vanguard Total Bond Market Index

The fixed income portfolio underperformed the benchmark, the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate, for the quarter and tracked the benchmark for the year.

Balanced and Conservative Options

Vanguard Balanced

The portfolio underperformed the benchmark for the quarter and tracked the return for the year. The benchmark is a combined return of 60% CRSP US total Market and 40% Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate.

Galliard Capital Management

The stable value portfolio outperformed its benchmark, the 3 Year Constant Maturity Treasury plus 0.45%, for the quarter and the year. Allocations to Corporates, ABS, CMBS, and other U.S. Government securities benefited returns. Additionally, an allocation to U.S. TIPS aided performance as inflationary pressures continued to increase. An overweight to the spread sectors, specifically, CMBS, ABS, and other U.S. Government securities, was the largest contributor to the one year outperformance.

This page intentionally left blank.



STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

Domestic Equity Manager Performance Summaries

Fourth Quarter 2016

MINNESOTA STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

Domestic Equity

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Domestic Equity Performance Summary _____ | A-5 |
| Active Manager Performance Summary _____ (quarter, 1, 3, 5-year periods) | A-6 |
| Semi-Passive and Passive Manager Performance Summary _____ (quarter, 1, 3, 5-year periods) | A-8 |
| Domestic Equity Market Values _____ | A-9 |
| Active Manager Performance Summary _____ (by calendar year) | A-10 |
| Semi-Passive and Passive Manager Performance Summary _____ (by calendar year) | A-11 |

**COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
ACTIVE DOMESTIC EQUITY MANAGERS**

Periods Ended December 31, 2016

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Russell 1000 Growth Aggregate | -2.7 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 13.7 |
| <i>Russell 1000 Growth Index</i> | <i>1.0</i> | <i>7.1</i> | <i>8.6</i> | <i>14.5</i> |
| Russell 1000 Value Aggregate | 8.8 | 15.3 | 8.1 | 15.0 |
| <i>Russell 1000 Value Index</i> | <i>6.7</i> | <i>17.3</i> | <i>8.6</i> | <i>14.8</i> |
| Russell 2000 Growth Aggregate | 3.7 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 11.0 |
| <i>Russell 2000 Growth Index</i> | <i>3.6</i> | <i>11.3</i> | <i>5.1</i> | <i>13.7</i> |
| Russell 2000 Value Aggregate | 14.1 | 26.5 | 8.4 | 16.5 |
| <i>Russell 2000 Value Index</i> | <i>14.1</i> | <i>31.7</i> | <i>8.3</i> | <i>15.1</i> |
| Active Manager Aggregate | 5.4 | 10.9 | 6.5 | 14.3 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>6.2</i> | <i>15.7</i> | <i>8.5</i> | <i>14.9</i> |
| Semi-Passive Aggregate | 3.9 | 11.1 | 8.4 | 14.9 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>3.8</i> | <i>12.1</i> | <i>8.6</i> | <i>14.7</i> |
| Passive Manager (BlackRock) | 4.2 | 12.7 | 8.5 | 14.7 |
| <i>Russell 3000 Index</i> | <i>4.2</i> | <i>12.7</i> | <i>8.4</i> | <i>14.7</i> |
| Total Aggregate | 4.3 | 11.5 | 7.9 | 14.6 |
| <i>Russell 3000 Index</i> | <i>4.2</i> | <i>12.7</i> | <i>8.4</i> | <i>14.7</i> |

Calendar Years

| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Russell 1000 Growth Aggregate | 1.0 | 4.6 | 9.6 | 42.0 | 15.5 |
| <i>Russell 1000 Growth Index</i> | <i>7.1</i> | <i>5.7</i> | <i>13.0</i> | <i>33.5</i> | <i>15.3</i> |
| Russell 1000 Value Aggregate | 15.3 | -3.2 | 13.1 | 36.5 | 16.9 |
| <i>Russell 1000 Value Index</i> | <i>17.3</i> | <i>-3.8</i> | <i>13.5</i> | <i>32.5</i> | <i>17.5</i> |
| Russell 2000 Growth Aggregate | 4.7 | 1.0 | -3.4 | 47.2 | 12.0 |
| <i>Russell 2000 Growth Index</i> | <i>11.3</i> | <i>-1.4</i> | <i>5.6</i> | <i>43.3</i> | <i>14.6</i> |
| Russell 2000 Value Aggregate | 26.5 | -6.5 | 7.5 | 40.8 | 19.6 |
| <i>Russell 2000 Value Index</i> | <i>31.7</i> | <i>-7.5</i> | <i>4.2</i> | <i>34.5</i> | <i>18.1</i> |
| Active Manager Aggregate | 10.9 | -0.4 | 9.3 | 40.2 | 15.4 |
| <i>Active Manager Benchmark</i> | <i>15.7</i> | <i>-0.6</i> | <i>11.0</i> | <i>34.7</i> | <i>16.3</i> |
| Semi-Passive Aggregate | 11.1 | 0.5 | 14.2 | 33.2 | 17.7 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>12.1</i> | <i>0.9</i> | <i>13.2</i> | <i>33.1</i> | <i>16.4</i> |
| Passive Manager (BlackRock) | 12.7 | 0.5 | 12.6 | 33.5 | 16.4 |
| <i>Russell 3000 Index</i> | <i>12.7</i> | <i>0.5</i> | <i>12.6</i> | <i>33.6</i> | <i>16.4</i> |
| Total Aggregate | 11.5 | 0.3 | 12.3 | 35.1 | 16.6 |
| <i>Russell 3000 Index</i> | <i>12.7</i> | <i>0.5</i> | <i>12.6</i> | <i>33.6</i> | <i>16.4</i> |

**COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
ACTIVE DOMESTIC EQUITY MANAGERS**

Periods Ended December 2016

Performance versus Russell Style Benchmarks for All Periods

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Since Inception(1) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Russell 1000 Growth Index | 1.0 | 7.1 | 8.6 | 14.5 | |
| INTECH | -0.2 | 6.8 | 7.8 | 14.6 | 7.3 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>1.0</i> | <i>7.1</i> | <i>8.6</i> | <i>14.5</i> | <i>8.1</i> |
| Jacobs Levy | 2.2 | 11.2 | 9.4 | 15.2 | 7.4 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>1.0</i> | <i>7.1</i> | <i>8.6</i> | <i>14.5</i> | <i>8.1</i> |
| Sands Capital | -7.3 | -6.9 | 1.5 | 13.1 | 8.5 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>1.0</i> | <i>7.1</i> | <i>8.6</i> | <i>14.5</i> | <i>8.1</i> |
| Winslow-Large Cap | -3.5 | -1.9 | 5.1 | 12.7 | 8.4 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>1.0</i> | <i>7.1</i> | <i>8.6</i> | <i>14.5</i> | <i>8.1</i> |
| Zevenbergen Capital | -2.9 | -2.8 | 2.3 | 13.1 | 9.5 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>1.0</i> | <i>7.1</i> | <i>8.6</i> | <i>14.5</i> | <i>8.8</i> |
| Aggregate | -2.7 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 13.7 | |
| | | | | | |
| Russell 1000 Value Index | 6.7 | 17.3 | 8.6 | 14.8 | |
| Barrow, Hanley | 5.5 | 12.8 | 7.7 | 14.3 | 7.8 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>6.7</i> | <i>17.3</i> | <i>8.6</i> | <i>14.8</i> | <i>7.7</i> |
| Earnest Partners | 8.8 | 16.2 | 8.8 | 13.5 | 6.5 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>6.7</i> | <i>17.3</i> | <i>8.6</i> | <i>14.8</i> | <i>7.1</i> |
| LSV Asset Mgmt. | 10.3 | 17.0 | 9.2 | 17.3 | 9.0 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>6.7</i> | <i>17.3</i> | <i>8.6</i> | <i>14.8</i> | <i>7.7</i> |
| Systematic Financial Mgmt. | 11.9 | 16.3 | 6.9 | 14.3 | 7.3 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>6.7</i> | <i>17.3</i> | <i>8.6</i> | <i>14.8</i> | <i>7.7</i> |
| Aggregate | 8.8 | 15.3 | 8.1 | 15.0 | |

(1) Since inception by the SBI. Inception dates as follows:

4/1/94: Zevenbergen Capital

7/1/00: Earnest Partners

4/1/04: Barrow Hanley, LSV Asset Mgmt., Systematic Financial Mgmt.

1/1/05: INTECH, Jacobs Levy, Sands Capital, Winslow-Large Cap

Note: All aggregates include the performance of terminated managers

COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
ACTIVE DOMESTIC EQUITY MANAGERS

Periods Ended December 2016

Performance versus Russell Style Benchmarks for All Periods

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Since Inception ⁽¹⁾ |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Small Cap | | | | | |
| Russell 2000 Growth Index | 3.6 | 11.3 | 5.1 | 13.7 | |
| McKinley Capital | 12.0 | 12.9 | 6.1 | 17.4 | 7.4 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>3.6</i> | <i>11.3</i> | <i>5.1</i> | <i>13.7</i> | <i>8.4</i> |
| Next Century Growth | -4.7 | -3.7 | -4.0 | 6.3 | 2.1 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>3.6</i> | <i>11.3</i> | <i>5.1</i> | <i>13.7</i> | <i>4.5</i> |
| Aggregate | 3.7 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 11.0 | |
| | | | | | |
| Russell 2000 Value Index | 14.1 | 31.7 | 8.3 | 15.1 | |
| Goldman Sachs | 11.1 | 24.6 | 8.2 | 15.6 | 10.0 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>14.1</i> | <i>31.7</i> | <i>8.3</i> | <i>15.1</i> | <i>8.5</i> |
| Hotchkis & Wiley | 17.6 | 19.9 | 7.4 | 17.6 | 9.0 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>14.1</i> | <i>31.7</i> | <i>8.3</i> | <i>15.1</i> | <i>8.5</i> |
| Martingale Asset Mgmt. | 15.0 | 34.3 | 10.9 | 18.6 | 8.7 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>14.1</i> | <i>31.7</i> | <i>8.3</i> | <i>15.1</i> | <i>8.5</i> |
| Peregrine Capital | 13.4 | 27.8 | 7.5 | 15.2 | 10.8 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | <i>14.1</i> | <i>31.7</i> | <i>8.3</i> | <i>15.1</i> | <i>10.2</i> |
| Aggregate | 14.1 | 26.5 | 8.4 | 16.5 | |
| Active Mgr. Aggregate (2) | 5.4 | 10.9 | 6.5 | 14.3 | |

(1) Since inception by the SBI. Inception dates as follows:

7/1/00: Next Century, Peregrine Capital

1/1/04: Goldman Sachs, Hotchkis & Wiley, Martingale Asset Mgmt., McKinley Capital

(2) The Active Manager Aggregate Benchmark is the aggregate of the weighted average of the active manager benchmarks and is not the Russell 3000.

Note: All aggregates include the performance of terminated managers

**COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
DOMESTIC EQUITY MANAGERS
Periods Ended December 2016
Versus Manager Benchmarks**

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Since Inception(1) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Semi-Passive Managers (2) | | | | | |
| BlackRock Institutional | 4.3 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 15.5 | 9.5 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 3.8 | 12.1 | 8.6 | 14.7 | 9.1 |
| INTECH | 2.7 | 8.7 | 6.9 | 13.6 | 11.9 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 3.8 | 12.1 | 8.6 | 14.7 | 12.5 |
| JP Morgan | 4.4 | 12.3 | 9.2 | 15.4 | 9.4 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 3.8 | 12.1 | 8.6 | 14.7 | 9.1 |
| Mellon Capital | 3.7 | 10.2 | 8.4 | 14.7 | 8.7 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 3.8 | 12.1 | 8.6 | 14.7 | 9.1 |
| Semi-Passive Aggregate (R1000) | 3.9 | 11.1 | 8.4 | 14.9 | |
| Passive Manager (R3000) | | | | | |
| BlackRock Institutional | 4.2 | 12.7 | 8.5 | 14.7 | 8.9 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 4.2 | 12.7 | 8.4 | 14.7 | 8.8 |
| Total Aggregate (3) | 4.3 | 11.5 | 7.9 | 14.6 | 10.2 |
| <i>Benchmark (3,4)</i> | 4.2 | 12.7 | 8.4 | 14.7 | 10.4 |
| <i>Russell 3000 Index (3)</i> | 4.2 | 12.7 | 8.4 | 14.7 | 10.7 |
| <i>Russell 1000 Index (3)</i> | 3.8 | 12.1 | 8.6 | 14.7 | 10.9 |
| <i>Russell 2000 Index (3)</i> | 8.8 | 21.3 | 6.7 | 14.5 | 9.5 |

(1) Since retention by the SBI. Inception dates as follows:

1/1/95: BlackRock Institutional (semi-passive), J.P. Morgan, Mellon Capital

7/1/95: BlackRock Institutional (passive)

4/1/10: INTECH

(2) Semi-Passive managers' benchmark is the Russell 1000 index beginning 1/1/04 and was the Completeness Fund benchmark prior to 1/1/04.

(3) Total Aggregate and Benchmarks Inception as of 1/1/84

(4) The Total Aggregate benchmark is the Russell 3000 effective 10/1/03. From 7/1/99 to 9/30/03, it was the Wilshire 5000 Investable Index. From 11/1/93 to 6/30/99, the target was the Wilshire 5000 as reported with no adjustments. Prior to 11/1/93, the Wilshire 5000 was adjusted to reflect SBI mandated restrictions, which included liquor and tobacco, American Home Products and South Africa.

Note: All aggregates include the performance of terminated managers.

**COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
DOMESTIC EQUITY MANAGERS**

| | December 31, 2016 | | September 30, 2016 | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | Market Value | Percent | Market Value | Percent |
| Russell 1000 Growth Aggregate | \$1,794,412,724 | 6.1% | \$3,126,050,711 | 10.7% |
| INTECH | \$321,081,296 | 1.1% | \$653,575,148 | 2.2% |
| Jacobs Levy | \$347,765,521 | 1.2% | \$774,360,727 | 2.7% |
| Sands Capital | \$452,132,439 | 1.5% | \$718,656,064 | 2.5% |
| Winslow-Large Cap | \$247,530,880 | 0.8% | \$332,989,863 | 1.1% |
| Zevenbergen Capital | \$425,902,588 | 1.5% | \$646,468,908 | 2.2% |
| Russell 1000 Value Aggregate | \$1,984,233,866 | 6.8% | \$3,090,914,819 | 10.6% |
| Barrow Hanley | \$573,784,717 | 2.0% | \$875,130,045 | 3.0% |
| Earnest Partners | \$419,304,818 | 1.4% | \$587,891,921 | 2.0% |
| LSV Asset Mgmt. | \$599,298,104 | 2.0% | \$872,635,135 | 3.0% |
| Systematic Financial Mgmt. | \$391,846,227 | 1.3% | \$755,257,717 | 2.6% |
| Russell 2000 Growth Aggregate | \$1,116,398,549 | 3.8% | \$769,046,879 | 2.6% |
| Arrowpoint (1) | \$164,117,429 | 0.6% | -- | 0.0% |
| Hood River (1) | \$190,190,987 | 0.7% | -- | 0.0% |
| McKinley Capital | \$251,423,306 | 0.9% | \$404,327,371 | 1.4% |
| Next Century Growth | \$148,245,816 | 0.5% | \$364,719,507 | 1.3% |
| Rice Hall James (1) | \$168,734,889 | 0.6% | -- | 0.0% |
| Wellington (1) | \$193,686,123 | 0.7% | -- | 0.0% |
| Russell 2000 Value Aggregate | \$1,222,626,933 | 4.2% | \$1,148,462,244 | 3.9% |
| Goldman Sachs | \$323,748,012 | 1.1% | \$291,521,871 | 1.0% |
| Hotchkis & Wiley | \$286,331,662 | 1.0% | \$243,458,098 | 0.8% |
| Martingale Asset Mgmt. | \$277,996,920 | 1.0% | \$241,633,910 | 0.8% |
| Peregrine Capital | \$334,550,340 | 1.1% | \$371,848,365 | 1.3% |
| Semi-Passive Manager Aggregate | \$7,064,014,920 | 24.2% | \$10,868,220,873 | 37.4% |
| BlackRock Institutional | \$1,768,296,782 | 6.0% | \$2,712,223,976 | 9.3% |
| INTECH | \$1,444,552,593 | 4.9% | \$2,425,735,898 | 8.3% |
| JP Morgan | \$2,185,100,954 | 7.5% | \$3,107,621,962 | 10.7% |
| Mellon Capital | \$1,666,064,591 | 5.7% | \$2,622,639,037 | 9.0% |
| Passive Manager | \$16,060,355,148 | 54.9% | \$10,084,351,357 | 34.7% |
| BlackRock Russell 1000 (2) | \$5,551,321,150 | 19.0% | -- | 0.0% |
| BlackRock Russell 3000 | \$10,509,033,999 | 35.9% | \$10,084,351,357 | 34.7% |
| Total Portfolio | \$29,242,042,141 | 100.0% | \$29,087,046,883 | 100.0% |

(1) Arrowpoint, Hood River, Rice Hall James, and Wellington were funded on November 1, 2016.

(2) BlackRock Russell 1000 passive was funded in October, 2016.

COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
ACTIVE DOMESTIC EQUITY MANAGERS
Calendar Year Returns
Russell Style Manager Benchmarks

| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| LARGE CAP | | | | | |
| Russell 1000 Growth Index | 7.1 | 5.7 | 13.0 | 33.5 | 15.3 |
| INTECH | 6.8 | 4.0 | 12.8 | 34.1 | 17.8 |
| Jacobs Levy | 11.2 | 4.3 | 12.9 | 37.0 | 13.0 |
| Sands Capital | -6.9 | 2.9 | 9.1 | 42.4 | 24.2 |
| Winslow - Large Cap | -1.9 | 6.7 | 11.0 | 37.4 | 13.7 |
| Zevenbergen Capital | -2.8 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 60.6 | 8.0 |
| Aggregate | 1.0 | 4.6 | 9.6 | 42.0 | 15.5 |
| | | | | | |
| Russell 1000 Value Index | 17.3 | -3.8 | 13.5 | 32.5 | 17.5 |
| Barrow, Hanley | 12.8 | -2.1 | 13.0 | 35.5 | 15.5 |
| Earnest Partners | 16.2 | -2.7 | 14.0 | 32.0 | 10.7 |
| LSV Asset Mgmt. | 17.0 | -2.2 | 14.0 | 41.2 | 20.4 |
| Systematic Financial Mgmt. | 16.3 | -5.7 | 11.6 | 35.0 | 18.2 |
| Aggregate | 15.3 | -3.2 | 13.1 | 36.5 | 16.9 |
| | | | | | |
| SMALL CAP | | | | | |
| Russell 2000 Growth Index | 11.3 | -1.4 | 5.6 | 43.3 | 14.6 |
| McKinley Capital | 12.9 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 51.6 | 23.2 |
| Next Century Growth | -3.7 | -1.7 | -6.5 | 50.3 | 1.9 |
| Aggregate | 4.7 | 1.0 | -3.4 | 47.2 | 12.0 |
| | | | | | |
| Russell 2000 Value Index | 31.7 | -7.5 | 4.2 | 34.5 | 18.1 |
| Goldman Sachs | 24.6 | -5.2 | 7.4 | 39.3 | 16.6 |
| Hotchkis & Wiley | 19.9 | -8.5 | 13.0 | 46.0 | 24.1 |
| Martingale Asset Mgmt. | 34.3 | -5.2 | 7.3 | 43.1 | 20.1 |
| Peregrine Capital | 27.8 | -6.7 | 4.1 | 37.3 | 18.8 |
| Aggregate | 26.5 | -6.5 | 7.5 | 40.8 | 19.6 |
| | | | | | |
| Active Mgr. Aggregate | 10.9 | -0.4 | 9.3 | 40.2 | 15.4 |
| <i>Active Mgr. Aggregate Benchmark (1)</i> | <i>15.7</i> | <i>-0.6</i> | <i>11.0</i> | <i>34.7</i> | <i>16.3</i> |

(1) The Active Manager Aggregate Benchmark is the aggregate of the weighted average of the active manager benchmarks and is not the Russell 3000.

Note: All aggregates include the performance of terminated managers. Returns shown are full-year returns only. Performance of managers hired during a calendar year are reported beginning with the following calendar year.

COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
DOMESTIC EQUITY MANAGERS
Calendar Year Returns
Versus Manager Benchmarks

| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Semi-Passive Managers | | | | | |
| Russell 1000 Index | 12.1 | 0.9 | 13.2 | 33.1 | 16.4 |
| BlackRock Institutional | 12.5 | 0.8 | 14.2 | 33.3 | 19.0 |
| INTECH | 8.7 | 0.7 | 11.6 | 33.0 | 16.6 |
| JP Morgan | 12.3 | 0.8 | 15.0 | 33.7 | 17.6 |
| Mellon Capital | 10.2 | -0.2 | 15.9 | 32.6 | 17.3 |
| Semi-Passive Aggregate (R1000) | 11.1 | 0.5 | 14.2 | 33.2 | 17.7 |
| Passive Managers | | | | | |
| Russell 3000 Index | 12.7 | 0.5 | 12.6 | 33.6 | 16.4 |
| BlackRock Institutional | 12.7 | 0.5 | 12.6 | 33.5 | 16.4 |
| Total Aggregate | 11.5 | 0.3 | 12.3 | 35.1 | 16.6 |
| <i>Russell 3000 Index</i> | <i>12.7</i> | <i>0.5</i> | <i>12.6</i> | <i>33.6</i> | <i>16.4</i> |
| <i>Russell 1000 Index</i> | <i>12.1</i> | <i>0.9</i> | <i>13.2</i> | <i>33.1</i> | <i>16.4</i> |
| <i>Russell 2000 Index</i> | <i>21.3</i> | <i>-4.4</i> | <i>4.9</i> | <i>38.8</i> | <i>16.3</i> |

Note: All aggregates include the performance of terminated managers. Returns shown are full year returns only. Performance of managers hired during a calendar year are reported beginning with the following calendar year.



STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

International Manager Performance Summaries

Fourth Quarter 2016

MINNESOTA STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

International Managers

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| International Manager Performance Summary _____ (quarter, 1, 3, 5 year periods) | A-16 |
| International Manager Market Values _____ | A-18 |
| International Manager Performance Summary _____ (by calendar year) | A-19 |

**COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
INTERNATIONAL MANAGERS
Periods Ended December 2016**

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Since Inception (1) |
|---|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Active Developed Markets (2) | | | | | |
| Acadian | 0.7 | 8.1 | 2.8 | 10.0 | 5.2 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | 4.1 |
| Columbia | -3.5 | -5.6 | -1.7 | 7.0 | 1.7 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | 2.6 |
| Fidelity | -0.7 | 1.2 | -1.5 | 7.8 | 5.6 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | 4.1 |
| J.P. Morgan | 0.5 | 4.0 | -2.0 | 5.9 | 4.0 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | 4.1 |
| Marathon Asset Management | -1.4 | -1.1 | 0.4 | 8.9 | 7.9 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | 4.6 |
| McKinley | -3.3 | -7.5 | -2.4 | 6.8 | 3.5 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | 4.1 |
| Aggregate | -1.3 | -0.2 | -0.4 | 7.9 | |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | |
| Active Emerging Markets (3) | | | | | |
| Capital International (5) | -5.3 | 7.6 | -5.4 | -0.6 | 7.7 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -4.2 | 11.2 | -2.6 | 1.3 | 8.7 |
| Morgan Stanley | -8.0 | 6.1 | -2.1 | 2.7 | 9.4 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -4.2 | 11.2 | -2.6 | 1.3 | 8.7 |
| Aggregate | -8.1 | 5.3 | -4.1 | 0.8 | |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -4.2 | 11.2 | -2.6 | 1.3 | |
| Semi-Passive Developed Markets (2) | | | | | |
| AQR | -0.5 | 0.8 | -0.9 | 7.8 | 4.7 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | 4.1 |
| Fidelity | -1.3 | -1.7 | -2.1 | 6.6 | 4.7 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | 4.1 |
| SSgA | 1.6 | 0.6 | -1.9 | 6.8 | 3.7 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | 4.1 |
| Aggregate | -0.3 | -0.4 | -1.7 | 7.0 | |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | |

(1) Since inception by the SBI. Inception dates as follows:

10/1/92: State Street (semi-passive developed markets)

10/1/93: Marathon Asset Management (active developed markets)

1/1/00: Columbia (active developed markets)

1/1/01: Capital International, Morgan Stanley (active emerging markets)

7/1/05: Acadian, J.P. Morgan, McKinley (active developed markets) AQR, Fidelity (semi-passive developed markets)

(2) Pyramis changed its name to Fidelity in October 2015.

(3) Since 6/1/08 the developed markets manager's benchmark is the Standard (large + mid) MSCI World ex USA (net). From 10/1/07 through 5/31/08 the benchmark was the Provisional Standard MSCI World ex USA (net). From 10/1/03 to 9/30/07 the benchmark was MSCI World ex USA (net). Prior to that date, it was MSCI EAFE Free (net). From 10/1/01 to 5/31/02 the benchmark was the Provisional MSCI EAFE Free (net).

(4) Since 6/1/08 the emerging markets manager's benchmark is the Standard (large + mid) MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net). From 10/1/07 through 5/31/08 the benchmark was the Provisional Standard MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net). From 1/1/01 to 9/30/07 the benchmark was MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net). Prior to that date, it was MSCI Emerging Markets Free (gross). From 10/1/01 to 5/31/02 the benchmark was the Provisional MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net).

(5) Capital International's portfolio was transitioned to a passive emerging markets portfolio in October 2016.

**COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
INTERNATIONAL MANAGERS
Periods Ended December 2016**

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Since Inception (1) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Passive Developed Markets (2) | | | | | |
| SSgA | -0.3 | 3.2 | -1.2 | 6.5 | 5.9 |
| Benchmark | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | 5.6 |
| Passive Emerging Markets (3) | | | | | |
| SSgA | -4.5 | 11.1 | -2.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Benchmark | -4.2 | 11.2 | -2.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Equity Only (4) | -1.7 | 2.6 | -1.5 | 5.8 | 6.2 |
| Benchmark (5) | -1.3 | 4.5 | -1.8 | 5.0 | 5.7 |
| Total Program (5,9) | -1.7 | 2.6 | -1.5 | 5.8 | 6.3 |
| Benchmark (6,9) | -1.3 | 4.5 | -1.8 | 5.0 | 5.7 |
| SBI Int'l Equity Target (6,9) | -1.3 | 4.5 | -1.8 | 5.0 | 5.7 |
| MSCI ACWI Free ex USA (7,9) | -1.3 | 4.5 | -1.8 | 5.0 | 5.9 |
| MSCI World ex USA (net) (9) | -0.4 | 2.7 | -1.6 | 6.1 | 5.7 |
| MSCI EAFE Free (net) (9) | -0.7 | 1.0 | -1.6 | 6.5 | 5.5 |
| MSCI Emerging Markets Free (8,9) | -4.2 | 11.2 | -2.6 | 1.3 | 6.9 |

(1) Since retention by the SBI. Inception dates as follows:

10/1/92: State Street (passive developed markets)

1/1/12: State Street (passive emerging markets)

- (2) Since 6/1/08 the developed markets managers' benchmark is the Standard (large + mid) MSCI World ex U.S. (net). From 10/1/07 through 5/31/08 the benchmark was the Provisional Standard MSCI World ex U.S. (net). From 10/1/03 to 9/30/07 the benchmark was MSCI World ex U.S. (net). Prior to that date, it was MSCI EAFE Free (net). From 10/1/01 to 5/31/02 the benchmark was the Provisional MSCI EAFE Free (net).
- (3) Since 6/1/08 the emerging markets manager's benchmark is the Standard (large + mid) MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net). From 10/1/07 through 5/31/08 the benchmark was the Provisional Standard MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net). From 1/1/01 to 9/30/07 the benchmark was MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net). Prior to that date, it was MSCI Emerging Markets Free (gross). From 10/1/01 to 5/31/02 the benchmark was the Provisional MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net).
- (4) Equity managers only. Includes impact of terminated managers.
- (5) Includes impact of currency overlay on the passive EAFE portfolio from 12/1/95-10/31/00.
- (6) Since 6/1/08 the International Equity asset class target is the Standard (large + mid) MSCI ACWI ex U.S. (net). From 10/1/07 through 5/31/08 the benchmark was the Provisional Standard MSCI ACWI ex U.S. (net). From 10/1/03 to 9/30/07 the target was MSCI ACWI ex U.S. (net). From 1/1/01 to 9/30/03, the target was MSCI EAFE Free (net) plus Emerging Markets Free (net), and from 7/1/99 to 12/31/00 the target was MSCI EAFE Free (net) plus Emerging Markets Free (gross). From 7/1/99 to 9/30/03, the weighting of each index fluctuated with market capitalization. From 10/1/01 to 5/31/02 all international benchmarks being reported were the MSCI Provisional indices. From 12/31/96 to 6/30/99 the benchmark was fixed at 87% EAFE Free (net)/13% Emerging Markets Free (gross). On 5/1/96, the portfolio began transitioning from 100% EAFE Free (net) to the 12/31/96 fixed weights. 100% EAFE Free (net) prior to 5/1/96.
- (7) MSCI ACWI Free ex U.S. (gross) through 12/31/00. MSCI ACWI Free ex U.S. (net) thereafter.
- (8) MSCI Emerging Markets Free (gross) through 12/31/00. MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net) thereafter.
- (9) Total Program and Benchmarks Inception as of 10/1/92

**COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
INTERNATIONAL STOCK MANAGERS
Periods Ended December 2016**

| | December 31, 2016 | | September 30, 2016 | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|
| | Market Value | Percent | Market Value | Percent |
| Active Developed Markets | \$2,422,276,505 | 23.9% | \$2,453,024,676 | 27.8% |
| Acadian | \$400,687,288 | 3.9% | \$397,971,375 | 4.5% |
| Columbia | \$339,402,902 | 3.3% | \$351,878,958 | 4.0% |
| Fidelity | \$347,549,790 | 3.4% | \$349,827,648 | 4.0% |
| J.P. Morgan | \$288,115,277 | 2.8% | \$286,731,510 | 3.2% |
| Marathon | \$762,727,117 | 7.5% | \$773,260,668 | 8.8% |
| McKinley | \$283,794,130 | 2.8% | \$293,354,517 | 3.3% |
| Active Emerging Markets | \$1,382,149,330 | 13.6% | \$1,482,737,481 | 16.8% |
| Capital International (1) | \$632,712,957 | 6.2% | \$667,957,627 | 7.6% |
| Morgan Stanley | \$749,436,373 | 7.4% | \$814,779,854 | 9.2% |
| Semi-Passive Developed Markets | \$1,129,727,026 | 11.1% | \$1,133,061,024 | 12.8% |
| AQR | \$339,888,645 | 3.4% | \$341,574,462 | 3.9% |
| Fidelity | \$488,966,331 | 4.8% | \$495,324,747 | 5.6% |
| State Street | \$300,872,050 | 3.0% | \$296,161,815 | 3.4% |
| Passive Developed Markets | \$4,933,081,598 | 48.6% | \$3,466,289,968 | 39.3% |
| State Street | \$4,928,130,492 | 48.6% | \$3,466,289,968 | 39.3% |
| Intl Transition Account | \$4,951,105 | 0.0% | \$0 | 0.0% |
| Passive Emerging Markets | \$278,408,882 | 2.7% | \$291,403,298 | 3.3% |
| State Street | \$278,408,882 | 2.7% | \$291,403,298 | 3.3% |
| Total Portfolio | \$10,145,643,340 | 100.0% | \$8,826,516,447 | 100.0% |

(1) Capital International's portfolio was transitioned to a passive emerging markets portfolio in October 2016.

**COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
INTERNATIONAL STOCK MANAGERS
Calendar Year Returns**

| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Active Developed Markets (1) | | | | | |
| Acadian | 8.1 | 2.4 | -1.7 | 26.1 | 17.6 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.7 | -3.0 | -4.3 | 21.0 | 16.4 |
| Columbia | -5.6 | 6.4 | -5.6 | 23.9 | 19.0 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.7 | -3.0 | -4.3 | 21.0 | 16.4 |
| Fidelity | 1.2 | 0.1 | -5.6 | 26.7 | 20.3 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.7 | -3.0 | -4.3 | 21.0 | 16.4 |
| J.P. Morgan | 4.0 | -4.7 | -5.1 | 19.5 | 18.4 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.7 | -3.0 | -4.3 | 21.0 | 16.4 |
| Marathon | -1.1 | 6.7 | -4.0 | 28.5 | 17.9 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.7 | -3.0 | -4.3 | 21.0 | 16.4 |
| McKinley | -7.5 | 3.1 | -2.7 | 28.0 | 16.9 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.7 | -3.0 | -4.3 | 21.0 | 16.4 |
| Aggregate | -0.2 | 3.2 | -4.1 | 25.4 | 17.9 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.7 | -3.0 | -4.3 | 21.0 | 16.4 |
| Active Emerging Markets (2) | | | | | |
| Capital International (5) | 7.6 | -16.4 | -5.9 | 0.7 | 13.8 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 11.2 | -14.9 | -2.2 | -2.6 | 18.2 |
| Morgan Stanley | 6.1 | -9.4 | -2.4 | 0.5 | 21.1 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 11.2 | -14.9 | -2.2 | -2.6 | 18.2 |
| Aggregate | 5.3 | -12.7 | -4.1 | 0.6 | 17.5 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 11.2 | -14.9 | -2.2 | -2.6 | 18.2 |
| Semi-Passive Developed Markets (1) | | | | | |
| AQR | 0.8 | 0.9 | -4.4 | 24.1 | 20.8 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.7 | -3.0 | -4.3 | 21.0 | 16.4 |
| Fidelity | -1.7 | -0.7 | -4.0 | 23.2 | 19.4 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.7 | -3.0 | -4.3 | 21.0 | 16.4 |
| SSgA | 0.6 | -0.9 | -5.1 | 25.3 | 17.3 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.7 | -3.0 | -4.3 | 21.0 | 16.4 |
| Aggregate | -0.4 | -0.3 | -4.4 | 24.0 | 19.2 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.7 | -3.0 | -4.3 | 21.0 | 16.4 |

- (1) Since 6/1/08 the developed markets manager's benchmark is the Standard (large + mid) MSCI World ex USA (net). From 10/1/07 through 5/31/08 the benchmark was the Provisional Standard MSCI World ex USA (net). From 10/1/03 to 9/30/07 the benchmark was the MSCI World ex USA (net).
- (2) Since 6/1/08 the emerging markets manager's benchmark is the Standard (large + mid) MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net). From 10/1/07 through 5/31/08 the benchmark was the Provisional Standard MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net). From 1/1/01 to 9/30/07 the benchmark was MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net).
- (3) Equity managers only. Includes impact of terminated managers.
- (4) Since 6/1/08 the International Equity asset class target is the Standard (large + mid) MSCI ACWI ex USA (net). From 10/1/07 through 5/31/08 the benchmark was the Provisional Standard MSCI ACWI ex USA (net). From 10/1/03 to 9/30/07 the target was MSCI ACWI ex USA (net).
- (5) Capital International's portfolio was transitioned to a passive emerging markets portfolio in October 2016.

COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
INTERNATIONAL STOCK MANAGERS
Calendar Year Returns

| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Passive Developed Markets (1) | | | | | |
| SSgA | 3.2 | -2.6 | -3.9 | 21.4 | 16.7 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.7 | -3.0 | -4.3 | 21.0 | 16.4 |
| Passive Emerging Markets (2) | | | | | |
| SSgA | 11.1 | -14.6 | -2.1 | -2.5 | 18.5 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 11.2 | -14.9 | -2.2 | -2.6 | 18.2 |
| Equity Only (3) (4) | 2.6 | -2.9 | -4.0 | 17.8 | 17.6 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 4.5 | -5.7 | -3.9 | 15.3 | 16.8 |
| Total Program (4) | 2.6 | -2.9 | -4.0 | 17.8 | 17.6 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 4.5 | -5.7 | -3.9 | 15.3 | 16.8 |
| <i>SBI Int'l Equity Target (5)</i> | 4.5 | -5.7 | -3.9 | 15.3 | 16.8 |
| <i>MSCI ACWI Free ex USA (net)</i> | 4.5 | -5.7 | -3.9 | 15.3 | 16.8 |
| MSCI World ex USA (net) | 2.7 | -3.0 | -4.3 | 21.0 | 16.4 |
| MSCI EAFE Free (net) | 1.0 | -0.8 | -4.9 | 22.8 | 17.3 |
| <i>MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net)</i> | 11.2 | -14.9 | -2.2 | -2.6 | 18.2 |

- (1) Since 6/1/08 the developed markets manager's benchmark is the Standard (large + mid) MSCI World ex USA (net). From 10/1/07 through 5/31/08 the benchmark was the Provisional Standard MSCI World ex USA (net). From 10/1/03 to 9/30/07 the benchmark was the MSCI World ex USA (net).
- (2) Since 6/1/08 the emerging markets manager's benchmark is the Standard (large + mid) MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net). From 10/1/07 through 5/31/08 the benchmark was the Provisional Standard MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net). From 1/1/01 to 9/30/07 the benchmark was MSCI Emerging Markets Free (net).
- (3) Equity managers only. Includes impact of terminated managers.
- (4) Since 6/1/08 the International Equity asset class target is the Standard (large + mid) MSCI ACWI ex USA (net). From 10/1/07 through 5/31/08 the benchmark was the Provisional Standard MSCI ACWI ex USA (net). From 10/1/03 to 9/30/07 the target was MSCI ACWI ex USA (net).



STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

Bond Manager Performance Summaries

Fourth Quarter 2016

MINNESOTA STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

Bond Managers

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Bond Manager Performance Summary _____ (quarter, 1, 3, 5-year periods) | A-24 |
| Bond Manager Market Values _____ | A-25 |
| Bond Manager Performance Summary _____ (by calendar year) | A-26 |

COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
BOND MANAGERS
Periods Ended December 2016

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Since Inception(1) |
|--|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Active Managers | | | | | |
| Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | |
| Columbia | -2.1 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 5.5 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 5.4 |
| Dodge & Cox | -1.3 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 6.3 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 5.3 |
| PIMCO | -2.9 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 5.9 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 4.4 |
| Western | -2.6 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 8.6 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 7.5 |
| Active Mgr. Aggregate | -2.2 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.6 | |
| Semi-Passive Managers | | | | | |
| BlackRock | -3.0 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 5.4 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 5.4 |
| Goldman | -2.9 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 5.7 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 5.4 |
| Neuberger | -3.0 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 6.6 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 6.4 |
| Semi-Passive Mgr. Aggregate | -3.0 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.6 | |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | |
| Historical Aggregate (2,3) | -2.6 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 7.7 |
| <i>Benchmark (3,4)</i> | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 7.5 |
| BB Barclays U.S. Agg. (3,4) | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 7.5 |

(1) Since inception by the SBI. Inception dates as follows:

7/1/84: Western
7/1/88: Neuberger
7/1/93: Columbia, Goldman
4/1/96: BlackRock
1/1/00: Dodge & Cox
10/1/08: PIMCO

(2) Includes performance of terminated managers.

(3) Historical Aggregate and benchmarks inception date: 7/1/84

(4) Prior to July 1994, this index reflects the Solomon BIG

COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
BOND MANAGERS
Periods Ending December 2016

| | December 31, 2016 | | September 30, 2016 | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| | Market Value | Percent | Market Value | Percent |
| Semi-Passive | \$6,624,979,509 | 53.3% | \$7,328,967,424 | 49.8% |
| BlackRock | \$2,018,527,751 | 16.2% | \$2,080,716,979 | 14.1% |
| Goldman | \$2,425,487,936 | 19.5% | \$2,497,601,539 | 17.0% |
| Neuberger | \$2,180,963,822 | 17.6% | \$2,750,648,907 | 18.7% |
| Active Managers | \$5,799,255,659 | 46.7% | \$7,378,338,595 | 50.2% |
| Aberdeen* | \$1,922 | 0.0% | \$1,197,469,961 | 8.1% |
| Columbia | \$1,128,275,981 | 9.1% | \$1,152,234,999 | 7.8% |
| Dodge & Cox | \$1,606,145,483 | 12.9% | \$1,626,487,686 | 11.1% |
| PIMCO | \$1,532,034,703 | 12.3% | \$1,577,238,913 | 10.7% |
| Western | \$1,532,797,570 | 12.3% | \$1,824,907,035 | 12.4% |
| Total Portfolio | \$12,424,235,168 | 100.0% | \$14,707,306,019 | 100.0% |

* In November 2016, SBI terminated its relationship with Aberdeen fixed income and transitioned assets to a combination of cash for benefit payments and additional passive developed markets exposure, per SBI's asset allocation policy.

COMBINED RETIREMENT FUNDS
BOND MANAGERS
Calendar Year Returns

| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Active Managers | | | | | |
| Columbia (RiverSource) | 5.2 | 0.2 | 5.8 | -1.0 | 6.9 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.6 | 0.5 | 6.0 | -2.0 | 4.2 |
| Dodge & Cox | 4.8 | 0.3 | 6.0 | 0.9 | 8.5 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.6 | 0.5 | 6.0 | -2.0 | 4.2 |
| PIMCO | 2.8 | 1.0 | 5.5 | -1.3 | 9.3 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.6 | 0.5 | 6.0 | -2.0 | 4.2 |
| Western | 4.9 | 0.7 | 7.0 | -1.4 | 7.8 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.6 | 0.5 | 6.0 | -2.0 | 4.2 |
| Active Mgr. Aggregate | 4.4 | 0.6 | 6.2 | -0.8 | 8.1 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.6 | 0.5 | 6.0 | (2.0) | 4.2 |
| Semi-Passive Managers | | | | | |
| BlackRock | 2.8 | 0.9 | 6.0 | -1.8 | 5.0 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.6 | 0.5 | 6.0 | -2.0 | 4.2 |
| Goldman | 3.0 | 0.8 | 6.1 | -1.7 | 5.4 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.6 | 0.5 | 6.0 | -2.0 | 4.2 |
| Neuberger | 2.7 | 0.7 | 6.1 | -2.0 | 5.4 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.6 | 0.5 | 6.0 | -2.0 | 4.2 |
| Semi-Passive Mgr. Aggregate | 2.8 | 0.8 | 6.1 | -1.8 | 5.3 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.6 | 0.5 | 6.0 | (2.0) | 4.2 |
| Historical Aggregate | 3.6 | 0.7 | 6.1 | -1.3 | 6.7 |
| <i>Benchmark</i> | 2.6 | 0.5 | 6.0 | -2.0 | 4.2 |
| BB Barclays U.S. Agg. | 2.6 | 0.5 | 6.0 | -2.0 | 4.2 |

The benchmark for the Fixed Income Asset Class is the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate.



STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

Non-Retirement Manager Performance Summaries

Fourth Quarter 2016

MINNESOTA STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

Non-Retirement Managers

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Non-Retirement Manager Performance Summary _____ (quarter, 1, 3, 5-year periods) | A-30 |
| Non-Retirement Manager Market Values _____ | A-31 |
| Non-Retirement Manager Performance Summary _____ (by calendar year) | A-32 |

NON-RETIREMENT MANAGERS
Periods Ended December 2016

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Since Inception (1) |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|
| GE Asset Management | 2.8 | 10.2 | 7.2 | 14.1 | 9.7 |
| <i>S&P 500 Index</i> | 3.8 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 14.7 | 9.5 |
| RBC Global Asset Management (2) | -2.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 5.1 |
| <i>BB Barclays Int Gov</i> | -2.2 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 5.2 |
| Internal Stock Pool | 3.7 | 11.8 | 8.9 | 14.6 | 9.3 |
| <i>S&P 500 Index</i> | 3.8 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 14.7 | 9.2 |
| Internal Bond Pool - Trust | -2.6 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 6.2 |
| <i>BB Barclays U.S. Agg.</i> | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 5.7 |

(1) Since retention by the SBI. Inception dates as follows:

7/1/91: RBC Global Asset Management

7/1/93: Internal Stock Pool

7/1/94: Internal Bond Pool - Trust

1/1/95: GE Asset Management

(2) Prior to July 2011, a blended benchmark consisting of 25% Merrill Lynch (ML) Mortgage Master, 25% ML 1-3 Yr Gov't, 25% ML 5-10 Year Treasury/Ag, 15% ML 3-5 Year Treasury/Ag, and 10% ML 91-day T-Bill was utilized.

NON-RETIREMENT MANAGERS
Periods Ended December 2016

| | December 31, 2016 | | September 30, 2016 | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| | Market Value | Percent | Market Value | Percent |
| GE Asset Management | \$65,957,739 | 2.0% | \$64,186,358 | 2.0% |
| RBC Global Asset Management | \$247,445,363 | 7.6% | \$246,700,856 | 7.7% |
| Internal Stock Pool | \$1,901,520,126 | 58.6% | \$1,878,917,044 | 58.8% |
| Internal Bond Pool - Trust | \$1,032,062,460 | 31.8% | \$1,004,681,823 | 31.5% |
| Total Portfolio | \$3,246,985,688 | 100.0% | \$3,194,486,082 | 100.0% |

NON-RETIREMENT MANAGERS
Calendar Year Returns

| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| GE Asset Management | 10.2 | -1.4 | 13.2 | 34.5 | 16.8 |
| <i>S&P 500 Index</i> | <i>12.0</i> | <i>1.4</i> | <i>13.7</i> | <i>32.4</i> | <i>16.0</i> |
| RBC Global Asset Management (1) | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.5 | -1.3 | 1.8 |
| <i>BB Barclays Int Gov</i> | <i>1.1</i> | <i>1.2</i> | <i>2.5</i> | <i>-1.2</i> | <i>1.7</i> |
| Internal Stock Pool | 11.8 | 1.5 | 13.7 | 32.2 | 16.0 |
| <i>S&P 500 Index</i> | <i>12.0</i> | <i>1.4</i> | <i>13.7</i> | <i>32.4</i> | <i>16.0</i> |
| Internal Bond Pool - Trust | 3.3 | 0.8 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 |
| <i>BB Barclays U.S. Agg.</i> | <i>2.6</i> | <i>0.5</i> | <i>6.0</i> | <i>-2.0</i> | <i>4.2</i> |

(1) Prior to July 2011, a blended benchmark consisting of 25% Merrill Lynch (ML) Mortgage Master, 25% ML 1-3 Year Gov't, 25% ML 5-10 Year Treasury/Ag, 15% ML 3-5 Year Treasury/Ag, and 10% ML 91-day T-Bill was utilized.



STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

Deferred Compensation Plan Minnesota College Savings Plan Performance Summaries

Fourth Quarter 2016

MINNESOTA STATE BOARD OF INVESTMENT

Deferred Compensation Plan and Minnesota College Savings Plan

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Deferred Compensation Plan | |
| Fund Manager Performance Summary _____ (quarter, 1, 3, 5 year periods) | A-36 |
| Fund Manager Performance Summary _____ (by calendar year) | A-39 |
| Fund Manager Market Values _____ | A-42 |
| Minnesota College Savings Plan | |
| Fund Manager Performance Summary _____ (quarter, 1, 3, 5 year periods) | A-43 |
| Fund Manager Market Values _____ | A-46 |

MN STATE 457 DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN
FUND OPTIONS
Periods Ended December 2016

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Since Inception(1) |
|--|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Large Cap Equity | | | | | |
| Vanguard Dividend Growth (6) | 1.0 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 12.4 | 8.2 |
| <i>NASDAQ US Dividend Achievers</i> | 2.1 | 11.8 | 6.5 | 11.7 | -- |
| Vanguard Institutional Index Plus | 3.8 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 14.7 | 4.9 |
| <i>S&P 500</i> | 3.8 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 14.7 | 4.8 |
| Mid Cap Equity | | | | | |
| Vanguard Mid-Cap Index | 2.1 | 11.2 | 7.7 | 14.4 | 9.6 |
| <i>CRSP US Mid Cap (2)</i> | 2.1 | 11.2 | 7.7 | 14.5 | 9.6 |
| Small Cap Equity | | | | | |
| T. Rowe Price Small-Cap Stock | 7.6 | 19.0 | 7.4 | 15.5 | 9.9 |
| <i>Russell 2000</i> | 8.8 | 21.3 | 6.7 | 14.5 | 7.1 |
| International | | | | | |
| Fidelity Diversified International | -4.7 | -3.7 | -1.3 | 7.5 | 5.9 |
| <i>MSCI EAFE Free</i> | -0.7 | 1.0 | -1.6 | 6.5 | 3.4 |
| Vanguard Total International Stock Index | -1.9 | 4.7 | -1.3 | 5.6 | 1.4 |
| <i>FTSE Global All Cap ex US (3)</i> | -1.2 | 5.0 | -0.8 | 5.8 | 1.7 |
| Balanced | | | | | |
| Vanguard Balanced Index Inst. Fund | 1.2 | 8.8 | 6.4 | 9.6 | 7.1 |
| <i>60% CRSP US Tot Mkt, 40% Barclays Agg (4)</i> | 1.3 | 8.8 | 6.4 | 9.7 | 7.0 |
| Fixed Income | | | | | |
| Dodge & Cox Income Fund | -1.2 | 5.6 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 5.7 |
| <i>BB Barclays U.S. Agg.</i> | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 5.1 |
| Vanguard Total Bond Market Index Inst. | -3.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 4.2 |
| <i>BB Barclays U.S. Agg.</i> | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 4.2 |
| SIF Money Market (5) | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.1 |
| 3 Month T-Bills | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.8 |
| SIF Fixed Interest (5) | | | | | |
| Galliard Capital Management | 0.5 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 4.6 |
| <i>3-Year Constant Maturity Treasury +45bp</i> | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 3.5 |

Benchmarks for the Funds are noted in italics below the Fund names.

- (1) Since retention by the SBI. Time periods varies for each manager.
- (2) Benchmark is the CRSP US Mid-Cap Index beginning February 2013. Prior to that date it was the MSCI US Mid-Cap 450 Index.
- (3) Benchmark is the FTSE Global All Cap ex US Index beginning June 2013; MSCI ACWI ex USA IMI beginning December 2010; MSCI EAFE and Emerging Markets Index beginning August 2006. Prior to that date it was the total International Composite Index, which is the MSCI EAFE Index and the Select Emerging Markets Free Index.
- (4) Benchmark is 60% CRSP US Total Market Index and 40% Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate beginning January 2013. Prior to that date it was 60% MSCI US Broad Market Index and 40% Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate.
- (5) SIF Money Market Account and SIF Fixed Interest Accounts are Supplemental Investment Fund options (SIF), which are also offered under the Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (6) Vanguard Dividend Growth replaced the Janus Twenty Fund in the third quarter of 2016. Historical returns reflect Vanguard Dividend Growth mutual fund returns.

MN STATE 457 DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN
FUND OPTIONS
Periods Ended December 2016

| MN Target Retirement Funds | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Since Inception (1) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| SSgA | | | | | |
| Income Fund | -0.5 | 5.8 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 4.2 |
| Income Fund Benchmark | -0.5 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 4.3 |
| 2020 Fund | -0.4 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 6.2 | 6.1 |
| 2020 Fund Benchmark | -0.4 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 6.2 |
| 2025 Fund | -0.4 | 7.6 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 7.0 |
| 2025 Fund Benchmark | -0.4 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 7.1 |
| 2030 Fund | -0.2 | 8.3 | 4.1 | 8.4 | 7.6 |
| 2030 Fund Benchmark | -0.2 | 8.2 | 4.2 | 8.4 | 7.6 |
| 2035 Fund | 0.0 | 8.4 | 4.2 | 8.9 | 7.8 |
| 2035 Fund Benchmark | 0.0 | 8.3 | 4.2 | 9.0 | 7.9 |
| 2040 Fund | 0.2 | 8.7 | 4.2 | 9.3 | 7.7 |
| 2040 Fund Benchmark | 0.2 | 8.5 | 4.2 | 9.4 | 7.8 |
| 2045 Fund | 0.5 | 9.1 | 4.2 | 9.7 | 7.8 |
| 2045 Fund Benchmark | 0.5 | 8.9 | 4.3 | 9.8 | 7.9 |
| 2050 Fund | 0.7 | 9.5 | 4.3 | 9.8 | 7.8 |
| 2050 Fund Benchmark | 0.7 | 9.3 | 4.3 | 9.9 | 7.9 |
| 2055 Fund | 0.7 | 9.4 | 4.3 | 9.8 | 7.8 |
| 2055 Fund Benchmark | 0.7 | 9.3 | 4.3 | 9.9 | 7.9 |
| 2060 Fund | 0.7 | 9.4 | 4.3 | 9.8 | 7.8 |
| 2060 Fund Benchmark | 0.7 | 9.3 | 4.3 | 9.9 | 7.9 |

Note: Each SSgA Fund benchmark is the aggregate of the returns of the Fund's underlying index funds weighted by the Fund's asset allocation.
The underlying index funds are listed below.

(1) Since retention by the SBI. Time period varies for each manager.

MN STATE 457 DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN
FUND OPTIONS
Periods Ended December 2016

| SSgA Index Funds | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Since Inception (1) |
|--|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| S&P 500 Index Fund | 3.8 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 14.7 | 12.5 |
| <i>S&P 500</i> | 3.8 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 14.7 | 12.5 |
| DJ-UBS Roll Select Commodity Index Fund | 2.9 | 14.7 | -9.4 | -- | -7.6 |
| <i>DJ-UBS Roll Select Commodity Index</i> | 2.9 | 14.6 | -9.4 | -- | -7.5 |
| Russell Small/Mid Cap Index Fund | 5.8 | 16.6 | 6.5 | -- | 14.2 |
| <i>Russell Small Cap Completeness Index</i> | 5.8 | 16.6 | 6.5 | -- | 14.3 |
| Global All-Cap Equity ex-US Index Fund | -1.5 | 5.3 | -1.2 | 5.6 | 1.6 |
| <i>MSCI ACWI ex USA IMI</i> | -1.6 | 4.4 | -1.4 | 5.3 | 1.3 |
| Global Real Estate Securities Index Fund | -5.8 | 3.5 | 6.2 | 9.7 | 6.5 |
| <i>FTSE EPRA/NAREIT Dev Liquid</i> | -6.0 | 2.4 | 5.4 | 8.9 | 5.8 |
| Long Government Bond Index Fund | -11.5 | 1.4 | 7.7 | 2.6 | 6.7 |
| <i>BB Barclays Long Government</i> | -11.5 | 1.4 | 7.7 | 2.6 | 6.7 |
| Bond Index Fund | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.9 |
| <i>BB Barclays U.S. Agg.</i> | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 2.9 |
| Inflation Protected Bond Index Fund | -2.4 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 2.1 |
| <i>BB Barclays U.S. TIPS</i> | -2.4 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 2.1 |
| High Yield Bond Index Fund | 1.8 | 16.5 | 4.1 | 6.6 | 6.2 |
| <i>BB Barclays U.S. High Yield Very Liquid</i> | 1.6 | 16.6 | 4.1 | 6.8 | 6.4 |
| U.S. Short-Term Gov/Credit Index Fund | -0.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| <i>BB Barclays 1-3 Yr Govt/Credit</i> | -0.4 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |

Benchmarks for the SSgA Funds are noted in italics below the Fund names.

(1) Minnesota Target Retirement Funds inception date is July 2011.

MN STATE 457 DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN
FUND OPTIONS
Calendar Year Returns

| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Large Cap Equity | | | | | |
| Vanguard Dividend Growth (5) | 7.5 | 2.6 | 11.8 | 31.5 | 10.4 |
| <i>NASDAQ US Dividend Achievers</i> | <i>11.8</i> | <i>-1.9</i> | <i>10.1</i> | <i>29.0</i> | <i>11.7</i> |
| Vanguard Institutional Index Plus | 12.0 | 1.4 | 13.7 | 32.4 | 16.0 |
| <i>S&P 500</i> | <i>12.0</i> | <i>1.4</i> | <i>13.7</i> | <i>32.4</i> | <i>16.0</i> |
| Mid Cap Equity | | | | | |
| Vanguard Mid-Cap Index | 11.2 | -1.3 | 13.8 | 35.2 | 16.0 |
| <i>CRSP US Mid Cap (1)</i> | <i>11.2</i> | <i>-1.3</i> | <i>13.8</i> | <i>35.4</i> | <i>16.0</i> |
| Small Cap Equity | | | | | |
| T. Rowe Price Small-Cap Stock | 19.0 | -3.1 | 7.4 | 39.6 | 18.7 |
| <i>Russell 2000</i> | <i>21.3</i> | <i>-4.4</i> | <i>4.9</i> | <i>38.8</i> | <i>16.3</i> |
| International | | | | | |
| Fidelity Diversified International | -3.7 | 3.1 | -3.2 | 25.2 | 19.4 |
| <i>MSCI EAFE Free</i> | <i>1.0</i> | <i>-0.8</i> | <i>-4.9</i> | <i>22.8</i> | <i>17.3</i> |
| Vanguard Total International Stock Index | 4.7 | -4.2 | -4.1 | 15.2 | 18.3 |
| <i>FTSE Global All Cap ex US (2)</i> | <i>5.0</i> | <i>-4.0</i> | <i>-3.1</i> | <i>15.9</i> | <i>17.0</i> |
| Balanced | | | | | |
| Vanguard Balanced Index Inst. Fund | 8.8 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 18.1 | 11.5 |
| <i>60% CRSP US Tot Mkt, 40% Barclays Agg (3)</i> | <i>8.8</i> | <i>0.7</i> | <i>10.0</i> | <i>18.2</i> | <i>11.6</i> |
| Fixed Income | | | | | |
| Dodge & Cox Income Fund | 5.6 | -0.6 | 5.5 | 0.6 | 7.9 |
| <i>BB Barclays U.S. Agg.</i> | <i>2.6</i> | <i>0.5</i> | <i>6.0</i> | <i>-2.0</i> | <i>4.2</i> |
| Vanguard Total Bond Market Index Inst. | 2.6 | 0.4 | 5.9 | -2.1 | 4.2 |
| <i>BB Barclays U.S. Agg.</i> | <i>2.6</i> | <i>0.5</i> | <i>6.0</i> | <i>-2.0</i> | <i>4.2</i> |
| SIF Money Market (4) | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 3 Month T-Bills | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| SIF Fixed Interest (4) | | | | | |
| Galliard Capital Management | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| <i>3-Year Constant Maturity Treasury +45bp</i> | <i>1.4</i> | <i>1.5</i> | <i>1.3</i> | <i>1.0</i> | <i>0.8</i> |

Benchmarks for the Funds are noted in italics below the Fund names.

- (1) Benchmark is the CRSP US Mid-Cap Index beginning February 2013. Prior to that date it was the MSCI US Mid-Cap 450 Index.
- (2) Benchmark is the FTSE Global All Cap ex US Index beginning June 2013; MSCI ACWI ex USA IMI beginning December 2010; MSCI EAFE and Emerging Markets Index beginning August 2006. Prior to that date it was the total International Composite Index, which is the MSCI EAFE Index and the Select Emerging Markets Free Index.
- (3) Benchmark is 60% CRSP US Total Market Index and 40% Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate beginning January 2013. Prior to that date it was 60% MSCI US Broad Market Index and 40% Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate.
- (4) SIF Money Market Account and SIF Fixed Interest Accounts are Supplemental Investment Fund options (SIF), which are also offered under the Deferred Compensation Plan accounts.
- (5) Vanguard Dividend Growth replaced Janus Twenty Fund in the third quarter of 2016. Historical returns reflect the Vanguard Dividend Growth mutual fund returns.

MN STATE 457 DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN
FUND OPTIONS
Calendar Year Returns

| MN Target Retirement Funds | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| SSgA | | | | | |
| Income Fund | 5.8 | -1.4 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 9.7 |
| Income Fund Benchmark | 5.8 | -1.3 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 9.8 |
| 2020 Fund | 6.6 | -1.6 | 5.3 | 8.8 | 12.5 |
| 2020 Fund Benchmark | 6.6 | -1.6 | 5.5 | 9.1 | 12.5 |
| 2025 Fund | 7.6 | -1.9 | 5.9 | 13.2 | 13.7 |
| 2025 Fund Benchmark | 7.5 | -1.9 | 6.2 | 13.5 | 13.7 |
| 2030 Fund | 8.3 | -2.0 | 6.3 | 16.0 | 14.3 |
| 2030 Fund Benchmark | 8.2 | -2.0 | 6.6 | 16.3 | 14.1 |
| 2035 Fund | 8.4 | -2.0 | 6.4 | 18.1 | 14.9 |
| 2035 Fund Benchmark | 8.3 | -2.0 | 6.7 | 18.5 | 14.7 |
| 2040 Fund | 8.7 | -2.2 | 6.4 | 19.7 | 15.5 |
| 2040 Fund Benchmark | 8.5 | -2.1 | 6.6 | 20.0 | 15.3 |
| 2045 Fund | 9.1 | -2.4 | 6.2 | 21.3 | 16.0 |
| 2045 Fund Benchmark | 8.9 | -2.3 | 6.5 | 21.6 | 15.8 |
| 2050 Fund | 9.5 | -2.5 | 6.2 | 21.4 | 15.9 |
| 2050 Fund Benchmark | 9.3 | -2.4 | 6.5 | 21.7 | 15.8 |
| 2055 Fund | 9.4 | -2.5 | 6.2 | 21.4 | 15.9 |
| 2055 Fund Benchmark | 9.3 | -2.4 | 6.5 | 21.7 | 15.8 |
| 2060 Fund | 9.4 | -2.5 | 6.2 | 21.4 | 16.0 |
| 2060 Fund Benchmark | 9.3 | -2.4 | 6.5 | 21.7 | 15.8 |

Note: Each SSgA Fund benchmark is the aggregate of the returns of the Fund's underlying index funds weighted by the Fund's asset allocation.

MN STATE 457 DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN
FUND OPTIONS
Calendar Year Returns

| SSgA Index Funds | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| S&P 500 Index Fund | 12.0 | 1.4 | 13.7 | 32.4 | 16.0 |
| <i>S&P 500</i> | <i>12.0</i> | <i>1.4</i> | <i>13.7</i> | <i>32.4</i> | <i>16.0</i> |
| DJ-UBS Roll Select Commodity Index Fund | 14.7 | -23.4 | -15.3 | -9.3 | -- |
| DJ-UBS Roll Select Commodity Index | 14.6 | -23.4 | -15.2 | -9.1 | -- |
| Russell Small/Mid Cap Index Fund | 16.6 | -3.5 | 7.5 | 38.4 | -- |
| <i>Russell Small Cap Completeness Index</i> | <i>16.6</i> | <i>-3.4</i> | <i>7.4</i> | <i>38.5</i> | <i>--</i> |
| Global All-Cap Equity ex-US Index Fund | 5.3 | -4.4 | -4.2 | 15.4 | 18.2 |
| <i>MSCI ACWI ex USA IMI</i> | <i>4.4</i> | <i>-4.6</i> | <i>-3.9</i> | <i>15.8</i> | <i>17.0</i> |
| Global Real Estate Securities Index Fund | 3.5 | 0.2 | 15.5 | 2.7 | 28.9 |
| <i>FTSE EPRA/NAREIT Dev Liquid</i> | <i>2.4</i> | <i>-0.5</i> | <i>15.0</i> | <i>2.5</i> | <i>27.5</i> |
| Long Government Bond Index Fund | 1.4 | -1.1 | 24.6 | -12.5 | 3.8 |
| <i>BB Barclays Long Government</i> | <i>1.4</i> | <i>-1.2</i> | <i>24.7</i> | <i>-12.5</i> | <i>3.8</i> |
| Bond Index Fund | 2.6 | 0.6 | 6.0 | -2.1 | 4.2 |
| <i>BB Barclays U.S. Agg.</i> | <i>2.6</i> | <i>0.5</i> | <i>6.0</i> | <i>-2.0</i> | <i>4.2</i> |
| Inflation Protected Bond Index Fund | 4.6 | -1.4 | 3.6 | -8.6 | 6.9 |
| <i>BB Barclays U.S. TIPS</i> | <i>4.7</i> | <i>-1.4</i> | <i>3.6</i> | <i>-8.6</i> | <i>7.0</i> |
| High Yield Bond Index Fund | 16.5 | -5.2 | 2.1 | 6.6 | 14.8 |
| <i>BB Barclays U.S. High Yield Very Liquid</i> | <i>16.6</i> | <i>-5.3</i> | <i>2.1</i> | <i>6.6</i> | <i>15.4</i> |
| U.S. Short-Term Gov/Credit Index Fund | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 3.9 |
| <i>BB Barclays 1-3 Yr Govt/Credit</i> | <i>1.3</i> | <i>0.7</i> | <i>0.8</i> | <i>0.6</i> | <i>1.3</i> |

Note: Each SSgA Fund benchmark is the aggregate of the returns of the Fund's underlying index funds weighted by the Fund's asset allocation.

Benchmarks for the Funds are noted in italics below the Fund names.

**MN STATE 457 DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN
FUND OPTIONS**

| | December 31, 2016 | | September 30, 2016 | |
|--|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | Market Value | Percent | Market Value | Percent |
| Fixed Income | \$492,328,818 | 8.1% | \$497,644,577 | 8.3% |
| Dodge & Cox Income Fund | \$215,942,973 | 3.6% | \$220,259,042 | 3.7% |
| Vanguard Total Bond Market Index Inst. | \$208,511,852 | 3.4% | \$212,281,984 | 3.6% |
| Money Market | \$67,873,993 | 1.1% | \$65,103,551 | 1.1% |
| Stable Value | \$1,356,788,939 | 22.4% | \$1,349,547,509 | 22.6% |
| Galliard Stable Value Composite | \$1,356,788,939 | 22.4% | \$1,349,547,509 | 22.6% |
| Large Cap Equity | \$1,584,914,728 | 26.2% | \$1,552,483,333 | 26.0% |
| Vanguard Dividend Growth | \$523,011,275 | 8.6% | \$523,139,752 | 8.8% |
| Vanguard Institutional Index Plus | \$1,061,903,454 | 17.6% | \$1,029,343,581 | 17.3% |
| Mid Cap Equity | \$458,325,491 | 7.6% | \$447,569,468 | 7.5% |
| Vanguard Mid-Cap Index | \$458,325,491 | 7.6% | \$447,569,468 | 7.5% |
| Small Cap Equity | \$633,134,281 | 10.5% | \$594,827,717 | 10.0% |
| T. Rowe Price Small-Cap Stock | \$633,134,281 | 10.5% | \$594,827,717 | 10.0% |
| Balanced | \$711,414,371 | 11.8% | \$715,625,950 | 12.0% |
| Vanguard Balanced Index Inst. Fund | \$711,414,371 | 11.8% | \$715,625,950 | 12.0% |
| International | \$404,769,454 | 6.7% | \$426,897,778 | 7.2% |
| Fidelity Diversified International | \$254,421,266 | 4.2% | \$282,769,488 | 4.7% |
| Vanguard Total International Stock Index | \$150,348,188 | 2.5% | \$144,128,290 | 2.4% |
| Target Retirement Funds (1) | \$405,597,466 | 6.7% | \$375,557,929 | 6.3% |
| Income Fund | \$71,433,140 | 1.2% | \$69,941,231 | 1.2% |
| 2020 Fund | \$91,541,248 | 1.5% | \$83,607,729 | 1.4% |
| 2025 Fund | \$76,792,957 | 1.3% | \$73,285,589 | 1.2% |
| 2030 Fund | \$50,984,179 | 0.8% | \$46,646,470 | 0.8% |
| 2035 Fund | \$38,927,981 | 0.6% | \$35,751,175 | 0.6% |
| 2040 Fund | \$25,879,551 | 0.4% | \$22,651,552 | 0.4% |
| 2045 Fund | \$21,236,662 | 0.4% | \$18,725,424 | 0.3% |
| 2050 Fund | \$15,033,723 | 0.2% | \$12,976,927 | 0.2% |
| 2055 Fund | \$7,524,215 | 0.1% | \$6,561,915 | 0.1% |
| 2060 Fund | \$6,243,808 | 0.1% | \$5,409,917 | 0.1% |

(1) Target Retirement Funds is a deferred comp option also offered in the Unclassified Plan. The Target Date Funds were first offered in the Unclassified Plan as of July 1, 2015.

MINNESOTA COLLEGE SAVINGS PLAN
STATIC OPTIONS (1)
Periods Ended December 2016

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Since Inception (1) |
|--|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Equity | | | | | |
| MNCSP US Intl Equity Allocation | 1.4 | 8.9 | 5.5 | 11.5 | 6.3 |
| MNCSP US Intl Equity Allocation Bnmk | 1.8 | 9.5 | 5.8 | 11.8 | 7.3 |
| MNCSP Equity Interest Allocation | 2.2 | 6.9 | -- | -- | 4.2 |
| MNCSP Equity Interest Allocation Bnmk | 2.2 | 6.5 | -- | -- | 3.8 |
| MNCSP Intl Eq Index Allocation | -2.2 | 2.8 | -2.1 | -- | 1.2 |
| MNCSP Intl Eq Index Allocation Bnmk | -1.4 | 3.1 | -1.8 | -- | 1.6 |
| MNCSP US LC Equity Allocation | 3.8 | 11.7 | -- | -- | 8.3 |
| MNCSP US LC Equity Allocation Bnmk | 3.8 | 12.0 | -- | -- | 8.5 |
| Fixed Income | | | | | |
| MNCSP Fixed Income Allocation | -2.4 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 3.7 |
| MNCSP Fixed Income Allocation Bnmk | -2.3 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 4.4 |
| MNCSP Principal Interest Allocation | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.6 |
| MNCSP Principal Interest Allocation Bnmk | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.3 |
| MNCSP Money Market Allocation | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| MNCSP Money Market Allocation Bnmk | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |

(1) Since retention by the SBI. Time periods varies for each option

MINNESOTA COLLEGE SAVINGS PLAN
ALLOCATION OPTIONS
Periods Ended December 2016

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Since Inception(1) |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Allocation Options | | | | | |
| MNCSP Aggressive Allocation | 0.7 | 7.9 | -- | -- | 4.1 |
| MNCSP Aggressive Allocation Bnmk | 1.0 | 8.5 | -- | -- | 4.4 |
| MNCSP Moderate Allocation | 0.1 | 6.9 | 4.4 | 7.6 | 4.5 |
| MNCSP Moderate Allocation Bnmk | 0.2 | 7.5 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 5.3 |
| MNCSP Conservative Allocation | -0.6 | 4.7 | -- | -- | 2.1 |
| MNCSP Conservative Allocation Bnmk | -0.4 | 4.8 | -- | -- | 2.2 |
| Managed Allocation Options | | | | | |
| MNCSP Managed 0-4 Yrs | 0.6 | 7.9 | -- | -- | 4.2 |
| MNCSP Managed 0-4 Yrs Bnmk | 1.0 | 8.5 | -- | -- | 4.4 |
| MNCSP Managed 5-8 Yrs | 0.3 | 7.3 | -- | -- | 3.9 |
| MNCSP Managed 5-8 Yrs Bnmk | 0.6 | 8.0 | -- | -- | 4.2 |
| MNCSP Managed 9-10 Yrs | 0.1 | 7.0 | -- | -- | 3.6 |
| MNCSP Managed 9-10 Yrs Bnmk | 0.2 | 7.5 | -- | -- | 3.9 |
| MNCSP Managed 11-12 Yrs | -0.2 | 6.4 | -- | -- | 3.2 |
| MNCSP Managed 11-12 Yrs Bnmk | -0.1 | 6.7 | -- | -- | 3.5 |
| MNCSP Managed 13-14 Yrs | -0.5 | 5.7 | -- | -- | 2.9 |
| MNCSP Managed 13-14 Yrs Bnmk | -0.4 | 6.0 | -- | -- | 3.1 |
| MNCSP Managed 15 Yrs | -0.5 | 4.7 | -- | -- | 2.5 |
| MNCSP Managed 15 Yrs Bnmk | -0.4 | 4.8 | -- | -- | 2.5 |
| MNCSP Managed 16 Yrs | -0.5 | 4.1 | -- | -- | 2.2 |
| MNCSP Managed 16 Yrs Bnmk | -0.4 | 4.2 | -- | -- | 2.1 |
| MNCSP Managed 17 Yrs | -0.4 | 3.7 | -- | -- | 2.0 |
| MNCSP Managed 17 Yrs Bnmk | -0.4 | 3.5 | -- | -- | 1.8 |
| MNCSP Managed 18+ Yrs | -0.3 | 3.2 | -- | -- | 1.8 |
| MNCSP Managed 18+ Yrs Bnmk | -0.4 | 2.8 | -- | -- | 1.4 |

(1) Since retention by the SBI. Time period varies for each option.

MINNESOTA COLLEGE SAVINGS PLAN
ALLOCATION OPTIONS UNDERLYING FUNDS
Periods Ended December 2016

| | Last Quarter | Last Year | Last 3 Years | Last 5 Years | Last 10 Years |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| TIAA-CREF:Bond Idx;Inst | -3.2 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.1 | -- |
| BB Barclays U.S. Agg. | -3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 4.3 |
| TIAA-CREF:EM Eq Idx;Inst | -5.1 | 11.1 | -2.9 | 1.0 | -- |
| MSCI:EM Gross | -4.1 | 11.6 | -2.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| TIAA-CREF:Eq Idx;Inst | 4.2 | 12.8 | 8.4 | 14.6 | 7.1 |
| Russell 3000 Index | 4.2 | 12.7 | 8.4 | 14.7 | 7.1 |
| TIAA-CREF:Hi-Yld;Inst | 2.6 | 16.4 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 7.2 |
| ML:1-3 BB US HY CP | 0.9 | 8.5 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 6.5 |
| TIAA-CREF:Infl Bond;Inst | -1.6 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 4.0 |
| BB Barclays U.S. TIPS Index | -2.4 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 4.4 |
| TIAA-CREF:Itl Eq Ix;Inst | -1.5 | 1.2 | -1.6 | 6.7 | 0.9 |
| MSCI:EAFE | -0.7 | 1.0 | -1.6 | 6.5 | 0.7 |
| TIAA-CREF:Money Mkt;Inst | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
| MFR Avg All-Taxble | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| TIAA-CREF:Real Est;Inst | -3.8 | 4.4 | 11.8 | 11.2 | 4.3 |
| FTSE:NAREIT All Eq Index | -3.3 | 8.6 | 12.7 | 12.0 | 5.1 |
| TIAA-CREF:S&P500 Idx;Ins | 3.8 | 11.9 | 8.8 | 14.6 | 6.9 |
| S&P 500 Index | 3.8 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 14.7 | 6.9 |

**MINNESOTA COLLEGE SAVINGS PLAN
FUND OPTIONS**

| | December 31, 2016 | | September 30, 2016 | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|
| | Market Value | Percent | Market Value | Percent |
| Fixed Income | \$130,316,283 | 10.5% | \$126,464,046 | 10.3% |
| MNCSP Fixed Income Allocation | \$12,271,093 | 1.0% | \$11,728,524 | 1.0% |
| MNCSP Principal Interest Allocation | \$109,396,676 | 8.8% | \$106,411,210 | 8.6% |
| MNCSP Money Market Allocation | \$8,648,514 | 0.7% | \$8,324,312 | 0.7% |
| Equity | \$288,340,613 | 23.2% | \$287,536,187 | 23.3% |
| MNCSP US Intl Equity Allocation | \$272,671,257 | 22.0% | \$274,138,971 | 22.2% |
| MNCSP Equity Interest Allocation | \$1,417,668 | 0.1% | \$1,214,523 | 0.1% |
| MNCSP Intl Eq Index Allocation | \$2,455,939 | 0.2% | \$2,379,386 | 0.2% |
| MNCSP US LC Equity Allocation | \$11,795,749 | 0.9% | \$9,803,307 | 0.8% |
| Allocation Options | \$71,647,359 | 5.8% | \$69,012,088 | 5.6% |
| MNCSP Aggressive Allocation | \$11,225,108 | 0.9% | \$9,961,250 | 0.8% |
| MNCSP Moderate Allocation | \$55,428,045 | 4.5% | \$55,018,153 | 4.5% |
| MNCSP Conservative Allocation | \$4,994,206 | 0.4% | \$4,032,685 | 0.3% |
| Managed Allocation Options | \$751,419,330 | 60.5% | \$749,874,319 | 60.8% |
| MNCSP Managed 0-4 Yrs | \$24,886,008 | 2.0% | \$24,604,575 | 2.0% |
| MNCSP Managed 5-8 Yrs | \$69,433,908 | 5.6% | \$71,939,014 | 5.8% |
| MNCSP Managed 9-10 Yrs | \$69,723,531 | 5.6% | \$72,949,422 | 5.9% |
| MNCSP Managed 11-12 Yrs | \$100,836,932 | 8.1% | \$101,719,516 | 8.3% |
| MNCSP Managed 13-14 Yrs | \$134,059,123 | 10.8% | \$136,234,549 | 11.1% |
| MNCSP Managed 15 Yrs | \$68,853,652 | 5.5% | \$64,975,645 | 5.3% |
| MNCSP Managed 16 Yrs | \$65,870,851 | 5.3% | \$64,936,736 | 5.3% |
| MNCSP Managed 17 Yrs | \$62,665,569 | 5.0% | \$64,419,477 | 5.2% |
| MNCSP Managed 18+ Yrs | \$155,089,756 | 12.5% | \$148,095,385 | 12.0% |

